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1.1 **UPOV Test Guidelines as the Basis for the DUS Test**

The General Introduction (Chapter 2, section 2.2.1) states that “Where UPOV has established specific Test Guidelines for a particular species, or other group(s) of varieties, these represent an agreed and harmonized approach for the examination of new varieties and, in conjunction with the basic principles contained in the General Introduction, should form the basis of the DUS test.” It further states in Chapter 8, section 8.2.1, that “The individual Test Guidelines are prepared or, where appropriate, revised according to the procedures set out in document TGP/7, Development of Test Guidelines”. Thus, the purpose of this document is to provide guidance on the development of these UPOV Test Guidelines (“Test Guidelines”).

1.2 **Individual Authorities’ Test Guidelines**

The General Introduction also states that “Where UPOV has not established individual Test Guidelines relevant to the variety to be examined, the examination should be carried out in accordance with the principles in this document [the General Introduction] and, in particular, the recommendations contained in Chapter 9, Conduct of DUS Testing in the Absence of Test Guidelines. In particular, the recommendations in Chapter 9 are based on the approach whereby, in the absence of Test Guidelines, the DUS examiner proceeds in the same general way as if developing new Test Guidelines.” Section 4 “Development of individual authorities’ test guidelines” provides guidance on the development of individual authorities’ test guidelines.

1.3 **Structure of TGP/7**

This document is structured in the following way:

- **Section 1:** Introduction (this section)
- **Section 2:** Procedure for the Introduction and Revision of UPOV Test Guidelines
- **Section 3:** Guidance for Drafting Test Guidelines
  - **3.1** The TG Template
    
    This section introduces the “TG Template” which provides the basic Test Guidelines structure and also the universal standard wording which is currently considered to be appropriate for all Test Guidelines. The TG Template itself is provided as Annex 1 of this document.
  
    **3.2** Additional Standard Wording (ASW) for the TG Template
    
    The “TG Template” contains the universal standard wording which is currently considered to be appropriate for all Test Guidelines. However, this section explains that UPOV has developed additional standard wording (ASW) which should be used, where appropriate, for the Test Guidelines concerned. The additional standard wording is provided in Annex 2 of this document.
  
    **3.3** Guidance Notes (GN) for the TG Template
    
    There are many aspects of the Test Guidelines where the individual drafter’s experience and knowledge are needed for preparing the Test Guidelines. This includes, for example, the selection of appropriate ASW, trial design, the identification of characteristics and selection of example varieties. The purpose of this section is to provide guidance notes on how to proceed in a harmonized way for such aspects. These guidance notes are presented in Annex 3 of this document and include guidance on the use of the Collection of Approved Characteristics presented in Annex 4 (see GN 17).

- **Section 4:** Development of individual authorities' test guidelines
Annex 1: The TG Template
Annex 2: Additional Standard Wording (ASW) for the TG Template
Annex 3: Guidance Notes (GN) for the TG Template
Annex 4: Collection of Approved Characteristics
SECTION 2: PROCEDURE FOR THE INTRODUCTION AND REVISIION OF UPOV TEST GUIDELINES

2.1 Introduction

2.1.1 The General Introduction (Chapter 1, Section 1.4) explains that the individual Test Guidelines are prepared by the appropriate Technical Working Party, which is composed of government-appointed experts from each member of the Union with invited experts from other interested States and observer organizations. The involvement, as observer organizations, of the main international non-governmental organizations in the field of plant breeding and the seed and plant industries ensures that the knowledge and experience of breeders and the seed and plant industries are taken into account. Once developed, the Test Guidelines are submitted for approval by the Technical Committee.

2.1.2 To facilitate its work, the Technical Committee has established the Enlarged Editorial Committee (TC/EDC) which examines drafts of all Test Guidelines, produced by the Technical Working Parties (TWPs), and makes recommendations before these are put forward for adoption by the Technical Committee.

2.1.3 Transparency and Responsibility

This section has been developed in recognition of the need to ensure that the procedure for the introduction and revision of Test Guidelines is transparent and to clarify responsibility for each step in the procedure.

2.1.4 Leading Expert

The procedure recognizes that the drafting of Test Guidelines is led by an expert or experts (referred to as the “Leading Expert” in this document) from within one of the UPOV Technical Working Parties (TWPs).

2.1.5 Interested Experts

The Leading Expert drafts the Test Guidelines in close cooperation with all those experts of the TWPs who have expressed an interest (the “interested experts”), to ensure that the full extent of knowledge and expertise is reflected in the draft.

2.1.6 The Subgroup of Interested Experts (“Subgroup”)

The TWP will establish a subgroup consisting of the Leading Expert and the other interested experts wishing to participate in the drafting of the Test Guidelines in question. For the purpose of this document, the term “subgroup” also applies where the interested experts comprise all the experts in the TWP concerned. In the case of Test Guidelines being developed by more than one TWP, the interested experts will be identified for each TWP and the subgroup will comprise the interested experts in all relevant TWPs.

2.1.7 Consultation

2.1.7.1 The drafts of Test Guidelines, prepared by the Leading Expert in conjunction with the interested experts, are considered at the relevant TWP meetings before submission to the Technical Committee for approval. This procedure involves the main international non-governmental organizations in the field of plant breeding and genetic resource management, by means of their invitation to participate in the meetings of the relevant TWPs and Technical Committee as observers.

2.1.7.2 In addition, the relevant TWP may enhance the consultation of interested experts for certain Test Guidelines by the arrangement of Test Guidelines Subgroup meetings between the TWP sessions.

2.2 Procedure for the Introduction of Test Guidelines

2.2.1 STEP 1 Proposals for the Commissioning of Work

The Technical Committee is responsible for the commissioning of any work concerning Test Guidelines. Proposals for the commissioning of work by the Technical Committee can be made:
Most Test Guidelines are commissioned on the basis of proposals from a TWP, but may also be proposed by the Technical Committee itself, the Council, the Consultative Committee or the Administrative and Legal Committee (hereinafter referred to as “the CAJ”).

(b) directly to the Technical Committee by a member of the Union;

(c) directly to the Technical Committee by an observer State or observer organization to the Technical Committee.

2.2.2.2STEP 2 Approval of the Proposals

2.2.2.2.1 The purpose of Test Guidelines is to elaborate the principles contained in the General Introduction, and its associated TGP documents, into detailed practical guidance for the harmonized examination of DUS and, in particular, to identify appropriate characteristics for the examination of DUS and production of harmonized variety descriptions. In the case of species or crops which are only of interest at a national or local level and where international harmonization is not necessary, the development of Test Guidelines may be of low priority. For such situations, UPOV still provides effective guidance for developing a robust DUS examination by means of the General Introduction and, in particular, documents TGP/7, Development of Test Guidelines, which is aimed at drafters of both (UPOV) Test Guidelines individual authorities’ test guidelines, and TGP/13, Guidance for New Types and Species.

2.2.2.2.2 In recognition of the importance of international harmonization, the Technical Committee will take into account the following factors when considering and prioritizing the commissioning of Test Guidelines:

(a) Total number of applications for plant breeders’ rights within the territories of the members of the Union.

The Technical Committee is unlikely to prioritize Test Guidelines where there are very few applications, unless certain other factors make this appropriate e.g. it is known that there is an intensive breeding effort in progress at the international level (see (e)).

(b) Number of authorities receiving applications for the varieties which would be covered by the Test Guidelines.

In general, Test Guidelines where only one or two authorities are receiving applications would not normally be given a high priority.

(c) Number of foreign applications received by members of the Union.

A high level of foreign applications indicates that international harmonization is important.

(d) Economic importance of the crop/species.

(e) The level of breeding activity.

It may be important to know if the number of new varieties is likely to increase, or decrease significantly

(f) Any other factors considered relevant by the Technical Committee.

2.2.2.3 The proposer should provide as much information as possible concerning these factors.

2.2.3 STEP 3 Allocation of Drafting Work

2.2.3.1 The Technical Committee will decide which Technical Working Party (TWP) or Parties (TWPs) should be responsible for the drafting of the Test Guidelines in question. In general, where the proposal is made by a TWP, the Technical Committee will commission the work from that same TWP, but it may decide to request the approval of another TWP before a draft is submitted for adoption.
2.2.3.2 In cases where more than one TWP has proposed the development of Test Guidelines with the same coverage, the Technical Committee will decide which TWP should be responsible for the drafting of the Test Guidelines and which other TWPs should cooperate. This will be decided on the basis of the level of experience in the TWPs concerned. In such cases, the Technical Committee will request the approval of other cooperating TWPs before a draft is submitted for adoption.

2.2.3.3 Information on proposals for the drafting of Test Guidelines by the TWPs is presented in document TC/[Session reference]/2.

2.2.4 STEP 4 Preparation of Draft Test Guidelines for the Technical Working Party

2.2.4.1 The Leading Expert

The TWP will agree on a Leading Expert who will be responsible for preparing all drafts of the Test Guidelines until a document is agreed by the TWP.

2.2.4.2 The Subgroup of Interested Experts (Subgroup)

The TWP will establish a subgroup consisting of the Leading Expert and the other interested experts wishing to participate in the drafting of the Test Guidelines in question.

2.2.4.3 Preliminary Work on Draft Test Guidelines

Pending the commissioning of the work by the Technical Committee, the TWP may establish the subgroup (see 2.2.4.2) and preliminary work on the preparation of the Test Guidelines may commence.

2.2.4.4 Preparation of the Draft(s) by the Leading Expert with the Subgroup

In advance of the TWP session, the Leading Expert should prepare a preliminary draft of the Test Guidelines ("Subgroup draft") for comments by the subgroup. In the case of Test Guidelines being developed by more than one TWP, the subgroup draft should be circulated at the same time to the interested experts in all relevant TWPs. On the basis of the comments received from the subgroup, the Leading Expert should establish a first draft for the TWP(s). This draft is sent to the Office which will produce a document for distribution to the members of the TWP(s) concerned for discussion at their session(s). Prior to the TWP session, the Office will make a preliminary check that the draft has been prepared according to document TGP/7 and, in particular, that it conforms with the TG/Template (Annex 1). A result of that check will be provided to the Leading Expert at least one week before the session. In the case of Test Guidelines which have been considered by the relevant TWP(s) (Step 5) and where the responsible TWP has requested amendment of the draft, the Leading Expert should, after consulting the members of the subgroup, establish a further draft for consideration at the following TWP meeting in the manner explained above. Guidance information and materials to assist Leading Experts in preparing draft Test Guidelines is provided in an area of the UPOV website restricted to Leading Experts of Test Guidelines (TG Drafters’ Webpage). The TG Drafters’ Webpage includes the following information, some elements of which are included in the TG Drafter’s Kit (see Section 4.3):

(a) General information:

(i) Practical guide for drafters of Test Guidelines ("Practical Guide");
(ii) The electronic TG Template (TGP/7: Annex 1);
(iii) Collection of Approved Characteristics (TGP/7: Annex 4);
(iv) Adopted Test Guidelines in Word format;
(v) TGP/14 "Glossary of Terms Used in UPOV Documents";

(b) TWP-specific information:

(i) Leading Expert and dates for the preparation of draft Test Guidelines;
(ii) E-mail addresses of Subgroup of interested experts;
(iii) Word versions of draft Test Guidelines presented at the previous TWP session (where appropriate); and
(iv) TWP comments (extracted from the TWP report) on the draft Test Guidelines presented at the previous TWP session (where appropriate).
2.2.4.5 **Subgroup Meetings**

The relevant TWP may enhance the consultation of interested experts for certain Test Guidelines by the arrangement of Test Guidelines Subgroup meetings. These Subgroup meetings may be held in conjunction with other UPOV meetings or may be organized as a separate meeting, with or without the Office being present. The Leading Expert takes the results of the discussions in the Subgroup meeting into account when preparing a new draft of the Test Guidelines for consideration by the TWP.

2.2.4.6 **Exchange of Plant Material**

Where appropriate, the Leading Expert may arrange an exchange of plant material of representative varieties in order to develop suitable grouping and asterisked characteristics.

2.2.5 **STEP 5 Consideration of the Draft Test Guidelines by the Technical Working Parties**

2.2.5.1 **Draft Test Guidelines developed by a single Technical Working Party**

The TWP decides if the draft is ready for submission to the Technical Committee (Step 6) for adoption, or whether it should be revised and re-presented at a subsequent session of the TWP (Step 4).

2.2.5.2 **Draft Test Guidelines developed jointly by more than one Technical Working Party**

Where more than one TWP is involved in drafting particular Test Guidelines, the leading TWP is the one from which the Leading Expert derives. The leading TWP will decide at what stage to send it to the other interested TWPs for comment. The comments from the other TWPs will be reported to the Leading Expert. The Leading Expert, in consultation with the other interested experts will then develop a revised draft for submission to all interested TWPs. Only when all interested TWPs have agreed will the draft be submitted to the Technical Committee.

2.2.5.3 **Requirements for draft Test Guidelines to be considered by the Technical Working Parties**

Unless otherwise agreed at the TWP session, or thereafter by the TWP Chairperson, the timetable for the consideration of draft Test Guidelines by the Technical Working Parties is as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Action</th>
<th>Latest date before the TWP session</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Circulation of Subgroup draft by Leading Expert</td>
<td>14 weeks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comments to be received from Subgroup:</td>
<td>10 weeks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sending of draft to the Office by the Leading Expert</td>
<td>6 weeks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Posting of draft on the website by the Office</td>
<td>4 weeks</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In cases where either of the deadlines for circulation of the Subgroup draft or for the sending of the draft to the Office by the Leading Expert is not met, the Test Guidelines would be withdrawn from the TWP agenda and the Office would inform the TWP accordingly at the earliest opportunity (i.e. not later than 4 weeks before the TWP session). In those cases where draft Test Guidelines are withdrawn from the TWP agenda because of failure by the Leading Expert to meet the relevant dates, it would be possible for specific matters concerning those Test Guidelines to be discussed at the TWP session. However, to consider specific matters it would be necessary for a document to be provided to the Office at least 6 weeks before the TWP session.

In order to be considered by a TWP, the Leading Expert of the draft Test Guidelines should be present at the session. Subject to approval by the TWP Chairperson, and where arranged sufficiently in advance of the session, a suitable alternative expert may act as the Leading Expert at the session, or the Leading Expert may participate by electronic means, where that enables the Test Guidelines to be considered in an effective way.

2.2.5.4 **Requirements for “final” draft Test Guidelines**

The elements set out in this section only apply to those Test Guidelines which the TWP may decide are ready to submit to the Technical Committee (“final” draft Test Guidelines) and do not apply to Test Guidelines where further drafts are to be developed for discussion in subsequent sessions of the TWP. In order for the TWP to be able to agree to submit draft Test Guidelines to the Technical Committee, there are
certain elements in their preparation which should, in general, be met. Thus, the TWP will, in general, only consider the submission of Test Guidelines to the Technical Committee where a “complete” draft has been issued to the members of the TWP in accordance with the schedule set out in Section 2.2.5.3. A draft would be considered to be “complete” if there was no missing information from any chapter of the Test Guidelines. Thus, it should include, for example, explanations of characteristics contained in the Table of Characteristics and an appropriate set of example varieties. Where the TWP amends the “complete” draft at its session, the amendments are to be specified and approved in a report of the meeting (i.e. the report on the conclusions or detailed report), and the Test Guidelines are submitted to the Technical Committee on this basis.

2.2.6 **STEP 6 Submission of Draft Test Guidelines by the Technical Working Party**

2.2.6.1 Once the TWP has agreed to submit particular draft Test Guidelines to the Technical Committee, the Office will prepare the necessary documents in all the UPOV languages (see also 2.2.6.2). Where the TWP has specified amendments to be made to the draft prior to submission to the Technical Committee (which will be recorded in a report of the TWP session), the Office will, if necessary in consultation with the Leading Expert and Chairperson of the TWP, be responsible for incorporating these amendments. Where the amendments requested by the TWP require further information to be provided to the Office by the Leading Expert, this should be provided within six weeks of the TWP session, or according to a deadline agreed by the Chairperson of the TWP in conjunction with the Office. If specified by the TWP, this information must first be agreed by all interested experts. In general, if the Leading Expert is unable to provide the agreed information within the specified deadline, the Test Guidelines would be re-presented at the following TWP session (Step 4). After translation into all the UPOV languages, the Test Guidelines are issued, by the Office, to members of, and observers to, the Technical Committee. In general, the Test Guidelines are to be issued at least four weeks prior to the relevant session of the Technical Committee.

2.2.6.2 If, for any reason, it is not possible for all draft Test Guidelines to be translated prior to the relevant session of the TC, the TC-EDC will recommend to the TC the order of priority on the basis of the factors identified in Section 2.2.2.2 and the amount of translation work required for each of the Test Guidelines. Draft Test Guidelines which are not translated will resume from Step 6 for the following session.

2.2.7 **STEP 7 Consideration of Draft Test Guidelines by the TC-EDC**

2.2.7.1 The TC-EDC has been established by the Technical Committee to examine drafts of all Test Guidelines, produced by the TWP, before these are put forward for adoption by the Technical Committee. The role of the TC-EDC is to ensure consistency of the Test Guidelines with the requirements of document TGP/7 and to check the alignment of texts across all the official UPOV languages. It does not conduct a substantive technical review of the Test Guidelines. The members of the TC-EDC are selected by the TC, both to provide broad experience of the UPOV system and also to represent the UPOV languages – English, French, German and Spanish. The Chairperson of the TC-EDC is provided by the UPOV Secretariat.

2.2.7.2 The TC-EDC reviews the draft Test Guidelines, taking into account any specific instructions from the Technical Committee, and makes a recommendation on whether the Test Guidelines are suitable for adoption (Step 8). It may make a proposal to the Technical Committee for adoption subject to amendments of an editorial nature, which it specifies.

2.2.7.3 If it considers that there are technical issues to be resolved, the TC-EDC may seek to resolve the issues with the Leading Expert, prior to consideration of the Test Guidelines by the Technical Committee. Where this is not possible, the TC-EDC may recommend that the Technical Committee:

(a) refer the Test Guidelines back to the TWP (Step 4) or,
(b) adopt the Test Guidelines subject to further information being provided by the Leading Expert with the agreement of all interested experts and the Chairperson of the TWP concerned.

2.2.8 **STEP 8 Adoption of Draft Test Guidelines by the Technical Committee**

2.2.8.1 The Technical Committee will, on the basis of the recommendations of the TC-EDC, decide whether to adopt the Test Guidelines, or refer them back to the TWP concerned.

2.2.8.2 Where the Technical Committee adopts the Test Guidelines, the Office will make all amendments agreed by the Technical Committee, which will be recorded in a report of the relevant Technical Committee meeting. The Office will then publish the adopted Test Guidelines.
2.2.8.3 Where the Technical Committee adopts the Test Guidelines subject to further information being provided by the Leading Expert with the agreement of all interested experts and the Chairman of the TWP concerned (see 2.2.7.3(b)), the necessary information, agreed with all interested experts, should be provided to the Office within three months of the Technical Committee meeting, or before the subsequent session of the TWP concerned, whichever is the sooner. In those cases where the necessary information is not provided within this time, the Test Guidelines concerned will not be adopted and will be re-presented at the TWP concerned (Step 4).

2.3 Procedure for the Revision of Test Guidelines

2.3.1 Need for revision of Test Guidelines

Developments in plant breeding and variety production may result in a need to revise the existing Test Guidelines. For example, there may be a need to update the:

(a) Table of Characteristics; and/or 
(b) Example varieties

2.3.2 Full Revision

Where there is a need to update the Test Guidelines in a comprehensive way, for example to update the Table of Characteristics, a “full revision” is undertaken and the procedure is the same as for the introduction of new Test Guidelines as set out in Section 2.2.

2.3.3 Partial Revision

2.3.3.1 Where it is appropriate to update only a specific part of the Test Guidelines without undertaking a comprehensive review of the entire Test Guidelines, a “partial revision” is undertaken.

2.3.3.2 Partial revisions often arise as a result of new breeding developments, for example requiring the introduction of a new state of expression for an existing characteristic, or a new characteristic, or as a result of new developments for characteristics such as disease resistance, for example resulting in the need for new states for disease races. In such cases, in order to retain internationally harmonized variety descriptions, in particular for asterisked characteristics, it is beneficial to have the possibility of a rapid procedure for revision of Test Guidelines. Therefore, as an alternative to following the procedure for a full revision of the Test Guidelines (see Section 2.3.2), any member of the Union or observer State or observer organization to the Technical Committee may make a proposal for a partial revision directly to the relevant TWP(s). It is not necessary for a Leading Expert or subgroup of interested experts to be established, although it would be beneficial for the proposer of the partial revision to consult with interested experts before developing a specific proposal.

2.3.3.3 For a partial revision of Test Guidelines, a new draft of the Test Guidelines should not be prepared. The proposer of the partial revision should prepare a TWP document specifying only the revisions to be made to the adopted Test Guidelines. The timetable for the consideration of the proposal by the Technical Working Parties is as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Action</th>
<th>Latest date before the TWP session</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Circulation of draft TWP document to TWP by proposer (to be distributed by the Office):</td>
<td>14 weeks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comments to be received from TWP:</td>
<td>10 weeks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sending of draft TWP document to the Office by the proposer:</td>
<td>6 weeks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Posting of TWP document on website by the Office:</td>
<td>4 weeks</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.3.3.4 The procedure for approval of the proposed partial revision would be as set out in Sections 2.2.6 to 2.2.8, except that reference to draft Test Guidelines would be replaced by reference to a TC document specifying the revisions to be made to the adopted Test Guidelines and the reference to Leading Expert and interested experts would be replaced by reference to the proposer and the TWP, respectively.
2.4 Procedure for the Correction of Test Guidelines

The Office may make amendments to correct clear editorial mistakes in adopted Test Guidelines. The corrected Test Guidelines will be shown with “Corr.” after the TG reference. All such corrections will be reported to the Technical Committee at the first session following such corrections.

2.5 Document References

2.5.1 TG Reference

All adopted Test Guidelines receive a reference constructed as follows:

TG / [sequential number allocated to the TG - fixed] / [version number – updated at adoption]

E.g. TG/100/6

2.5.2 Introduction of New Test Guidelines

2.5.2.1 This section explains how the document references are developed for draft Test Guidelines on the basis of the following example:

Coverage of Test Guidelines: Plantus magnifica L. (Common name: Alpha)
Technical Working Party: TWX

Example 1:
Draft to TWX (2005): Alpha proj.1
Draft to TWX (2006): Alpha proj.2
Draft to Technical Committee (2007): Alpha proj.3
Final adopted document: TG/500/1

Example 2:
Draft to TWX (2005): Alpha proj.1
Draft to TWX (2006): Alpha proj.2
Draft to TWX Subgroup Meeting (2006): Alpha proj.3
Draft to TWX (2007): Alpha proj.4
Draft to Technical Committee (2008): Alpha proj.5
Final adopted document: TG/500/1

2.5.2.3 Thus, the progress of the document can be easily followed and versions can be produced for other TWP/Technical Committee based on the botanical or common name, according to which is considered the most appropriate reference. This reference is used only as a code and is based on the botanical or common name as a means of aiding recognition.

2.5.3 Full Revision of Test Guidelines

Where existing Test Guidelines are to be fully revised, different circumstances can arise. For example, the revised Test Guidelines may be a straightforward replacement of the existing Test Guidelines or the original Test Guidelines may need to be split into two or more Test Guidelines. The document references for these two particular situations are explained below using the following starting point:

Coverage of Test Guidelines: Plantus magnifica L. (Common name: Alpha)
Test Guidelines Reference: TG/500/1
Technical Working Party: TWX
2.5.3.1 Replacement of Existing Test Guidelines

In a case where TG/500/1 is being updated without any change to the coverage of the Test Guidelines, the document references would be, for example, as follows:

**Example 1:**
- Draft to TWX (2005): TG/500/2 proj.1
- Draft to TWX (2006): TG/500/2 proj.2
- Draft to Technical Committee (2007): TG/500/2 proj.3
- Final adopted document: TG/500/2

**Example 2:**
- Draft to TWX (2005): TG/500/2 proj.1
- Draft to TWX (2006): TG/500/2 proj.2
- Draft to TWX Subgroup Meeting (2006): TG/500/2 proj.3
- Draft to TWX (2007): TG/500/2 proj.4
- Draft to Technical Committee (2008): TG/500/2 proj.5
- Final adopted document: TG/500/2

2.5.3.2 Splitting of Existing Test Guidelines

In a case where the existing Test Guidelines are to be split – for example, into *Plantus magnifica* L. *major* and *Plantus magnifica* L. *minor* - the Technical Committee would decide on which type retains the TG/500 reference. If *Plantus magnifica* L. *major* retained the reference TG/500, it would be handled in exactly the same way as in 2.5.3.1, i.e. it would become TG/500/2. *Plantus magnifica* L. *minor* would be handled as a new document of Test Guidelines according to 2.5.2 and would become TG/xxx/1.

2.5.4 Partial Revision of Test Guidelines

In the case of Test Guidelines being only partly revised this would be indicated by the addition of “Rev.”, “Rev. 2”, etc.

**Example 1:**
- Draft to TWX (2005): TWX[session]/x
- Draft to TWX (2006): TWX[session]/y
- Draft to Technical Committee (2007): TC[session]/z
- Final adopted document: TG/500/1 Rev.

2.5.5 Corrections to Test Guidelines

In the case of a correction to the Test Guidelines, this would be indicated by the addition of “Corr.”, “Corr. 2”, etc.

**Example:**
- Starting version TG/500/1
- Corrected version TG/500/1 Corr.
SECTION 3: GUIDANCE FOR DRAFTING TEST GUIDELINES

3.1 The TG Template

3.1.1 UPOV has developed a template (the “TG Template”) containing the universal standard wording which is appropriate for all UPOV Test Guidelines (“Test Guidelines”) and which is prepared in the appropriate format. The TG Template is presented in Annex 1 and should be used as the starting point for the development or revision of all Test Guidelines.

3.1.2 In addition to the TG Template, further guidance is provided for drafters of Test Guidelines on how to develop individual Test Guidelines from the TG Template. This is provided by means of additional standard wording (ASW) and guidance notes (GN) and indications are provided within the TG Template on where this further guidance is available (see Sections 3.2 and 3.3).

3.2 Additional Standard Wording (ASW) for the TG Template

3.2.1 As explained above, the TG Template contains the universal standard wording which is appropriate for all Test Guidelines. However, UPOV has developed additional standard wording which should be used, where appropriate, for the Test Guidelines concerned. For example, for Test Guidelines where the material is supplied in the form of seed, there is standard wording concerning the quality of the seed to be supplied. Of course, this standard wording for seed should not be included in Test Guidelines where, for example, the material is to be provided as tubers and for this reason such additional standard wording is not included in the TG Template. The additional standard wording is presented in Annex 2, Additional Standard Wording (ASW) for the TG Template.

3.2.2 Where such additional standard wording is available, an insert is highlighted in the TG Template at the appropriate location, e.g.

[ASW 1] (TG Template: Chapter 2.3) – seed quality requirements

3.3 Guidance Notes (GN) for the TG Template

3.3.1 There are many aspects of the Test Guidelines where the individual drafter’s experience and knowledge are needed for preparing the Test Guidelines. This includes, for example, the selection of appropriate ASW, trial design, the identification of characteristics and the selection of example varieties. In such situations general guidance on how to proceed in a harmonized way, in line with the experience accumulated by UPOV through the crop experts, is provided by a series of guidance notes presented in Annex 3, Guidance Notes (GN) for the TG Template.

3.3.2 Where such guidance is available for drafters, an insert is highlighted in the TG Template at the appropriate location, e.g.

[GN 5] (TG Template: Chapter 1.1) – Subject of the Test Guidelines: Family Name}
SECTION 4: DEVELOPMENT OF INDIVIDUAL AUTHORITIES' TEST GUIDELINES

4.1 Individual Authorities' Test Guidelines based on UPOV Test Guidelines

4.1.1 As explained in Section 1.1, the General Introduction states that "Where UPOV has established specific Test Guidelines for a particular species, or other group(s) of varieties, these represent an agreed and harmonized approach for the examination of new varieties and, in conjunction with the basic principles contained in the General Introduction, should form the basis of the DUS test.". Thus, it is intended that the Test Guidelines can be used by individual authorities, with appropriate administrative changes, as the basis of the DUS test. Whilst it may be appropriate to modify certain aspects of the Test Guidelines for use by an individual authority, the use of Test Guidelines as individual authorities’ test guidelines is important for the harmonization of variety descriptions. In that regard, the “Model Administrative Agreement for International Cooperation in the Testing of Varieties”, Article 2 states that “Where the Council of UPOV has adopted Guidelines for the Conduct of Tests for Distinctness, Uniformity and Stability (“Test Guidelines”) for a genus or species covered by this Agreement, the examination shall be conducted according to those Test Guidelines. [...]” (see document TGP/5 “Experience and Cooperation in DUS Testing”, Section 1). The following explanations may be helpful for individual authorities in considering the development of their own test guidelines:

(a) Quantity of plant material to be supplied by the applicant

4.1.2 The quantity of plant material specified in Chapter 2.3 of the Test Guidelines is the minimum quantity that an authority might request of the applicant. Therefore, each authority may decide to request a larger quantity of plant material, for example to allow for potential losses during establishment, or for a standard sample (see GN 7 “Quantity of plant material required”).

(b) Selection of characteristics from the Test Guidelines

4.1.3 The General Introduction (Chapter 4.8; Table) explains that asterisked characteristics “are important for the international harmonization of variety descriptions” and “should always be examined for DUS and included in the variety description by all members of the Union except when the state of expression of a preceding characteristic or regional environmental conditions render this inappropriate.”.

4.1.4 Standard Test Guidelines characteristics are “characteristics that are accepted by UPOV for examination of DUS and from which members of the Union can select those suitable for their particular circumstances”, i.e. members of the Union may choose not to include all characteristics in the Test Guidelines in their own authority test guidelines. As explained in Section 4.1, the use of Test Guidelines as individual authorities’ test guidelines is important for the harmonization of variety descriptions. Therefore, reference to the corresponding characteristic number in the Test Guidelines should be made in the individual authorities’ test guidelines.

(c) Example Varieties

4.1.5 Annex 3 to this document: GN 28(1), explains that one of the reasons why example varieties are included in Test Guidelines is “to provide the basis for ascribing the appropriate state of expression to each variety and, thereby, to develop internationally harmonized variety descriptions”. That purpose can be achieved by using the same example varieties in the individual authorities’ test guidelines as in the Test Guidelines or, where appropriate, by using other example varieties that have been found to have the same state of expression for the characteristic concerned but which may be more readily available in the territory for which the individual authorities’ test guidelines apply. Furthermore, it may be possible to develop a more complete set of example varieties for individual authorities’ test guidelines than are provided in the Test Guidelines. However, if the example varieties in the individual authorities’ test guidelines do not correspond to the states of expression of the example varieties in the Test Guidelines, international harmonization of variety descriptions could be lost.

4.1.6 The regional adaptation of varieties in some genera and species may mean that it is impractical to seek to harmonize variety descriptions on a global basis and, in such cases, Test Guidelines may have regional sets of example varieties (see GN 28(4.2)). For such situations, individual authorities can select the most appropriate regional set of example varieties as a basis for their individual authority test guidelines.

4.1.7 Where included in individual authorities’ test guidelines and in the growing trial, example varieties help to ensure that the variety descriptions produced in the territory concerned are harmonized as far as
possible. Such harmonization of variety descriptions is valuable for the selection of varieties for the growing trial and the organization of the growing trial (see document TGP/9/1: Section 2 “Selecting varieties for the growing trial”; and Section 3 “Growing trial organization”, respectively). In addition, a comprehensive set of example varieties that are well known and readily available in the territory of the authority concerned, assists breeders to provide more precise information on their varieties in the Technical Questionnaire or application form.

(d) Additional characteristics

4.1.8 The General Introduction (Chapter 4.8; Table) explains that “additional characteristics” are “characteristics, not included in the Test Guidelines, that have been used by members of the Union in the examination of DUS and which should be considered for inclusion in future Test Guidelines.” Additional characteristics must satisfy the criteria for use of any characteristic for DUS as set out in the General Introduction, Chapter 4.2 and must have been used to establish DUS in at least one member of the Union. Such characteristics should be reported at the relevant Technical Working Party and/or submitted to UPOV for inclusion in document TGP/5, Section 10 “Notification of Additional Characteristics”. As appropriate, such additional characteristics might be included in individual authorities’ test guidelines, or might be used by individual authorities on an ad hoc basis where appropriate for the examination of [a] particular variety[ies].

(e) Modification of Test Guidelines characteristics

4.1.9 It may become necessary for a characteristic in individual authorities’ test guidelines to be changed over time, e.g. to create new states of expression arising from breeding developments. Such changes would mean that the characteristic in the individual authorities’ test guidelines would become different from that in the Test Guidelines. In order to retain internationally harmonized variety descriptions, in particular for asterisked characteristics, such changes should be reported at the relevant Technical Working Party and/or submitted to UPOV for inclusion in document TGP/5, Section 10 “Notification of Additional Characteristics”. In the interim, members of the Union may indicate in DUS reports that the characteristic in the individual authorities’ test guidelines has some differences to the characteristic in the Test Guidelines.

(f) Revision of Test Guidelines

4.1.10 As explained in Section 4.1.1, the use of Test Guidelines as individual authorities’ test guidelines is important for the harmonization of variety descriptions. Therefore, individual authorities’ test guidelines should be reviewed following a revision of Test Guidelines.

(g) Technical Questionnaire characteristics

4.1.11 The General Introduction (Chapter 5.3.1.4) explains that “To help in the process of examining varieties, certain information is requested from the breeder, usually through a Technical Questionnaire to be submitted with the application. The model Technical Questionnaire, included in the Test Guidelines, seeks information on specific characteristics of importance for distinguishing varieties, [...]”. Thus, the characteristics included in Chapter 10, Section 5 “Characteristics of the variety to be indicated” of the Test Guidelines are intended to provide guidance to authorities on characteristics for which information from the breeder would be particularly useful. The individual authorities’ technical questionnaire may request additional information to that requested in the Technical Questionnaire of the Test Guidelines.

4.2 Individual Authorities’ Test Guidelines in the absence of UPOV Test Guidelines

4.2.1 The General Introduction also states that “Where UPOV has not established individual Test Guidelines relevant to the variety to be examined, the examination should be carried out in accordance with the principles in this document [the General Introduction] and, in particular, the recommendations contained in Chapter 9, Conduct of DUS Testing in the Absence of Test Guidelines. In particular, the recommendations in Chapter 9 are based on the approach whereby, in the absence of Test Guidelines, the DUS examiner proceeds in the same general way as if developing new Test Guidelines.” Thus, in the absence of Test Guidelines, this document is also aimed at the drafters of individual authorities’ test guidelines.

4.2.2 As a first step, the GENIE database (http://www.upov.int/genie/en), or document TGP/5 “Experience and Cooperation in DUS testing”: Section 9: List of Species in Which Practical Knowledge has Been Acquired or for Which National Test Guidelines Have Been Established (TGP/5/1 Section 9), can be used to identify members of the Union which have practical experience of DUS testing for the species
concerned. In some cases, those members of the Union may already have prepared individual authorities’ test guidelines which can be used as a basis, thereby also helping to ensure international harmonization in DUS testing where Test Guidelines have not been developed.

4.2.3 In the case of authorities which require assistance in the development of individual authorities’ test guidelines in the absence of Test Guidelines, the Office of the Union (“Office”) can identify experienced UPOV DUS experts who will be able to provide assistance in that process.

4.2.4 Once an authority has acquired experience in testing a particular species, it should communicate this to the Office for updating of the GENIE database (http://www.upov.int/genie/en) and document TGP/5. Where considered appropriate, according to the factors for prioritizing the commissioning of Test Guidelines set out in TGP/7 “Development of Test Guidelines”, Section 2, proposals may be made for the development of Test Guidelines.

4.3 Drafter’s Kit for Test Guidelines

To assist individual authorities’ in the drafting of their test guidelines, UPOV has provided certain practical information on the restricted area of the UPOV website (http://www.upov.int/restricted_temporary/twptg/en/drafters_kit.html) in the form of a “TG Drafter’s Kit”. To assist individual authorities in converting the Test Guidelines into a suitable form for their own use, the TG Drafter’s Kit includes all adopted Test Guidelines in Word format. To provide assistance for the development of individual authorities’ test guidelines in the absence of Test Guidelines, the TG Drafter’s Kit includes, for example, an electronic version of the TG Template (document TGP/7, Annex 1 and the “Collection of Approved Characteristics”, document TGP/7, Annex 4).

[Annex 1 follows]
ANNEX 1:
TG TEMPLATE
GUIDELINES
FOR THE CONDUCT OF TESTS
FOR DISTINCTNESS, UNIFORMITY AND STABILITY

prepared by [an expert] / [experts] from [drafting country(ies) / organization(s)]

to be considered by the
Technical Working Party for [xxx] at its [xxx] session, to be held in [xxx] from [xxx]

Alternative Names:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Botanical name</th>
<th>English</th>
<th>French</th>
<th>German</th>
<th>Spanish</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

The purpose of these guidelines (“Test Guidelines”) is to elaborate the principles contained in the General Introduction (document TG/1/3), and its associated TGP documents, into detailed practical guidance for the harmonized examination of distinctness, uniformity and stability (DUS) and, in particular, to identify appropriate characteristics for the examination of DUS and production of harmonized variety descriptions.

ASSOCIATED DOCUMENTS

These Test Guidelines should be read in conjunction with the General Introduction and its associated TGP documents.

Other associated UPOV documents:
# TABLE OF CONTENTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. SUBJECT OF THESE TEST GUIDELINES</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
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<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. METHOD OF EXAMINATION</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.1 NUMBER OF GROWING CYCLES</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.2 TESTING PLACE</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.3 CONDITIONS FOR CONDUCTING THE EXAMINATION</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.4 TEST DESIGN</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.5 ADDITIONAL TESTS</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
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<td>24</td>
</tr>
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1. Subject of these Test Guidelines

These Test Guidelines apply to all varieties of

- (GN 3) Subject of the Test Guidelines: More than one species
- (GN 4) Subject of the Test Guidelines: Different types or groups within a species or genus
- (GN 5) Subject of the Test Guidelines: Family name
- (GN 6) Guidance for New Types and Species
- (ASW 0) Coverage of types of varieties in Test Guidelines

2. Material Required

2.1 The competent authorities decide on the quantity and quality of the plant material required for testing the variety and when and where it is to be delivered. Applicants submitting material from a State other than that in which the testing takes place must ensure that all customs formalities and phytosanitary requirements are complied with.

2.2 The material is to be supplied in the form of (xx).

2.3 The minimum quantity of plant material, to be supplied by the applicant, should be:

- (GN 7) Quantity of plant material required
- (ASW 1) Seed quality requirements

2.4 The plant material supplied should be visibly healthy, not lacking in vigor, nor affected by any important pest or disease.

2.5 The plant material should not have undergone any treatment which would affect the expression of the characteristics of the variety, unless the competent authorities allow or request such treatment. If it has been treated, full details of the treatment must be given.

3. Method of Examination

3.1 Number of Growing Cycles

The minimum duration of tests should normally be:

- (ASW 2) Number of growing cycles
- (GN 8) Explanation of the growing cycle
- (ASW 3) Explanation of the growing cycle

3.2 Testing Place

Tests are normally conducted at one place. In the case of tests conducted at more than one place, guidance is provided in TGP/9 “Examining Distinctness”.

3.3 Conditions for Conducting the Examination

[3.3.1] The tests should be carried out under conditions ensuring satisfactory growth for the expression of the relevant characteristics of the variety and for the conduct of the examination.

- (ASW 4) Conditions for conducting the examination
- (GN 9) Growth stage key
3.4  Test Design

{ GN 10.1 (Chapter 3.4) – test design }
{ ASW 5 (Chapter 3.4) – plot design }
{ ASW 6 (Chapter 3.4) – removal of plants or parts of plants }

3.5  Additional Tests

Additional tests, for examining relevant characteristics, may be established.

4.  Assessment of Distinctness, Uniformity and Stability

4.1  Distinctness

4.1.1  General Recommendations

It is of particular importance for users of these Test Guidelines to consult the General Introduction prior to making decisions regarding distinctness. However, the following points are provided for elaboration or emphasis in these Test Guidelines.

{ ASW 7(a) (Chapter 4.1.1) – Distinctness: parent formula }

4.1.2  Consistent Differences

The differences observed between varieties may be so clear that more than one growing cycle is not necessary. In addition, in some circumstances, the influence of the environment is not such that more than a single growing cycle is required to provide assurance that the differences observed between varieties are sufficiently consistent. One means of ensuring that a difference in a characteristic, observed in a growing trial, is sufficiently consistent is to examine the characteristic in at least two independent growing cycles.

4.1.3  Clear Differences

Determining whether a difference between two varieties is clear depends on many factors, and should consider, in particular, the type of expression of the characteristic being examined, i.e. whether it is expressed in a qualitative, quantitative, or pseudo-qualitative manner. Therefore, it is important that users of these Test Guidelines are familiar with the recommendations contained in the General Introduction prior to making decisions regarding distinctness.

4.1.4  Number of Plants / Parts of Plants to be Examined

Unless otherwise indicated, for the purposes of distinctness, all observations on single plants should be made on \( x \) plants or parts taken from each of \( x \) plants and any other observations made on all plants in the test, disregarding any off-type plants.

{ ASW 7(b) (Chapter 4.1.4) – Number of Plants / Parts of Plants to be Examined }
{ GN 10.2 (Chapter 4.1.4) – Number of Plants / Parts of Plants to be Examined (for distinctness) }

4.1.5  Method of Observation

The recommended method of observing the characteristic for the purposes of distinctness is indicated by the following key in the second column of the Table of Characteristics (see document TGP/9 “Examining Distinctness”, Section 4 “Observation of characteristics”):

MG: single measurement of a group of plants or parts of plants
MS: measurement of a number of individual plants or parts of plants
VG: visual assessment by a single observation of a group of plants or parts of plants
VS: visual assessment by observation of individual plants or parts of plants
Type of observation: visual (V) or measurement (M)

“Visual” observation (V) is an observation made on the basis of the expert’s judgment. For the purposes of this document, “visual” observation refers to the sensory observations of the experts and, therefore, also includes smell, taste and touch. Visual observation includes observations where the expert uses reference points (e.g. diagrams, example varieties, side-by-side comparison) or non-linear charts (e.g. color charts). Measurement (M) is an objective observation against a calibrated, linear scale e.g. using a ruler, weighing scales, colorimeter, dates, counts, etc.

Type of record: for a group of plants (G) or for single, individual plants (S)

For the purposes of distinctness, observations may be recorded as a single record for a group of plants or parts of plants (G), or may be recorded as records for a number of single, individual plants or parts of plants (S). In most cases, “G” provides a single record per variety and it is not possible or necessary to apply statistical methods in a plant-by-plant analysis for the assessment of distinctness.

In cases where more than one method of observing the characteristic is indicated in the Table of Characteristics (e.g. VG/MG), guidance on selecting an appropriate method is provided in document TGP/9, Section 4.2.

4.2 Uniformity

4.2.1 It is of particular importance for users of these Test Guidelines to consult the General Introduction prior to making decisions regarding uniformity. However, the following points are provided for elaboration or emphasis in these Test Guidelines:

4.2.2 These Test Guidelines have been developed for the examination of [type or types of propagation] varieties. For varieties with other types of propagation the recommendations in the General Introduction and document TGP/13 “Guidance for new types and species”, Section 4.5 “Testing Uniformity” should be followed.

4.3 Stability

4.3.1 In practice, it is not usual to perform tests of stability that produce results as certain as those of the testing of distinctness and uniformity. However, experience has demonstrated that, for many types of variety, when a variety has been shown to be uniform, it can also be considered to be stable.

4.3.2 [ASW 9] (Chapter 4.3.2) – stability assessment: general

4.3.3 [ASW 10] (Chapter 4.3.3) – stability assessment: hybrid varieties

5. Grouping of Varieties and Organization of the Growing Trial

5.1 The selection of varieties of common knowledge to be grown in the trial with the candidate varieties and the way in which these varieties are divided into groups to facilitate the assessment of distinctness are aided by the use of grouping characteristics.

5.2 Grouping characteristics are those in which the documented states of expression, even where produced at different locations, can be used, either individually or in combination with other such characteristics: (a) to select varieties of common knowledge that can be excluded from the growing trial used for examination of distinctness; and (b) to organize the growing trial so that similar varieties are grouped together.
5.3 The following have been agreed as useful grouping characteristics:

\{ GN 13.2, 13.4 \} (Chapter 5.3) – Grouping characteristics

5.4 Guidance for the use of grouping characteristics, in the process of examining distinctness, is provided through the General Introduction and document TGP/9 “Examining Distinctness”.

6. Introduction to the Table of Characteristics

6.1 Categories of Characteristics

6.1.1 Standard Test Guidelines Characteristics

Standard Test Guidelines characteristics are those which are approved by UPOV for examination of DUS and from which members of the Union can select those suitable for their particular circumstances.

6.1.2 Asterisked Characteristics

Asterisked characteristics (denoted by *) are those included in the Test Guidelines which are important for the international harmonization of variety descriptions and should always be examined for DUS and included in the variety description by all members of the Union, except when the state of expression of a preceding characteristic or regional environmental conditions render this inappropriate.

6.2 States of Expression and Corresponding Notes

6.2.1 States of expression are given for each characteristic to define the characteristic and to harmonize descriptions. Each state of expression is allocated a corresponding numerical note for ease of recording of data and for the production and exchange of the description.

6.2.2 In the case of qualitative and pseudo/qualitative characteristics (see Chapter 6.3), all relevant states of expression are presented in the characteristic. However, in the case of quantitative characteristics with 5 or more states, an abbreviated scale may be used to minimize the size of the Table of Characteristics. For example, in the case of a quantitative characteristic with 9 states, the presentation of states of expression in the Test Guidelines may be abbreviated as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State</th>
<th>Note</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>small</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>medium</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>large</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

However, it should be noted that all of the following 9 states of expression exist to describe varieties and should be used as appropriate:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State</th>
<th>Note</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>very small</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>very small to small</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>small</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>small to medium</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>medium</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>medium to large</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>large</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>large to very large</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>very large</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

6.2.3 Further explanation of the presentation of states of expression and notes is provided in document TGP/7 “Development of Test Guidelines”.

6.3 Types of Expression

An explanation of the types of expression of characteristics (qualitative, quantitative and pseudo-qualitative) is provided in the General Introduction.
6.4 Example Varieties

Where appropriate, example varieties are provided to clarify the states of expression of each characteristic.

6.5 Legend

(*) Asterisked characteristic – see Chapter 6 (Section 6.1.2)
(QL) Qualitative characteristic – see Chapter 6 (Section 6.3)
(QN) Quantitative characteristic – see Chapter 6 (Section 6.3)
(PQ) Pseudo-qualitative characteristic – see Chapter 6 (Section 6.3)
MG, MS, VG, VS – see Chapter 4.1.5

{ ASW 11 (Chapter 6.5) – Legend: Explanations covering several characteristics }

(+) See Explanations on the Table of Characteristics in Chapter 8.
7. Table of Characteristics/Tableau des caractères/Merkmalstabelle/Tabla de caracteres

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Char. No.</th>
<th>English</th>
<th>français</th>
<th>deutsch</th>
<th>español</th>
<th>Example Varieties/Exemples/Beispielssorten/Variedades/Variedades</th>
<th>Note/Nota</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(GN 12)</td>
<td>Selecting a characteristic for inclusion in the Table of Characteristics</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(GN 14)</td>
<td>Characteristics examined by patented methods</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(GN 15)</td>
<td>Physiological characteristics</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(GN 16)</td>
<td>New types of characteristics</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(GN 17)</td>
<td>Presentation of Characteristics: Approved characteristics</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(GN 21)</td>
<td>Type of expression of the characteristic</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(GN 22)</td>
<td>Explanation for individual characteristics</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(GN 23)</td>
<td>Explanations covering several characteristics</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(GN 24)</td>
<td>Growth stage</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(GN 25)</td>
<td>Recommendations for conducting the examination</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(GN 26)</td>
<td>Order of characteristics in the Table of Characteristics</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(GN 27)</td>
<td>Handling a long list of characteristics in the Table of Characteristics</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
8. Explanations on the Table of Characteristics

- **ASW 12.1** (Chapter 8) – Explanations covering several characteristics
- **ASW 12.2** (Chapter 8) – Definition of time of eating maturity
- **GN 0** (Cover page; Chapter 8) – Use of proprietary text, photographs and illustrations in Test Guidelines
- **GN 29** (Chapter 8) – Example varieties: Names
- **GN 36** (Chapter 8) – Providing illustrations of color in Test Guidelines

9. Literature

- **GN 30** (Chapter 9) - Literature
## Technical Questionnaire

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TECHNICAL QUESTIONNAIRE</th>
<th>Page (x) of (y)</th>
<th>Reference Number:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Application date:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(not to be filled in by the applicant)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TECHNICAL QUESTIONNAIRE

to be completed in connection with an application for plant breeders’ rights

1. Subject of the Technical Questionnaire

1.1 Botanical name  
{ Botanical name }

1.2 Common name  
{ Common name }

2. Applicant

Name

Address

Telephone No.

Fax No.

E-mail address

Breeder (if different from applicant)

3. Proposed denomination and breeder’s reference

Proposed denomination

(if available)

Breeder’s reference
4. Information on the breeding scheme and propagation of the variety

4.1 Breeding scheme

{ ASW 15  (Chapter 10: TQ 4.1) – information on breeding scheme }

4.2 Method of propagating the variety

{ GN 31  (Chapter 10: TQ 4.2) – information on method of propagating the variety }

{ GN 32  (Chapter 10: TQ 4.2) – information on method of propagation of hybrid varieties }

5. Characteristics of the variety to be indicated (the number in brackets refers to the corresponding characteristic in Test Guidelines; please mark the note which best corresponds).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Example Varieties</th>
<th>Note</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>{ GN 13.3, 13.4 (Chapter 10: TQ 5) – TQ characteristics }</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Authorities may allow certain of this information to be provided in a confidential section of the Technical Questionnaire.
6. Similar varieties and differences from these varieties

Please use the following table and box for comments to provide information on how your candidate variety differs from the variety (or varieties) which, to the best of your knowledge, is (or are) most similar. This information may help the examination authority to conduct its examination of distinctness in a more efficient way.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Denomination(s) of variety(ies) similar to your candidate variety</th>
<th>Characteristic(s) in which your candidate variety differs from the similar variety(ies)</th>
<th>Describe the expression of the characteristic(s) for the similar variety(ies)</th>
<th>Describe the expression of the characteristic(s) for your candidate variety</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Example</td>
<td>[GN 33]</td>
<td>(Chapter 10: TQ 6) – similar varieties</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comments:
## TECHNICAL QUESTIONNAIRE

### 7. Additional information which may help in the examination of the variety

**7.1 In addition to the information provided in sections 5 and 6, are there any additional characteristics which may help to distinguish the variety?**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[ ]</td>
<td>[ ]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(If yes, please provide details)

**7.2 Are there any special conditions for growing the variety or conducting the examination?**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[ ]</td>
<td>[ ]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(If yes, please provide details)

**7.3 Other information**

- GN 34 (Chapter 10: TQ 7.3) – variety use
- ASW 16 (Chapter 10: TQ 7.3) – where a photograph of the variety is to be provided
- GN 35 (Chapter 10: TQ 7.3) – guidance for applicants on providing suitable photographs of the candidate variety as accompaniment to the Technical Questionnaire

### 8. Authorization for release

(a) Does the variety require prior authorization for release under legislation concerning the protection of the environment, human and animal health?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[ ]</td>
<td>[ ]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(b) Has such authorization been obtained?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[ ]</td>
<td>[ ]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

If the answer to (b) is yes, please attach a copy of the authorization.

---

* Authorities may allow certain of this information to be provided in a confidential section of the Technical Questionnaire.
9. Information on plant material to be examined or submitted for examination.

9.1 The expression of a characteristic or several characteristics of a variety may be affected by factors, such as pests and disease, chemical treatment (e.g. growth retardants or pesticides), effects of tissue culture, different rootstocks, scions taken from different growth phases of a tree, etc.

9.2 The plant material should not have undergone any treatment which would affect the expression of the characteristics of the variety, unless the competent authorities allow or request such treatment. If the plant material has undergone such treatment, full details of the treatment must be given. In this respect, please indicate below, to the best of your knowledge, if the plant material to be examined has been subjected to:

(a) Microorganisms (e.g. virus, bacteria, phytoplasma)  
Yes [ ]  No [ ]

(b) Chemical treatment (e.g. growth retardant, pesticide)  
Yes [ ]  No [ ]

(c) Tissue culture  
Yes [ ]  No [ ]

(d) Other factors  
Yes [ ]  No [ ]

Please provide details for where you have indicated “yes”.

......................................................................................................................................................................

{ ASW 17 (Chapter 10: TQ 9.3) – tests for the presence of virus or other pathogens }

10. I hereby declare that, to the best of my knowledge, the information provided in this form is correct:

Applicant’s name

Signature  
Date
ANNEX 2:

ADDITIONAL STANDARD WORDING (ASW)

FOR THE TG TEMPLATE
This section presents the additional standard wording (ASW) which can be added to the standard wording within the TG Template (Annex 1). The numbering refers to the numbering in the TG Template.

Key

{...} Blank for the relevant information to be inserted by the drafter of the Test Guidelines.
ASW 0 (TG Template: Chapter 1.1) – Coverage of types of varieties in Test Guidelines

Where appropriate, the following ASW may be included in Chapter 1.1. Such wording should not lead to any particular conclusions as to whether other types of varieties should or should not be covered by the development of separate Test Guidelines, since that would need to be considered on a case-by-case basis.

“In the case of [ornamental] [fruit] [industrial] [vegetable] [agricultural] [etc.] varieties, in particular, it may be necessary to use additional characteristics or additional states of expression to those included in the Table of Characteristics in order to examine Distinctness, Uniformity and Stability.”

ASW 1 (TG Template: Chapter 2.3) – Seed quality requirements

(a) Test Guidelines which only apply to seed-propagated varieties

Alternative 1: “The seed should meet the minimum requirements for germination, species and analytical purity, health and moisture content, specified by the competent authority. In cases where the seed is to be stored, the germination capacity should be as high as possible and should, be stated by the applicant.”

Alternative 2: “The seed should meet the minimum requirements for germination, species and analytical purity, health and moisture content, specified by the competent authority.”

(b) Test Guidelines which apply to seed-propagated as well as other types of varieties

Alternative 1: “In the case of seed, the seed should meet the minimum requirements for germination, species and analytical purity, health and moisture content, specified by the competent authority. In cases where the seed is to be stored, the germination capacity should be as high as possible and should, be stated by the applicant.”

Alternative 2: “In the case of seed, the seed should meet the minimum requirements for germination, species and analytical purity, health and moisture content, specified by the competent authority.”

ASW 2 (TG Template: Chapter 3.1) – Number of growing cycles

(a) Single growing cycle

“The minimum duration of tests should normally be a single growing cycle.”

(b) Two independent growing cycles

“The minimum duration of tests should normally be two independent growing cycles.”

ASW 3 (TG Template: Chapter 3.1.2) – Explanation of the growing cycle

(a) Fruit species with clearly defined dormant period

“3.1.2 The growing cycle is considered to be the duration of a single growing season, beginning with bud burst (flowering and/or vegetative), flowering and fruit harvest and concluding when the following dormant period ends with the swelling of new season buds.”

(b) Fruit species with no clearly defined dormant period

“3.1.2 The growing cycle is considered to be the period ranging from the beginning of active vegetative growth or flowering, continuing through active vegetative growth or flowering and fruit development and concluding with the harvesting of fruit.”
(c) Evergreen species with indeterminate growth

The growing cycle is considered to be the period ranging from the beginning of development of an individual flower or inflorescence, through fruit development and concluding with the harvesting of fruit from the corresponding individual flower or inflorescence.

(d) Fruit species

In the case of Test Guidelines covering fruit species, the following sentence may be added in Chapter 3.1:

“In particular, it is essential that the [trees] / [plants] produce a satisfactory crop of fruit in each of the two growing cycles.”

(e) Two independent cycles in the form of two separate plantings

Where appropriate, the following sentence may be added in Chapter 3.1:

“The two independent growing cycles should be in the form of two separate plantings.”

(f) Two independent cycles from a single planting

Where appropriate, the following sentence may be added in Chapter 3.1:

“The two independent growing cycles may be observed from a single planting, examined in two separate growing cycles.”

ASW 4 (TG Template: Chapter 3.3) – Conditions for conducting the examination

Information for conducting the examination of particular characteristics

(a) Stage of development for the assessment

“The optimum stage of development for the assessment of each characteristic is indicated by a reference in the second column of the Table of Characteristics. The stages of development denoted by each reference are described in Chapter 8 [...].”

(b) Type of plot for observation

The following text may, for example, be added to appropriate Test Guidelines:

“The recommended type of plot in which to observe the characteristic is indicated by the following key in the second column of the Table of Characteristics:

A: spaced plants
B: row plot
C: special test

“Other examples may also be developed, for example to refer to other types of plots (e.g. drilled plots).”

(c) Observation of color by eye

“Because daylight varies, color determinations made against a color chart should be made either in a suitable cabinet providing artificial daylight or in the middle of the day in a room without direct sunlight. The spectral distribution of the illuminant for artificial daylight should conform with the CIE Standard of Preferred Daylight D 6500 and should fall within the tolerances set out in the British Standard 950, Part I. These determinations should be made with the plant part placed against a white background. The color chart and version used should be specified in the variety description.”
ASW 5 (TG Template: Chapter 3.4) – Plot design

(a) Single plots

“Each test should be designed to result in a total of at least {…} [plants] / [trees].”

(b) Spaced plants and row plots

“Each test should be designed to result in a total of at least {…} spaced plants and {…} meters of row plot.”

(c) Replicated plots

“Each test should be designed to result in a total of at least {…} plants, which should be divided between at least {…} replicates.”

ASW 6 (TG Template: Chapter 3.4) – Removal of plants or parts of plants

“The design of the tests should be such that plants or parts of plants may be removed for measurement or counting without prejudice to the observations which must be made up to the end of the growing cycle.”

ASW 7(a) (Chapter 4.1.1) – Distinctness: parent formula

To assess distinctness of hybrids, the parent lines and the formula may be used according to the following recommendations:

(i) description of parent lines according to the Test Guidelines;

(ii) check of the originality of the parent lines in comparison with the variety collection, based on the characteristics in Chapter 7, in order to identify similar parent lines;

(iii) check of the originality of the hybrid formula in relation to the hybrids in the variety collection, taking into account the most similar lines; and

(iv) assessment of the distinctness at the hybrid level for varieties with a similar formula.

Further guidance is provided in documents TGP/9 “Examining Distinctness” and TGP/8 “Trial Design and Techniques Used in the Examination of Distinctness, Uniformity and Stability”.

ASW 7(b) (Chapter 4.1.4) – Number of plants / parts of plants to be examined

The following sentence may be added where appropriate:

“In the case of observations of parts taken from single plants, the number of parts to be taken from each of the plants should be { y }.”

ASW 8 (TG Template: Chapter 4.2) – Uniformity assessment

(a) Cross-pollinated varieties

(i) Test Guidelines covering only cross-pollinated varieties

“The assessment of uniformity should be according to the recommendations for cross-pollinated varieties in the General Introduction.”
(ii) **Test Guidelines covering cross-pollinated varieties and varieties with other forms of propagation**

“The assessment of uniformity for [cross-pollinated][seed-propagated] varieties should be according to the recommendations for cross-pollinated varieties in the General Introduction.”

(b) **Hybrid varieties**

“The assessment of uniformity for hybrid varieties depends on the type of hybrid and should be according to the recommendations for hybrid varieties in the General Introduction.”

(c) **Uniformity assessment by off-types (all characteristics observed on the same sample size)**

“For the assessment of uniformity of [self-pollinated] [vegetatively propagated] [seed-propagated] varieties, a population standard of \(x\)% and an acceptance probability of at least \(y\)% should be applied. In the case of a sample size of \(a\) plants, \([b\) off-types are]/\([1 off-type is] allowed."

(d) **Uniformity assessment by off-types (characteristics observed on different sample sizes)**

In cases where samples of different sizes are used for the assessment of uniformity of different characteristics, guidance should be given for all sample sizes. In such cases, the relevant sample size for each characteristic should be indicated in the Table of Characteristics.

(i) **Uniformity assessment on all plants in the test**

“For the assessment of uniformity in a sample of \(a1\) plants, a population standard of \(x1\)% and an acceptance probability of at least \(y\)% should be applied. In the case of a sample size of \(a1\) plants, \([b1\) off-types are]/\([1 off-type is] allowed.

(ii) **Uniformity assessment on a sub-sample**

“For the assessment of uniformity of [plants, parts of plants] / [ear-rows] / [panicle-rows], a population standard of \(x2\)% and an acceptance probability of at least \(y\)% should be applied. In the case of a sample size of \(a2\) [plants, parts of plants] / [ear-rows] / [panicle rows], \([b2\) off-types [plants, parts of plants] / [ear-rows] / [panicle-rows] are]/\([1 off-type [ear-row] / [panicle-row] is] allowed."

"[An ear-row] / [A panicle-row] is considered to be an off-type [ear-row] / [panicle-row] if there is more than one off-type plant within that [ear-row] / [panicle-row]."

(iii) **Indication of sample size in the Table of Characteristics**

“The recommended sample size for the assessment of uniformity is indicated by the following key in the table of characteristics:

- \(\{A\} \) sample size of \(a1\) plants
- \(\{B\} \) sample size of \(a2\) plants/parts of plants/ear-rows/panicle-rows"

(e) **Uniformity assessment where the parent formula is used**

“Where the assessment of a hybrid variety involves the parent lines, the uniformity of the hybrid variety should, in addition to an examination of the hybrid variety itself, also be assessed by examination of the uniformity of its parent lines.”
ASW 9 (TG Template: Chapter 4.3.2) – Stability assessment: general

(a) Test Guidelines covering seed-propagated and vegetatively propagated varieties

“Where appropriate, or in cases of doubt, stability may be further examined by testing a new seed or plant stock to ensure that it exhibits the same characteristics as those shown by the initial material supplied.”

(b) Test Guidelines covering only seed-propagated varieties

“Where appropriate, or in cases of doubt, stability may be further examined by testing a new seed stock to ensure that it exhibits the same characteristics as those shown by the initial material supplied.”

(c) Test Guidelines covering only vegetatively propagated varieties

“Where appropriate, or in cases of doubt, stability may be further examined by testing a new plant stock to ensure that it exhibits the same characteristics as those shown by the initial material supplied.”

ASW 10 (TG Template: Chapter 4.3.3) – Stability assessment: hybrid varieties

“Where appropriate, or in cases of doubt, the stability of a hybrid variety may, in addition to an examination of the hybrid variety itself, also be assessed by examination of the uniformity and stability of its parent lines.”

ASW 11 (TG Template: Chapter 6.5) – Legend: Explanations covering several characteristics

“(a)-(x) See Explanations on the Table of Characteristics in Chapter 8.1”

ASW 12.1 (TG Template: Chapter 8) – Explanations covering several characteristics

“8.1 Explanations covering several characteristics

“Characteristics containing the following key in the second column of the Table of Characteristics should be examined as indicated below:

(a)
(b) etc.

“8.2 Explanations for individual characteristics

Ad. 1 etc.”

ASW 12.2 (TG Template: Chapter 8) – Definition of time of eating maturity

(a) Test Guidelines covering varieties with non-climacteric fruit (e.g. cherry, strawberry)

“The time of eating maturity is the time when the fruit has reached optimum color, firmness, texture, aroma and flavor for consumption.”

(b) Test Guidelines covering varieties with climacteric fruit (e.g. apple)

“The time of eating maturity is the time when the fruit has reached optimum color, firmness, texture, aroma and flavor for consumption. Depending on the genotype, the eating maturity can occur directly after removal from the plant or after a period of storage or conditioning.”

1 The General Introduction (Chapter 7.3.1.2) also explains that, where appropriate, or in cases of doubt, stability may also be tested by growing a further generation. However, where appropriate or in cases of doubt, in general, authorities test a new seed or plant stock. The term “initial material supplied” is considered to be more appropriate than the term “previous material supplied”, which has been used in the General Introduction (see General Introduction, Chapter 7.3.1.2).
ASW 13 (TG Template: Chapter 10: TQ Title) – TQ for hybrid varieties

In cases where the parent formula may be used for the assessment of distinctness (see ASW 7(a) (Chapter 4.1.1) – Distinctness: parent formula), the following wording may be added:

“In the case of hybrid varieties which are the subject of an application for plant breeders’ rights, and where the parent lines are to be submitted as a part of the examination of the hybrid variety, this Technical Questionnaire should be completed for each of the parent lines, in addition to being completed for the hybrid variety.”

ASW 14 (TG Template: Chapter 10: TQ 1) – Subject of the TQ

(a) In the case of Test Guidelines covering more than one species, additional boxes should be added in the following format:

“1. Subject of the Technical Questionnaire (please indicate the relevant species):

1.1.1 Botanical name [species 1]
1.1.2 Common Name [species 1] [ ]

1.2.1 Botanical name [species 2]
1.2.2 Common Name [species 2] [ ]”

etc.

(b) If the Test Guidelines cover a genus or a large number of species, question 1 should be presented as follows:

“1. Subject of the Technical Questionnaire (please complete):

1.1 Botanical name
1.2 Common Name”

with the boxes left blank for completion by the applicant.

ASW 15 (TG Template: Chapter 10: TQ 4.1) – Information on breeding scheme

“Variety resulting from:

“4.1.1 Crossing

“(a) controlled cross
(please state parent varieties)

[ ]

female parent x male parent

“(b) partially known cross
(please state known parent variety(ies))

[ ]

female parent x male parent

“(c) unknown cross
[ ]

“4.1.2 Mutation
(please state parent variety)

[ ]
ASW 16 (TG Template: Chapter 10: TQ 7.3) – Where an image of the variety is to be provided

“A representative color photograph of the variety displaying its main distinguishing feature(s), should accompany the Technical Questionnaire. The photograph will provide a visual illustration of the candidate variety which supplements the information provided in the Technical Questionnaire.

“The key points to consider when taking a photograph of the candidate variety are:

- Indication of the date and geographic location
- Correct labeling (breeder’s reference)
- Good quality printed photograph (minimum 10 cm x 15 cm) and/or sufficient resolution electronic format version (minimum 960 x 1280 pixels)”

“Further guidance on providing photographs with the Technical Questionnaire is available in document TGP/7 ‘Development of Test Guidelines’, Guidance Note 35 (http://www.upov.int/tgp/en/).

“[The link provided may be deleted by members of the Union when developing authorities’ own test guidelines.]”

ASW 17 (TG Template: Chapter 10: TQ 9.3) – Tests for the presence of virus or other pathogens

“9.3 Has the plant material to be examined been tested for the presence of virus or other pathogens?

Yes [ ]
(please provide details as specified by the Authority)

No [ ]”

[Annex 3 follows]
ANNEX 3:
GUIDANCE NOTES (GN)
FOR THE TG TEMPLATE
This section presents guidance notes (GN) for drafters of Test Guidelines for use when developing the TG Template (Annex 1) into specific Test Guidelines. The numbering refers to the numbering in the TG Template.
GN 0 (TG Template: Cover page; Chapter 8) – Use of proprietary text, photographs and illustrations in Test Guidelines

In the case of text, photographs, illustrations or other material that is subject to third party rights, it is the responsibility of the author of the document, including Test Guidelines, to obtain the necessary permission of the third party. Material must not be included in documents where such permission is required but has not been obtained.

Where any text, photographs, illustrations or other material that are subject to third party rights are used in Test Guidelines it should be indicated that the third party has waived their rights for the purposes of DUS testing and development of variety descriptions (e.g. indicating ‘Courtesy of [name of copyright owner]’ alongside the image protected by copyright).

GN 1 (TG Template: Cover page) – Botanical name

The elements of the botanical name, except for the elements denoting the author and classification, should be presented in italics, e.g.

Allium L. not Allium L.
Beta vulgaris L. not Beta vulgaris L.
Beta vulgaris L. var. conditiva Alef. not Beta vulgaris L. var. conditiva Alef.

In general, the family name should not be indicated in Chapter 1. Where the family name is presented, except for the elements denoting the author and classification, it should be presented in italics (e.g. Poaceae).

GN 2 (TG Template: Cover page) – Associated Documents

“Other associated UPOV documents” seeks information on other UPOV documents which should be read in conjunction with the Test Guidelines concerned. In particular, it seeks information on other Test Guidelines which might be relevant, e.g. a user of the Field Bean Test Guidelines might wish to know that Test Guidelines also exist for Broad Bean and that, previously, these two crops were combined in a single set of Test Guidelines. Thus, the associated documents for the Field Bean Test Guidelines might be:

TG/08/4 + Corr. Broad Bean, Field Bean (Replaced)
TG/xx/1 Broad Bean

It is not necessary to make reference to the General Introduction or the TGP documents which are already referenced in the paragraph above.

GN 3 (TG Template: Chapter 1.1) – Subject of the Test Guidelines: More than one species

Separate Test Guidelines are usually drawn up for each species. It may however be considered necessary to include two or more species, a whole genus or even a larger unit in one Test Guidelines document.

Reference should not be made to the potential usefulness of the Test Guidelines for species other than those explicitly included in the coverage of the Test Guidelines, nor to the potential usefulness for hybrids involving the species covered by the Test Guidelines. If considered appropriate, the following sentence may be added:

“Guidance on the use of Test Guidelines for (e.g. [species in the same genus] / [interspecific hybrids] / [intergeneric hybrids]) that are not explicitly covered by Test Guidelines is provided in document TGP/13 “Guidance for New Types and Species”.


GN 4 (TG Template: Chapter 1.1) – Subject of the Test Guidelines: Different types or groups within a species or genus

1. The General Introduction states that “Different groups of varieties within a species can be dealt with in separate or subdivided Test Guidelines if the categories can be reliably separated on the basis of characteristics suitable for distinctness, or where an appropriate procedure has been developed to ensure that all varieties of common knowledge will be adequately considered for distinctness”.

2. This explanation is provided to ensure that groups or types of varieties are only created where it is possible to ensure that a variety will be clearly placed into the appropriate group, or if not, that other measures are taken to ensure that all varieties of common knowledge are considered for distinctness. Thus, if the Test Guidelines cover only a group, or type, within a species, this section should explain which characteristics, or what other basis, ensure distinctness of all the varieties covered by the Test Guidelines from all other varieties.

3. The Test Guidelines should also explain the characteristics, or other basis, which allow distinctness for types or groups of varieties covered by different sets of example varieties (e.g. Winter/Spring) or should explain what other basis ensures distinctness of all the varieties covered by one type or group, from all the varieties of another.

4. The following example is provided to illustrate how different types or groups might be presented in Chapter 1:

*Example*

These Test Guidelines apply to all varieties used as rootstocks of all species of *Prunus* L. If characteristics of the flower, the fruit or the seed are necessary to examine the varieties, the Test Guidelines for Almond TG/56, Apricot TG/70, Cherry TG/35, European Plum TG/41, Japanese Plum TG/84, Mume (Japanese Apricot) TG/160 or Peach, Nectarine TG/53 should be used for those characteristics, as appropriate.

GN 5 (TG Template: Chapter 1.1) – Subject of the Test Guidelines: Family name

In some cases, it is also considered helpful to identify the family(ies), as indicated by the Germplasm Resources Information Network (GRIN) database (http://www.ars-grin.gov/).

GN 6 (TG Template: Chapter 1.1) – Guidance for new types and species

Document TGP/13, Guidance for New Types and Species may provide useful information for drafters of Test Guidelines covering new types (e.g. multi- or interspecific hybrids) or species.

GN 7 (TG Template: Chapter 2.3) – Quantity of plant material required

The drafter of the Test Guidelines should consider the following factors when determining the quantity of material required:

(i) Number of plants/ parts of plants to be examined
(ii) Number of growing cycles
(iii) Variability within the crop
(iv) Additional tests (e.g. resistance tests, bolting trials)
(v) Features of propagation (e.g. cross-pollination, self-pollination, vegetative propagation)
(vi) Crop type (e.g. root crop, leaf crop, fruit crop, cut flower, cereal, etc.)
(vii) Storage in variety collection
(viii) Exchange between testing authorities
(ix) Seed quality (germination) requirements
(x) Cultivation system (outdoor/glasshouse)
(xi) Sowing system
(xii) Predominant method of observation (e.g. MS, VG)
In general, in the case of *plants* required only for a single growing trial (e.g. no plants required for special tests or variety collections), the number of plants requested in Chapter 2.3 often corresponds to the number of plants specified in Chapters 3.4 “Test Design” and 4.2 “Uniformity”. In that respect, it is recalled the quantity of plant material specified in Chapter 2.3 of the Test Guidelines is the minimum quantity that an authority might request of the applicant. Therefore, each authority may decide to request a larger quantity of plant material, for example to allow for potential losses during establishment (see GN 7 (a)).

**GN 8** *(TG Template: Chapter 3.1.2) – Explanation of the growing cycle*

Chapter 3.1 makes reference to the number of growing cycles. In some cases it may be necessary to clarify what is meant by a growing cycle. Additional standard wording has been developed for some situations (see ASW 3).

**GN 9** *(TG Template: Chapter 3.3) – Growth stage key*

In some cases where it is appropriate to provide a growth stage key for the observation of characteristics, the following is a useful guide:

Growth stages of mono- and dicotyledonous plants – BBCH. Julius Kühn-Institut (JKI), Federal Biological Research Centre for Agriculture and Forestry

[http://pub.jki.bund.de/index.php/BBCH/issue/archive](http://pub.jki.bund.de/index.php/BBCH/issue/archive) (available in English, French or German)

In some other cases, a simplified growth stages key might be more appropriate, such as the example in the Test Guidelines for Potato (document TG/23/6):

8.3 **Optimal Stage of Development for the Assessment of Characteristics**

1 = bud stage  
2 = flowering stage  
3 = ripening stage of tubers  
4 = after harvest

**GN 10.1** *(TG Template: Chapter 3.4) – Test design*


**GN 10.2** *(TG Template: Chapter 4.1.4) – Number of Plants / Parts of Plants to be Examined (for distinctness)*

1. The observation of the ‘*typical*’ expression of characteristics of a variety in a given environment is essential for the assessment of distinctness. The precision of the observed (mean) expression of the varieties to be compared is a critical element for the consideration of whether a difference is a clear difference.

2. In the case of qualitative characteristics, a low number is sufficient to identify the expression of a variety. In general, the number of plants for the assessment of distinctness is not a limiting factor for the number of plants in the trial. Thus, the number of plants for the assessment of qualitative characteristics is not essential for harmonization.

3. In case of quantitative characteristics (and pseudo-qualitative characteristics), the variation within the variety has to be taken into account for defining a clear difference (by expert judgment or exact statistics). Due to the relation between variation within the varieties and the required difference to be considered as a clear difference for the establishment of distinctness the precision of records is important. The precision of records (mean values) is influenced by the sample size. Therefore, the appropriate sample size should be indicated in the Test Guidelines for the purpose of harmonization.
4. The following general principals should be taken into account:

**Considerations for the number of plants to be observed for distinctness in case of QN (in some cases PQ)**

(a) Observation on the plot as a whole (VG/MG)
- the indicated number should be considered as minimum number

(b) Observation on subsample from plot (VG/MG)
- the indicated number should be considered as minimum number

(c) Observations on individual plants (VS/MS)
- the number of plants is important for precision of record
- the specific number should be indicated

**Considerations for the number of plants for candidate varieties and varieties to be compared with the candidate varieties**

5. The required precision of records depends on the size of the difference between the candidate variety and the varieties of common knowledge. If two varieties are very similar it is important to ensure the same precision of the records for both varieties. The number of plants indicated in the Test Guidelines applies to both the candidate variety and the similar variety of common knowledge. In other cases, it may be possible to include in the trial a lower number of plants for the variety of common knowledge, provided that uniformity does not have to be assessed for that variety, i.e. varieties in the variety collection.

**GN 11 (TG Template: Chapter 4.2) – Uniformity assessment**

(a) *Test Guidelines covering varieties with different propagation types*

In the case of Test Guidelines which cover different types of variety, combinations of the individual wordings in ASW 8 can be used.

(b) *Sample size for uniformity assessment by off-types*

In the case of uniformity assessment by off-types, the number of plants in the sample (see ASW 8 (c) “sample size of {a} plants”) should, in general, be the same as the number of plants specified in Chapter 3.4 “Test Design”.

(c) *Combining observations*

Document TGP/10, Examining Uniformity, contains guidance on the development of appropriate uniformity standards. That document (see TGP/10, Section 6 “Combining all observations on a variety”) explains that the uniformity of a variety is assessed by the observation of individual plants for all relevant characteristics. In some crops, all of those characteristics are observed on all plants in the test. In other crops, some of those characteristics are observed on different samples of the variety. Furthermore, for some crops the assessment of uniformity may be on the basis of off types for certain relevant characteristics and on the basis of standard deviations for other relevant characteristics. Therefore, specific guidance for the assessment of uniformity based on the observation of all the relevant characteristics need to be defined. Some of the possible situations include:

Off-types only: all characteristics observed on the same sample (see TGP/10, Section 6.2; Off-types only: characteristics observed on different samples (see TGP/10, Section 6.3); and Off-types and standard deviations (see TGP/10, Section 6.4)

In the case of Test Guidelines where uniformity is assessed by off-types and standard deviations, the following extract from the Test Guidelines for Carrot (TG/49/8) may provide a useful example of suitable wording:

“4.2.2 Cross-pollinated varieties

“The assessment of uniformity for cross-pollinated varieties should be according to the recommendations for cross-pollinated varieties in the General Introduction. For the
characteristics external color of root (characteristic 13) and color of core of root (characteristic 19), a population standard of 2% and an acceptance probability of 95% should be applied. In the case of a sample size of 200 plants, 7 off-types are allowed."

GN 12 (TG Template: Chapter 7) – Selecting a characteristic for inclusion in the Table of Characteristics

1. The characteristics included in the Table of Characteristics are called “Standard Test Guidelines Characteristics.” The General Introduction (Chapter 4.8 Table) explains that such characteristics are those “characteristics that are accepted by UPOV for examination of DUS and from which members of the Union can select those suitable for their particular circumstances.”

2. To be included in the Table of Characteristics, the characteristic must satisfy the criteria for a Standard Test Guidelines Characteristic, namely:

   (a) it must satisfy the criteria for use of any characteristic for DUS as set out in the General Introduction (Chapter 4.2) which are that it:

       (i) results from a given genotype or combination of genotypes;

       (ii) is sufficiently consistent and repeatable in a particular environment;

       (iii) exhibits sufficient variation between varieties to be able to establish distinctness;

       (iv) is capable of precise definition and recognition;

       (v) allows uniformity requirements to be fulfilled;

       (vi) allows stability requirements to be fulfilled, meaning that it produces consistent and repeatable results after repeated propagation or, where appropriate, at the end of each cycle of propagation; and

   (b) it must have been used to develop a variety description by at least one member of the Union.

3. One of the most important functions of the TWPs, with respect to the development of Test Guidelines, is to ensure that these criteria are fulfilled before acceptance of a characteristic in the Test Guidelines.

4. Independent characteristics should be presented as separate characteristics where this improves clarity and always where it is possible to identify a separate qualitative characteristic (see GN 20.2). It is important that independent characteristics are split to avoid confusion. For example, in pea, marbling and anthocyanin spotting of the testa should be separated.

GN 13 Characteristics with specific functions

1. Asterisked characteristics (TG Template: Chapter 7: column 1, header row 2)

1.1 The General Introduction (Chapter 4.8: Table: Functional Categories of Characteristics) states that asterisked characteristics are “characteristics that are important for the international harmonization of variety descriptions.” The criteria for selecting a characteristic as an asterisked characteristic are that:

   (a) it must be a characteristic included in the Test Guidelines;

   (b) it should always be examined for DUS and included in the variety description by all members of the Union except when the state of expression of a preceding characteristic or regional environmental conditions render this inappropriate;

   (c) it must be useful for the international harmonization of variety descriptions;

   (d) particular care should be taken before selection of disease resistance characteristics.
1.2 It should be clarified that criterion (b) is worded to ensure that members of the Union which are not able to examine the characteristic do not use this as a reason to object to the characteristic being agreed as an asterisked characteristic. Thus, any characteristic which satisfies the criteria and, in particular, is useful for the international harmonization of variety descriptions should be selected as an asterisked characteristic, even if it cannot be examined for all varieties or by all members of the Union. The number of asterisked characteristics should, therefore, be determined by the characteristics which are required to achieve useful internationally harmonized variety descriptions.

2. **Grouping characteristics (TG Template: Chapter 5.3)**

2.1 **Selection**

The General Introduction (Chapter 4.8: Table: Functional Categories of Characteristics) explains that grouping characteristics are characteristics in which the documented states of expression, even where recorded at different locations, can be used either individually or in combination with other such characteristics: to select varieties of common knowledge that can be excluded from the growing trial used for examination of distinctness, and/or to organize the growing trial so that similar varieties are grouped together.

Thus, the General Introduction specifies that grouping characteristics:

1. Must be:
   
   (a) qualitative characteristics or,
   
   (b) quantitative or pseudo-qualitative characteristics which provide useful discrimination between the varieties of common knowledge from documented states of expression recorded at different locations.

2. Must be useful for:
   
   (a) selecting varieties of common knowledge that can be excluded from the growing trial used for examination of distinctness and/or,
   
   (b) organizing the growing trial so that similar varieties are grouped together.

3. Should be:
   
   (a) an asterisked characteristic and/or, (see also GN 13.4)
   
   (b) included in the Technical Questionnaire or application form.

The number of grouping characteristics is not fixed. If there are only a few characteristics which satisfy the criteria these are all likely to be selected as grouping characteristics. However, if there are many characteristics which fulfill the criteria these might not all be selected as grouping characteristics in the Test Guidelines. In the latter case, a selection of the most efficient characteristics for the uses set out in 2(a) and 2(b) might be made.

2.2 **Color**

In the case of color characteristics, where the states of expression in the Table of Characteristics are represented by the RHS Colour Chart number, color groups should be created for use of these characteristics as grouping characteristics. If the characteristic is included in the Technical Questionnaire, the color groups created for the characteristic for grouping purposes and for presenting the characteristic in the Technical Questionnaire should be the same.

3. **Technical Questionnaire (TQ) characteristics (TG Template: Chapter 10: TQ 5)**

3.1 The model Technical Questionnaire included in the Test Guidelines seeks information on specific characteristics of importance for distinguishing varieties.

3.2 Characteristics to be included in the Technical Questionnaire should comprise:

   (a) the grouping characteristics and
unless it is considered unrealistic to expect breeders to describe these characteristics.

3.3 In addition to the characteristics identified in Section 3.2, the Technical Questionnaire may also include characteristics that are agreed to be important for the management of the trial and the planning of observations.

3.4 Where necessary, characteristics in the Test Guidelines can be simplified (e.g. color groups can be created rather than requesting an RHS Colour Chart reference) for inclusion in the Technical Questionnaire (TQ), if this would be of assistance for the breeder completing the TQ. Furthermore, the characteristics contained in the Test Guidelines can be formulated in a different way, if breeders would then be able to describe them more precisely and the information would be useful for performing the test. For example, the TQ for peach may request information on whether the variety is a “melting” or “non-melting” type, which although not a characteristic in the Table of Characteristics would provide information on the states of expression of certain characteristics included in the Table of Characteristics.

3.5 In the case of quantitative characteristics for which an abbreviated scale is used in the Table of Characteristics (e.g. use of 3, 5, 7 for characteristics with notes 1-9), all states of expression should be presented in the Technical Questionnaire (e.g. notes 1, 2, etc. to 9).

3.6 GN 13(4)(b) explains that “TQ characteristics selected from the Table of Characteristics should, in general, receive an asterisk in the Table of Characteristics”. Certain characteristics, particularly disease resistance characteristics, which are potentially useful as grouping characteristics might not be indicated with an asterisk in the Table of Characteristics. In the case of disease resistance characteristics, for example, there may be obstacles to the use of the characteristic for a number of members of the Union because of technical or quarantine requirements. Those same obstacles might also make it difficult for applicants to provide information on those characteristics if they were included in the Technical Questionnaire, Section 5 “Characteristics of the variety to be indicated”. Therefore, for such characteristics, information should be sought in Section 7 “Additional information which may help in the examination of the variety” of the Technical Questionnaire. The guidance on the presentation of the characteristics for Section 5 (see GN 13.3 & 13.4 above) would also apply for the presentation of characteristics in Section 7.

4. Relationship between Asterisked, Grouping and TQ characteristics

The relationship between grouping, asterisked and TQ characteristics can be summarized as follows:

(a) Grouping characteristics selected from the Table of Characteristics should, in general, receive an asterisk in the Table of Characteristics and be included in the Technical Questionnaire.

(b) TQ characteristics selected from the Table of Characteristics should, in general, receive an asterisk in the Table of Characteristics and be used as grouping characteristics. TQ characteristics are not restricted to those characteristics used as grouping characteristics;

(c) Asterisked characteristics are not restricted to those characteristics selected as grouping or TQ characteristics.

GN 14 (TG Template: Chapter 7) – Characteristics examined by patented methods

(a) In the case of a characteristic which can be examined by a patented method, the Leading Expert should disclose any known information on the patent, or patent applications pending, that may relate to the assessment of the expression of the characteristic concerned. The information on known patents should include the name and contact details of the patent holder, patent registration number, and countries where the patent has been granted (or patent applications pending, if applicable).

(b) The Leading Expert should assess the importance of the patented method concerning the assessment of the expression of a characteristic and the suitability of alternative, non-patented methods, if available. The Leading Expert and relevant TWP should then decide whether it would be better to revisit the issue at a later stage or if it would be appropriate to contact the patent holder to find a suitable arrangement for utilization of the patented method. The TWP may decide to seek the advice of the Technical Committee.
and, if appropriate, the Technical Committee may also seek the advice of the Administrative and Legal Committee.

(c) If a decision to contact the patent holder is taken, three situations may arise:

(i) the patent holder waives his/her rights for the particular use of the patented method concerning the assessment of the expression of a characteristic for DUS testing and development of variety descriptions;

(ii) the patent holder is willing to negotiate licenses with other parties on a non-discriminatory basis and on reasonable terms and conditions;

(iii) the patent holder is not willing to cooperate with the solutions in (i) or (ii).

(d) If (c) (i) is applicable, a footnote in the corresponding characteristic(s) of the Test Guidelines should indicate that the method for assessing the expression of this characteristic is protected by patent, but that the patent holder has waived his/her rights for the purpose of DUS testing and development of variety descriptions. The members of the TWP may decide, considering the importance of the characteristic, if it will be appropriate to select it as an asterisked characteristic.

(e) If (c) (ii) is applicable, it is recommended that the characteristic(s) concerned will not be selected as an asterisked characteristic as it will not satisfy the requirement for accessibility that enables harmonization of variety description using asterisked characteristics. The members of the TWP may decide whether interested parties would like to retain the characteristic related to the method protected by patent as a standard Test Guidelines characteristic. Interested parties may decide to start negotiations with the patent holder for licenses on a non-discriminatory basis and on reasonable terms and conditions. Such negotiations are left to the interested parties and would take place outside UPOV. An appropriate note indicating that the method concerning the assessment of the expression of the characteristic is protected by patent and that the patent holder provides for licenses on a non-discriminatory basis and on reasonable terms and conditions should be provided.

(f) If (c) (iii) is applicable, it is recommended that the characteristic(s) concerned with the method protected by patent will not be selected as an asterisked characteristic. The experts of the relevant TWP may decide, in light of the information available, e.g. experience of a member of the Union that has used the characteristic to develop a variety description, whether the characteristic should or should not be selected as a standard Test Guidelines characteristic. An appropriate note indicating that the method concerning the assessment of the expression of the characteristic is protected by patent should be provided.

GN 15 (TG Template: Chapter 7) – Physiological characteristics

Document TGP/12, Guidance on Certain Physiological Characteristics, provides guidance on the use of certain physiological characteristics, e.g. resistance to diseases, insects and chemicals and chemical constituents examined by protein electrophoresis.

GN 16 (TG Template: Chapter 7) – New types of characteristics

Document TGP/15, New Types of Characteristics, provides guidance on the possible use of new types of characteristics.

GN 17 (TG Template: Chapter 7) – Presentation of Characteristics: Approved characteristics

A collection of characteristics, with their corresponding states of expression, which have already been approved for inclusion in existing Test Guidelines, is presented in Annex 4: "Collection of Approved Characteristics". There are two main purposes for developing this collection: Firstly, it helps to ensure that the states of expression used for the same or similar characteristics included in Test Guidelines, are harmonized as far as possible; Secondly, the characteristics presented in the collection have already been translated into the UPOV languages. Thus, Test Guidelines utilizing characteristics from Annex 4 will cost UPOV less and are less likely to experience delays in presentation for adoption.
Drafters of Test Guidelines are invited to search the collection for the characteristic which they wish to use. If the appropriate characteristic, and its corresponding states of expression, are found this can be copied directly into the new Test Guidelines. However, it should be remembered that what may appear to be very similar characteristics in different types of plant, or different organs of the same plant, may in fact be under different types of genetic control. Thus, for example, in one type of plant, or one organ, the characteristic “profile” might be a qualitative characteristic e.g. straight (1), curved (2) but in another type of plant, or organ, it might be a quantitative characteristic e.g. straight or slightly curved (1), moderately curved (2), strongly curved (3).

In cases where the required characteristic is not present in the collection, guidance is provided in GN 18, GN 19 and GN 20.

**GN 18** (TG Template: Chapter 7: column 3) – Presentation of Characteristics: Heading of a characteristic

1. **General**

A characteristic normally starts by identifying the:

- plant or, alternatively, the plant part (organ) concerned,

followed, after a colon, by the

- organ or, alternatively, the sub-organ or the specialty to be observed

  e.g. “Plant: number of flowers” or “Flower: width of petal” or “Petal: color of margin”.

The heading of a characteristic should be worded precisely and, if possible, be self-contained to be understood and clear without the knowledge of the states. The states should also be easily understood without the full text of the characteristic, irrespective of whether the overall text of the characteristic may appear repetitive. For example, the word “presence of” or “intensity of” could be added, even if the first state would read “absent” or “absent or very weak.” This applies particularly to cases where not only the absence/presence is to be listed as a characteristic but where a number of criteria are of importance with regard to a single organ, such as number, size, length, width, density, color, etc.

2. **Clarifying similar characteristics**

In the case of two or more characteristics where there is only one difference between the characteristics (e.g. lower or upper side of blade) to be observed, the part that differs should be underlined e.g.

- “lower side”, or “upper side”

3. **Characteristics which only apply to certain varieties**

In some cases, the state of expression of a preceding qualitative characteristic determines that a subsequent characteristic is not applicable e.g. it would not be possible to describe the shape of leaf lobes for a variety which did not have leaf lobes. In cases where this is not obvious, or where the characteristics are separated in the Table of Characteristics, the heading of the subsequent characteristic is preceded by an underlined reference to the types of varieties to which it applies, on the basis of the preceding characteristic, e.g.:

“Only varieties with flower type: single: Flower: shape”

**GN 19** (TG Template: Chapter 7: column 3) – Presentation of characteristics: General presentation of states of expression

1. **Order of states of expression**

1.1 **General**

Insofar as it is possible to impose an order on the expressions inside a characteristic, the smaller, lesser or lower expressions should be assigned the lower Note. The order of states should as far as possible be:
• from weak to strong,
• from light to dark,
• from low to high,
• from narrow to broad.

1.2 Color

In the case of colors, in addition to the spectral order, the chronological appearance of the color (e.g. as the fruit ripens) may also be used (see also document TGP/14, Glossary of Terms Used in UPOV Documents: Section 2 “Botanical Terms”). The same sequence should be used for organs with similar states within a single document (e.g. color of leaf and color of stem).

1.3 Shape

Shapes of base and apex should go from pointed to rounded or from raised to depressed (see also document TGP/14, Glossary of Used in UPOV Documents: Section 2 “Botanical Terms”).

1.4 Attitude / Growth Habit

When presenting attitude / growth habit using the: erect to horizontal; upright to prostrate; or erect to reflexed range, the state “erect / upright” is always presented as state 1. This is because the “erect / upright” state is the only fixed state for all versions of this characteristic, whilst the other end of the scale might end with “prostrate”, “reflexed,” etc. according to the individual circumstances.

2. Hyphen (-)

In the English wording, there should be no hyphen for the connection of the words (narrow acute, yellow green, green yellow, etc.). In English, yellow / green with a space before and after the hyphen would mean yellow to green while yellow/green without spaces would mean yellowish green. This differentiation cannot be made in other languages and, to avoid confusion for translation into other languages, hyphens should not be used.

3. Numbers

In general, all numbers should be indicated numerically (1, 2, 3, etc.) except, for example, for the states of expression in Table of Characteristics, where numerical notes are provided.

e.g.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Leaf: predominant number of leaflets</th>
<th>Feuilles: nombre predominant de folioles</th>
<th>Blatt: vorwiegende Anzahl Blattfiedern</th>
<th>Hoja: número predominante de foliolos</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>three</td>
<td>trois</td>
<td>drei</td>
<td>tres</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>five</td>
<td>cinq</td>
<td>fünf</td>
<td>cinco</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>seven</td>
<td>sept</td>
<td>sieben</td>
<td>siete</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. Figures and Ranges

The wording of states should take account of how the wording of the variety description would appear. Thus, it is not appropriate to use states such as “10 to 15%”, or “20 to 25 g”, but rather, for example, low/medium/high. Where such figures are useful for illustrating the states, they should be provided in Chapter 8 of the Test Guidelines (Explanations on the Table of Characteristics).
GN 20 (TG Template: Chapter 7: column 3) – Presentation of characteristics: States of expression according to type of expression of a characteristic

1. Introduction

1.1 The General Introduction (Chapter 4, Section 4.3) states that “To enable varieties to be tested and a variety description to be established, the range of expression of each characteristic in the Test Guidelines is divided into a number of states for the purpose of description, and the wording of each state is attributed a numerical ‘Note’. The division into states of expression is influenced by the type of expression of the characteristic ...” The General Introduction establishes that there are three basic types of expression of characteristic, namely, qualitative, quantitative and pseudo/qualitative. Whether the expression of a characteristic is qualitative, quantitative or pseudo-qualitative will depend on the genetic control of the characteristic.

1.2 When deciding on characteristics and their states of expression to be used for plant variety testing, it is important always to first observe the range of expression exhibited across varieties, note down the most appropriate wording, compare the wording with examples under the different types of expression, and to then decide whether the wording is suitable, or whether a different wording should be chosen. Throughout the process, the applicability of the wording to the particular situation in the given plant group should be ensured. However, it is useful to have some harmonized principles to ensure that similar characteristics are treated in a similar fashion.

1.3 In this section the different types of expression of characteristics, and ways of presenting these in the Table of Characteristics, are explained.

2. Qualitative characteristics

2.1 Explanation

The General Introduction states that “Qualitative characteristics are those that are expressed in discontinuous states (e.g. sex of plant: dioecious female (1), dioecious male (2), monoecious unisexual (3), monoecious hermaphrodite (4)). These states are self/explanatory and independently meaningful. All states are necessary to describe the full range of the characteristic, and every form of expression can be described by a single state. The order of states is not important. As a rule, the characteristics are not influenced by environment.”

2.2 Separating Qualitative Characteristics

2.2.1 The General Introduction states (Chapter 5, Section 5.3.3.2.1) that “In qualitative characteristics, the difference between two varieties may be considered clear if one or more characteristics have expressions that fall into two different states in the Test Guidelines. Varieties should not be considered distinct for a qualitative characteristic if they have the same state of expression”. These guidelines for distinctness are different from the approach for quantitative characteristics and pseudo-qualitative characteristics and, therefore, it is very important that qualitative characteristics are correctly identified for the examination of distinctness.

2.2.2 As explained in Section 1.1, whether the expression of a characteristic is qualitative, quantitative or pseudo-qualitative will depend on the genetic control of the characteristic.

2.2.3 The relative clarity of the guidelines on distinctness for qualitative characteristics means that it can be useful to seek to identify all qualitative characteristics, even where these might be contained within a wider range of expression. For example, in cases where there is discontinuous separation between complete absence and different degrees of presence the characteristic should be split into a qualitative characteristic with the states “absent (1)” and “present (9)” and a quantitative characteristic with the appropriate notes for degrees of presence (see Section 3). In such cases, it is very important that the “absent” state has a discontinuous separation from the “weak”, or “very weak” state, and that this is unlikely to be masked by environmental effects, to avoid incorrect decisions on distinctness.

2.2.4 In the case of pseudo-qualitative characteristics it may also be possible to split the characteristic into a qualitative characteristic and a quantitative or another pseudo-qualitative characteristic. For example, the pseudo-qualitative characteristic “color: light yellow (1); medium yellow (2); dark yellow (3); green (4); light pink (5); medium pink (6); dark pink (7);” might be split into the following characteristics:
Qualitative characteristic

1. Color:
   yellow (1); green (2); pink (3)

Quantitative characteristic

2. Only yellow and pink varieties: Intensity of color:
   weak (3); medium (5); strong (7)

2.2.5 However, as explained above, it is very important that there is a discontinuous separation between, for example, dark yellow and green. It would also be necessary to consider the likelihood of breeding techniques producing new types of varieties which would bridge the discontinuous separation.

2.3 Division of Range of Expression into States and Notes

2.3.1 General Rule

In general, the states of expression of qualitative characteristics are given consecutive numbers starting with Note 1 and often have no upper limit.

2.3.2 Exceptions to the General Rule

2.3.2.1 Ploidy

In the case of ploidy, to avoid confusion, the number of chromosome sets is accepted as the Note (e.g. diploid (2), tetraploid (4)).

2.3.2.2 Absence/Presence

Where there is discontinuous separation between absence and presence, the characteristic should have the states:

absent (note 1) and
present (note 9)

3. Quantitative characteristics

3.1 Explanation

The General Introduction states that “Quantitative characteristics are those where the expression covers the full range of variation from one extreme to the other. The expression can be recorded on a one-dimensional, continuous or discrete, linear scale. The range of expression is divided into a number of states for the purpose of description (e.g. length of stem: very short (1), very short to short (2), short (3), short to medium (4), medium (5), medium to long (6), long (7), long to very long (8), very long (9)). The division seeks to provide, as far as is practical, an even distribution across the scale. The Test Guidelines do not specify the difference needed for distinctness. The states of expression should, however, be meaningful for DUS assessment.”

3.2 Division of Range of Expression into States and Notes

3.2.1 In the case of quantitative characteristics, it is first necessary to determine the appropriate range to describe the characteristic.

The following extract from document TGP/9/1 explains that, for characteristics for which the comparison between two varieties is performed at the level of Notes (VG, mean of VS), a difference of two notes in the Test Guidelines should represent a clear difference:
5.2.3.2.3 Quantitative (QN) characteristics: vegetatively propagated and self-pollinated varieties

[...]

5.2.3.2.3.2 [...] it is the intention that the states and Notes in the UPOV Test Guidelines are useful for the assessment of distinctness. It is recalled that this section considers the assessment of distinctness based on the information obtained from the growing trial and, therefore, refers to a situation where the states of expression and Notes are obtained for all varieties from the same growing trial in the same year. That situation is, in particular, reflected when the General Introduction states that:

"5.4.3 For quantitative characteristics, a difference of two Notes often represents a clear difference, but that is not an absolute standard for assessment of distinctness. Depending on factors, such as the testing place, the year, environmental variation or range of expression in the variety collection, a clear difference may be more or less than two Notes. Guidance is provided in document TGP/9/1, 'Examining Distinctness'."

5.2.3.2.3.3 A difference of two Notes is appropriate if the comparison between two varieties is performed at the level of Notes (VG, mean of VS). If the difference is only one Note, both varieties could be very close to the same border line (e.g. high end of Note 6 and low end of Note 7) and the difference might not be clear. When the comparison is performed at the level of measured values (MG, mean of MS) (see Section 5.2.3.3) a difference smaller than two Notes might represent a clear difference.

5.2.3.2.3.4 Document TGP/7/1, Annex 3: GN 20, explains that, in the case of quantitative characteristics, it is necessary to determine the appropriate range to describe the characteristic. In general, a standard “1-9” scale is used, but a “limited” range (Notes 1/5) and a “condensed” range (Notes 1/3) have also been accepted. Thus, when deciding on the number of Notes required to establish distinctness, the range of the scale needs to be taken into account.

In that respect, since the adoption of document TGP/9/1, document TGP/7 has been revised to remove the restriction on the scales that may be used for quantitative characteristic (see below). The number of Notes in the scale of a quantitative characteristic should be determined by the need for a difference of two Notes to represent a clear difference if the comparison between two varieties is performed at the level of Notes (VG, mean of VS) (see document TGP/9/1, Section 5.2.3.2.3.3 (reproduced above)). Thus, in the case of such a quantitative characteristic with 3 notes, only the varieties with notes 1 and 3 would be considered to have a clear difference at the level of Notes: no varieties with Note 2 would be considered to have a clear difference from any other varieties (Notes 1, 2 or 3) at the level of Notes.

3.2.2 Some examples of common ranges are explained in the following sections. However, beyond a minimum of 3 states, a quantitative characteristic may have any number of states, including more than 9 states, provided that the states are meaningful for DUS assessment.

3.3 The “1-9” scale

3.3.1 Introduction

3.3.1.1 As a general rule, states are formed in such a way that for the weak and strong expressions a reasonable word pair is chosen, for example:

weak/strong
short/long
small/large
3.3.1.2 These word pairs are given Notes 3 and 7 and the intermediate state Note 5. The remaining states of the scale using Notes 1 to 9 are formed according to the following examples:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Note</th>
<th>State</th>
<th>Note</th>
<th>State</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>very weak (or: absent or very weak)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>very small (or: absent or very small)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>very weak to weak</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>very small to small</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>weak</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>small</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>weak to medium</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>small to medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>medium</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>medium to strong</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>medium to large</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>strong</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>large</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>strong to very strong</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>large to very large</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>very strong</td>
<td></td>
<td>very large</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.3.1.3 However, it is not necessary to present all the 9 states in the Table of Characteristics and the following abbreviated versions are, in general, more appropriate:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>very weak (or: absent or very weak)</td>
<td>very weak (or: absent or very weak)</td>
<td>weak</td>
<td>weak</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>weak</td>
<td>weak</td>
<td>medium</td>
<td>weak</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>medium</td>
<td>medium</td>
<td>strong</td>
<td>medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>strong</td>
<td>strong</td>
<td>very strong</td>
<td>strong</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>very strong</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.3.1.4 The full range of states is equally spaced along the total scale, with the “mid-point” (“medium”) state in the middle. The states 3, 5, 7 should, as a minimum, be indicated in the Test Guidelines, but if it is necessary to list example varieties for one or both extremes, then states 1 and/or 9 should also indicated, as appropriate. In the case of the “absence/degrees of presence” range where the state 1 is, for example, “absent or very weak” (rather than “very weak”) or “absent or very small” (rather than very small), state 1 should be indicated even if example varieties cannot be provided. Experts very seldom decide to list example varieties for even states, but in this case the full range of states, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, is listed.

3.3.2 Wording of States

3.3.2.1 The “Typical Example” (e.g. weak/strong; short/long)

3.3.2.1.1 Wording of uneven states

In the typical example of a quantitative characteristic with a “1-9”scale (see Section 3.3.1.2), states 3 and 7 are worded by using only the basic weak and strong expressions, e.g. “weak (3),” “strong (7),” or “weakly curved (3),” “strongly curved (7).” States 1 and 9 are worded by adding “very” to the wording of states 3 and 7 respectively, (“very weak (1),” “very strong (9)” or “very weakly curved (1),” “very strongly curved (9)).

3.3.2.1.2 Wording of even states

Even states are seldom indicated in the Test Guidelines. However, where required, the even states should be worded by combining the wording of the preceding and following states, in that order, by using the word “to”, e.g. “very weak to weak (2)” (see Section 3.3.1.2).

3.3.2.2 Other examples

3.3.2.2.1 Quantitative characteristics do not always relate to the typical weak / strong scale. However, the same approach of describing the intensifying degrees, either side of the “mid-point” state 5, should be followed. It should be noted that state 5 is always the “mid-point” in the range of a “1-9”scale and normally worded “medium” or “intermediate,” but may also be, for example, “moderately curved” or “moderately shorter” (see example 4 below) if this is the “mid-point” of the full range of expression. The following examples are provided to indicate the type of ranges for some quantitative characteristics.
3.3.2.2.2 Except for characteristics with no fixed points on the scale (e.g. weak/strong, short/long, small/large etc.: see GN 20: 3.3.1.2 for wording of states), the wording of the states should be mutually exclusive, to avoid confusion. Thus, in Example 1 above (for which the fixed points are “smaller”, “same size”, “larger”), state 3 should not read “smaller” because this term would apply to all states from 1 to 4. Similarly, in Example 2 (for which the fixed points are “acute”, “right angle”, “obtuse”) it is necessary to word state 7 as “moderately obtuse” and not just “obtuse”—since all states 6 to 9 are obtuse.

3.4 “Limited” range 1-5 scale

The 1-5 scale is often used where the range of expression of a characteristic is physically limited at both ends and it is not appropriate to divide the expression into more than three intermediate states. For example:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State</th>
<th>Example 1</th>
<th>Example 2</th>
<th>Example 3</th>
<th>Example 4</th>
<th>Example 5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Stem: attitude</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>erect</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>semi-erect</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>prostrate</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The wording for states 2 and 4 is formulated in the same way as for the even states in the 1-9 scale (see Section 3.3.2.1.2).

3.5 The “1-3” scale

3.5.1 Two examples of the “1-3” scale, for absence / degrees of presence (fixed state 1), are as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Example 1</th>
<th>Example 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 absent or weak</td>
<td>1 absent or very weak</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 moderate (or medium)</td>
<td>2 weak</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 strong</td>
<td>3 strong</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.5.2 The following is an example of the “1-3” scale for a characteristic without a fixed state:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Example</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 weak</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 intermediate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 strong</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.5.3 Other examples of the “1-3” scale, are as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State</th>
<th>Example 1</th>
<th>Example 2</th>
<th>Example 3</th>
<th>Example 4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Size relative to:</td>
<td>Angle:</td>
<td>Position:</td>
<td>Length in relation to:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>smaller</td>
<td>acute</td>
<td>at base</td>
<td>equal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>same size</td>
<td>right angle</td>
<td>in middle</td>
<td>slightly shorter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>larger</td>
<td>obtuse</td>
<td>at apex</td>
<td>moderately shorter</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3.6 The “1-4” scale

The “1-4” scale is often used when there is a fixed state at one point in the scale and an asymmetric distribution of states around this state. For example:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State</th>
<th>Example 1</th>
<th>Example 2</th>
<th>Example 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Angle</td>
<td>Profile</td>
<td>Relative position</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>acute</td>
<td>convex</td>
<td>below</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>right-angle</td>
<td>plane</td>
<td>same level</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>moderately obtuse</td>
<td>moderately concave</td>
<td>moderately above</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>strongly obtuse</td>
<td>strongly concave</td>
<td>greatly above</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.7 The “>9” scale

The following examples are provided to illustrate wording possibilities for scales with more than 9 notes:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Example 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>(Test Guidelines for Cauliflower: document TG/45/7)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Earliness in summer planting</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>very early autumn type</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>very early to early autumn type</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>early autumn type</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>early to medium autumn type</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>medium autumn type</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>medium to late autumn type</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>late autumn type</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>late to very late autumn type</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>very late autumn type</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>very early winter type</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>very early to early winter type</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>early winter type</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>early to medium winter type</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>medium winter type</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>medium to late winter type</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>late winter type</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>late to very late winter type</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>very late winter type</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3.8 Wording of States

Whereas, in the wording of a state in the “1-9 scale” (see Section 3.3.2.2) the use of simple terms such as “smaller” or “acute” is often inappropriate, such simple terms are often appropriate in the “1-3” scale (see section 3.5.3: Examples 1 and 2: states 1 and 3) and the “1-4” scale (see section 3.6: Examples 1 to 3: state 1), since they are mutually exclusive. However, it is also possible that different degrees of intensity (e.g. slightly, moderately etc.) can also be identified, in which case the use of simple terms, such as “shorter”, is inappropriate because they are not mutually exclusive (see section 3.5.3: Example 4: states 2 and 3; and section 3.6: Examples 1 to 3: states 3 and 4).

3.9 Color

3.9.1 Different intensities of the same color hue may be presented as quantitative characteristics, if they fulfil the requirements for a quantitative characteristic. For example:

(a) Intensity of green color: light (3), medium (5), dark (7)
(b) Intensity of anthocyanin coloration: weak (3), medium (5), strong (7)

3.9.2 The typical wording of quantitative characteristics should not be used to present characteristics with different hues of color, even if they appear to form a linear range with continuous variation (see Section 4.4).

4. Pseudo-Qualitative characteristics

4.1 Explanation

The General Introduction states that “In the case of ‘pseudo-qualitative characteristics,’ the range of expression is at least partly continuous, but varies in more than one dimension (e.g. shape: ovate (1), elliptic (2), circular (3), obovate (4)) and cannot be adequately described by just defining two ends of a linear range. In a similar way to qualitative (discontinuous) characteristics – hence the term ‘pseudo-qualitative’ – each individual state of expression needs to be identified to adequately describe the range of the characteristic.”

4.2 Division of Range of Expression into States and Notes

4.2.1 Unless it is clear that no intermediates exist between states (i.e. they are qualitative characteristics—see Section 2.2, suitably worded intermediate states should be included. For example:

Qualitative characteristic:
Color: green (1), red (2)

Pseudo-qualitative characteristic:
Color: green (1), yellow green (2), green yellow (3), yellow (4), orange (5), red (6)

4.2.2 Words such as “intermediate” should preferably not be used, and should definitely not be used more than once in a single characteristic:

Shape: round (1), broad elliptic (2), elliptic (3), elliptic to ovate (4), ovate (5)

Not: Shape: round (1), intermediate (2), elliptic (3), intermediate (4), ovate (5)

4.2.3 Where there are intermediate states, each degree of expression should have a qualifying adjective in order to make all states mutually exclusive. For example:

Color: light green (1), medium green (2), dark green (3), purple green (4)

Not: Color: light green (1), green (2), dark green (3), purple green (4)

Shape: broad elliptic (1), medium elliptic (2), narrow elliptic (3), ovate (4)

Not: Shape: broad elliptic (1), elliptic (2), narrow elliptic (3), ovate (4)
4.3 Individual and Combined States of Expression

4.3.1 Explanation

Some pseudo/qualitative characteristics contain two or more individual expressions and one or more combinations.

4.3.2 Order of states

The order of the states is such that the combinations are listed between the alternatives. For example:

Color of spots: only green (1); green and purple (2); only purple (3)

Type of mottling: only diffuse (1); diffuse and in patches (2); diffuse, in patches and linear bands (3); diffuse and in linear bands (4).

4.4 Color

Characteristics combining different color hues (e.g. red, green, blue etc.) with brightness (e.g. light, medium, dark) or saturation (e.g. whitish, grayish) are normally pseudo-qualitative characteristics. More guidance on color terms can be found in TGP/14, Glossary of Terms Used in UPOV Documents.

4.5 Shape

4.5.1 Characteristics containing different shapes (e.g. ovate, obovate, triangular etc.) are often pseudo-qualitative characteristics. However, characteristics concerning different sizes of the same shape should not refer to the shape in the states of expression and should be presented as quantitative characteristics. For example:

Width: narrow (3), medium (5), broad (7)

Not: Shape: narrow ovate (1), ovate (2), broad ovate (3)

4.5.2 More guidance on shape terms can be found in TGP/14, Glossary of Terms Used in UPOV Documents: Section 2 “Botanical Terms”.

GN 21 (TG Template: Chapter 7: column 1, state of expression row 1) – Type of expression of the characteristic

In cases where the required characteristic, with a suitable scale, is not present in the Collection of Approved Characteristics (see GN 17), GN 20: Presentation of Characteristics: States of Expression According to Type of Expression of a Characteristic, provides guidance on developing an appropriate scale according to the type of expression i.e. qualitative, quantitative and pseudo-qualitative.

GN 22 (TG Template: Chapter 7: column 1, header row 3) – Explanations for individual characteristics

A plus “(+)” is indicated in the Table of Characteristics where an explanation concerning the characteristic is provided in Chapter 8, Explanations on the Table of Characteristics. In particular, such explanations include, where necessary, an illustration of the characteristic and/or its states of expression.

GN 23 (TG Template: Chapter 7: column 2, state of expression row 1) – Explanations covering several characteristics

In cases where an explanation applies to several characteristics (e.g. part of the plant on which to observe particular characteristics, illustration of plant parts, etc.), particularly for characteristics that are not immediately consecutive in the Table of Characteristics, a note is placed in column 2 and the explanation provided in Chapter 8.1, according to ASW 11. In the case of indications of the stage of observation, those indications should be made according to GN 24 “Growth stage”.
GN 24  (TG Template: Chapter 7: column 2, header row 1) – Growth stage

In some Test Guidelines, the growth stage at which the examination of the characteristic should be done is provided here. In such cases, the stages of development denoted by each reference are described in a section within Chapter 8, according to ASW 4(a).

GN 25  (TG Template: Chapter 7: column 2, header row 1 or 2) – Recommendations for conducting the examination

This box provides the key for guidance on conducting the examination. For example, recommendations on the method of observation (e.g.: visual assessment or measurement; observation of single plants or a group of plants) or type of plot (e.g.: spaced plants; row plot; drilled plot; special test) may be provided. ASW 4(b) provides possible standard wording.

Method of observation (visual or measurement)

1. Document TGP/9 “Examining Distinctness” explains the following with regard to method of observation:

   "4.2 Method of observation (visual or measurement)
   
   “The expression of characteristics can be observed visually (V) or by measurement (M).
   
   “4.2.1 Visual observation (V)
   
   “Visual observation (V) is an observation made on the basis of the expert’s judgment. For the purposes of this document, “visual” observation refers to the sensory observations of the experts and, therefore, also includes smell, taste and touch. Visual observation includes observations where the expert uses reference points (e.g. diagrams, example varieties, side-by-side comparison) or non-linear charts (e.g. color charts).
   
   […]
   
   “4.2.2 Measurement (M)
   
   “Measurement (M) is an objective observation against a calibrated, linear scale e.g. using a ruler, weighing scales, colorimeter, dates, counts, etc.’

2. The following examples are intended to illustrate the ways of considering the method of observation for characteristics such as time of flowering and counts.

   (a) Time of Flowering

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time of flowering</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>QN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>early</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>late</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Scenario A (Explanation: the time of flowering is assessed by date)

3. The DUS trial is visited on various dates to assess whether each variety has reached the time of flowering. The assessment of whether 50% of plants have emitted the stigma in the main panicle is made by counting the number of plants that have emitted their stigmas to determine the percentage, or by an overall assessment of the percentage.

4. In this case, the method of observation would be measurement (M), because the determination of the state of expression will be according to the date (= measurement on a time scale) at which a variety was found to have reached the time of flowering. A date is recorded for each variety, which is transformed into notes after assessment of all varieties.
Scenario B (Explanation: the time of flowering is assessed by comparison with other varieties)

5. The DUS trial is visited on one or more occasions to assess the time of flowering by reference to example varieties.

6. In this scenario, the time of flowering is a visual (V) observation because an overall visual observation is made as to the time of flowering for a particular variety by reference to the state of flowering of example varieties, without reference to a date of visit. A note is recorded for each variety in relation to the variation between varieties (e.g. early, medium, late).

(b) Number

7. If a characteristic is observed by counting (for example “Number of lobes” observed by counting), the assessment is a measurement (M). If a characteristic is observed by estimation (for example “Number of lobes” observed by estimation), the assessment is a visual observation (V).

GN 26 (TG Template: Chapter 7: column 1) – Order of characteristics in the Table of Characteristics

1. The order of characteristics should, in general, follow:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(a)</th>
<th>BOTANICAL ORDER</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(i)</td>
<td>The botanical order is as follows:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• seed (for characteristics examined on seed submitted)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• seedling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• plant (e.g. growth habit)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• root</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• root system or other subterranean organs,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• stem</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• leaf (blade, petiole, stipule)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• inflorescence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• flower (calyx, sepal, corolla, petal, stamen, pistil)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• fruit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• seed (for characteristics examined on seed harvested from the growing trial).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(ii)</td>
<td>with the characteristics of the whole organ followed by those of its parts, from large to small, outer/lower parts to inner/higher parts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(iii)</td>
<td>subject to the following exceptions:</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In cases where the characteristics of a sub-organ are units of the higher organ (e.g.: Flower: arrangement of petals; flower: number of styles), these would normally be placed with the characteristics of the higher organ. However, where more practical, these can be kept together with the characteristics of the sub-organ concerned (e.g.: “Flower: arrangement of petals” could remain together with the other characteristics on the petal and “Flower: number of styles” could remain together with the other characteristics on the styles).

In general, the shape of base and apex are grouped together with the shape of the whole organ since, for practical reasons, these shapes are recorded at the same time.

OR (particularly if groups of characteristics are to be observed at the same time):

(b) CHRONOLOGICAL ORDER

followed by:
(c) Characteristic order

with the following ranking:

- attitude
- height
- length
- width
- size
- shape
- color
- other details (such as surface, etc., and individual parts of the organ such as base, apex and margin).

GN 27 (TG Template: Chapter 7) – Handling a long list of characteristics in the Table of Characteristics

1. The General Introduction (Chapter 4.8, Functional Categorization of Characteristics) clarifies that the function of characteristics included in the Test Guidelines is to provide a list of UPOV accepted characteristics from which users can select those suitable for their particular circumstances. The criteria for inclusion in the Test Guidelines are that they must satisfy the basic requirements for a characteristic set out in the General Introduction (Chapter 4.2, Selection of Characteristics) and must have been used to develop a variety description by at least one member of the Union. Through the work of its TWPs, UPOV provides a system of “quality control” by ensuring that any characteristics included in the Test Guidelines meet these criteria.

2. The purpose and criteria set out above demonstrate the intention that the Test Guidelines should contain all characteristics which are suitable for examination of DUS and that there should be no restriction, on the inclusion of characteristics in Test Guidelines, on the basis of the degree of use. This intention is confirmed by recognition that, in the case of a long list of characteristics, an indication of the extent of use of each characteristic might be considered.

3. In cases where certain characteristics are most useful in certain environments (e.g., cooler climates), the TWP may decide to indicate this in the Table of Characteristics to help users to select the most suitable characteristics for their circumstances. Furthermore, in some circumstances the TWP may consider that it is unhelpful to include all those characteristics which fulfill the criteria for inclusion and, if there is a full consensus amongst all interested experts, may agree to omit certain characteristics. Such omitted characteristics would then be included in document TGP/5, Experience and Cooperation in DUS Testing, in the section on “Notification of Additional Characteristics”.

GN 28 (TG Template: Chapter 6.4) – Example varieties

1. Deciding where example varieties are needed for a characteristic

1.1 The General Introduction (Chapter 4.3) states that “example varieties are provided in the Test Guidelines to clarify the states of expression of a characteristic.” This clarification of the states of expression is required with respect to two aspects:

(a) to illustrate the characteristic and/or

(b) to provide the basis for ascribing the appropriate state of expression to each variety and, thereby, to develop internationally harmonized variety descriptions. (Further information on these two aspects is provided in Section 4 “Purpose of Example Varieties”).

1.2 UPOV has, in particular, identified “Asterisked Characteristics” as those which are important for the international harmonization of variety descriptions.
1.3 The decision on whether example varieties are required for a characteristic can be summarized as follows:

(i) If a characteristic is not important for the international harmonization of variety descriptions (non-asterisked characteristic) and example varieties are not necessary for illustration of the characteristic (see Section 3.1), there is no requirement for example varieties to be provided.

(ii) If a characteristic which is important for the international harmonization of variety descriptions (asterisked characteristic) is not influenced by the year or environment (e.g. qualitative characteristics) and example varieties are not necessary for illustration of the characteristic (see Section 1.1), it may not be necessary to provide example varieties.

(iii) If a characteristic is important for the international harmonization of variety descriptions (asterisked characteristics) and is influenced by the environment (most quantitative and pseudo-qualitative characteristics) or example varieties are necessary for illustration of the characteristic (see Section 3.1) it is necessary to provide example varieties.

(iv) If example varieties are considered necessary according to (i) to (iii) above, but it is not appropriate to seek to develop a universal set of example varieties that is applicable for all UPOV members, the development of regional sets of example varieties should be considered.

1.4 The process for deciding if example varieties need to be provided for a characteristic is illustrated in the following Flow Diagram 1. Flow Diagram 2 indicates where example varieties should be provided in the case of regional sets of example varieties (see Section 4).

2. Criteria for Example Varieties

2.1 Availability

Authorities responsible for DUS testing and breeders need to be able to obtain plant material of example varieties and therefore, in general, example varieties should be widely and readily available for the coverage of the Test Guidelines or, in case of regional sets of example varieties, for the region concerned. For this reason, at the point of starting to draft Test Guidelines, drafters are encouraged to seek lists of varieties from interested parties in order to identify example varieties with the widest availability.

2.2 Minimizing the number

“For practical reasons it is recommended to choose the overall set of example varieties for the Test Guidelines in a way that all the desired characteristics and states of expression are covered by the minimum total number of example varieties. This means that, if possible, each example variety should be used for as many characteristics as possible and example varieties should not be used only for one or very few characteristics.

2.3 Agreement of interested experts

2.3.1 The set of example varieties proposed by the Leading Expert in the preparation of the Test Guidelines should be prepared in cooperation with all the interested experts. If one or more expert(s) consider(s) that certain example varieties are not suitable for their conditions, a new example variety should, if possible, be found (see also Section 3 “Multiple sets of example varieties”).

2.3.2 It is important that the set of example varieties for a particular characteristic is developed by one expert in order to ensure that the set of example varieties for that characteristic represents the same scale. Example varieties proposed by other experts, for the same characteristic, should be known to represent the same scale before they are accepted in Test Guidelines. In cases where it is necessary to develop a separate scale for different types of variety, or different regions, multiple sets of example varieties may need to be developed (see Section 3 “Multiple sets of example varieties”).

2.4 Illustration of the range of expression within the variety collection

The set of example varieties for a given characteristic should provide information on the range of expression of the characteristic in the collection of varieties covered by the Test Guidelines. Thus, in general, it is necessary to provide example varieties for more than one state of expression and in the case of:
Quantitative characteristics:

(i) “1-9” scale: to provide example varieties for at least three states of expression (e.g. (3), (5) and (7)), although, in exceptional cases, example varieties for only two states of expression may be accepted;

(ii) “1-5” / “1-4” / “1-3” scales: to provide example varieties for at least two states of expression.

Pseudo-qualitative characteristics: to provide a set of example varieties to cover the different types of variation within the range of expression of the characteristics.

2.5 Regional sets of example varieties

2.5.1 Basis for regional sets of example varieties

UPOV Test Guidelines need to cover all the different countries, regions and environments where the DUS examinations are conducted and, as far as possible, they provide universal sets of example varieties in order to maximize harmonization of variety descriptions. However, the regional adaptation of varieties in some genera and species may mean that it is inappropriate to seek to harmonize variety descriptions on a global basis and, therefore, inappropriate to seek to develop a universal set of example varieties. Nevertheless, in such cases, regional harmonization is important and is facilitated by providing regional sets of example varieties as summarized in Flow Diagram 2 in section 3.4. The rationale for identifying regional types will be explained in the Test Guidelines and, where appropriate, correlation between the different regional sets of example varieties may be established.

2.5.2 Procedure for developing regional sets

For the purposes of developing regional sets of example varieties for Test Guidelines:

(a) a “region” should be comprised of more than one country;

(b) the TWP responsible for the Test Guidelines should decide on the need and determine the basis on which the region would be established for a regional set of example varieties;

(c) the procedure for the development of sets of example varieties for a region would be determined by the TWP concerned and could, for example, be coordinated by a leading expert for the region concerned; and

(d) example varieties would need to be agreed by all UPOV members in the region concerned.
Flow Diagram 1  Deciding if Example Varieties are needed for a characteristic

1. **NON-ASTERISKED characteristic**
   - **Important for International Harmonization of Variety Descriptions?**
     - **Yes**
     - **ASTERISKED characteristic**
       - **Is the expression influenced by the environment?**
         - **Yes**
           - **[e.g. QL, (PQ)]**
         - **No**
           - **[e.g. QN, (PQ)]**
     - **No**
       - **Are there regional sets of example varieties?**
         - **Yes**
           - **Go to Regional Example Varieties Diagram**
         - **No**
           - **Is an illustration of the characteristic necessary?**
             - **Yes**
               - **Example varieties not required**
             - **No**
               - **Is an illustration provided?**
                 - **Yes**
                   - **Example varieties not required**
                 - **No**
                   - **Example varieties required**
                     - **Is universal set appropriate?**
                       - **Yes**
                         - **Universal set of example varieties**
                       - **No**
                         - **Regional set(s) of example varieties**
                           - **Go to Regional Example Varieties Diagram**

2. **Example Varieties not required**

3. **Example Varieties required**

4. **Go to Regional Example Varieties Diagram**
Flow Diagram 2

Deciding if Example Varieties are needed for a characteristic: Regional Sets of Example Varieties

- **Go to Regional Example Varieties Diagram**
- **Is the characteristic important for regional harmonization?**
  - Yes
  - No [e.g. QL, (PO)]
- **Is the expression influenced by the environment?**
  - Yes [e.g. QN, (PO)]
  - No
- **Is the environment controlled?**
  - Yes
  - No
- **Is an illustration of the characteristic necessary?**
  - Yes
  - No
- **Is an illustration provided?**
  - Yes
  - No

- **Example varieties not required**
- **Example varieties required**
3. **Multiple sets of example varieties**

3.1 **Presentation of Regional Sets of Example Varieties**

3.1.1 The existence of multiple sets of example varieties means that, for some or all characteristics, no example varieties are presented in the Table of Characteristics and the multiple sets of example varieties are presented in an annex available on the UPOV Website which is presented as follows:

```
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Example</th>
<th>Region A</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>varieties</td>
<td>Ch. 1</td>
<td>Ch. 2</td>
<td>Ch. 3</td>
<td>Ch. 4</td>
<td>Ch. 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variety A</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variety B</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variety C</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variety D</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>etc.</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Example</th>
<th>Region B</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>varieties</td>
<td>Ch. 1</td>
<td>Ch. 2</td>
<td>Ch. 3</td>
<td>Ch. 4</td>
<td>Ch. 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variety I</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variety II</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variety III</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variety IV</td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>etc.</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
```

3.1.2 Even where the “example variety” column is empty (i.e. there are no universal example varieties for any characteristic), the column is retained in the Table of Characteristics to allow users to complete this with the appropriate example varieties.

3.2 **Different types of variety**

3.2.1 If it is not possible, with a single set of example varieties, to describe all the types of varieties (e.g. winter-types and spring-types) covered by the same Test Guidelines, they may be subdivided to create different sets of example varieties.

3.2.2 Where different sets of example varieties are provided for different types of varieties covered by the same Test Guidelines, they are placed in the Table of Characteristics in the same column as normal. The sets of example varieties (e.g. winter and spring) are separated by a semicolon, and/or indicated by a key which is provided for each set and an explanation for the option chosen should be included in the legend of Chapter 6 of the Test Guidelines.

Example: For certain characteristics, different example varieties are indicated for winter type and spring type varieties. These types are separated by a semicolon, with the winter types placed before the semicolon and prefixed by “(w)” and the spring types placed after the semicolon and prefixed by “(s)”. 
4. Purpose of example varieties

The General Introduction (Chapter 4.3) states that “example varieties are provided in the Test Guidelines to clarify the states of expression of a characteristic.” This clarification of the states of expression is required with respect to two aspects:

(a) to illustrate the characteristic and/or

(b) to provide the basis for ascribing the appropriate state of expression to each variety and, thereby, to develop internationally harmonized variety descriptions.

4.1 Illustration of a characteristic

Although example varieties have the benefit of enabling examiners to see a characteristic in “real life”, in many cases, the illustration of a characteristic by photographs or drawings (to be provided in chapter 8 of the Test Guidelines) may provide a clearer illustration of the characteristic. Furthermore, the difficulty in selecting suitable example varieties, which satisfy all the requirements in Section 4.2 below, means that photographs or drawings are an important alternative or addition to example varieties as a means of illustrating characteristics.

4.2 International Harmonization of Variety Descriptions

4.2.1 The main reason why example varieties are used in place of, for example, actual measurements is that measurements can be influenced by the environment.

(a) Example varieties in the Test Guidelines

4.2.2 Example varieties are important to adjust the description of the characteristics for the year and location effects, as far as possible. Thus, using the relative scale provided by the example varieties, it can be seen that the example variety Beta measured 10 cm in Country A and 15 cm in Country B, but in both locations demonstrates the state of expression “medium”. On this basis, candidate variety X would be considered to have a medium length leaf in both Countries A and B.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Leaf: length of blade</th>
<th>Example Varieties</th>
<th>Note</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>short</td>
<td>Alpha</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>medium</td>
<td>Beta</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>long</td>
<td>Gamma</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(b) Fixed measurements in the Test Guidelines

4.2.3 If absolute measurements were to be indicated in the Test Guidelines and the Test Guidelines were drafted in Country A on the basis of the data from section 4.2.2, the Table of Characteristics would show the following:
### Length Note

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Leaf: length of blade</th>
<th>Length</th>
<th>Note</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>short</td>
<td>5 cm</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>medium</td>
<td>10 cm</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>long</td>
<td>15 cm</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.2.4 Because there is no “relative scale” provided by the example varieties, the same data would lead to the following descriptions:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variety X</th>
<th>Country A</th>
<th>Country B</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10 cm</td>
<td>15 cm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(medium: note 5)</td>
<td>(long: note 7)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.2.5 Thus, if absolute measurements were used in the Test Guidelines, variety X, when grown in Country A, would be described as “medium (note 5)”, but if grown in Country B, would be described as “long (note 7)”. This demonstrates that it could be very misleading to compare descriptions from different locations on the basis of absolute measurements, without the adjustment for year or location effects provided by example varieties.

4.2.6 Nevertheless, because of the possibility of particular interactions between the variety genotype and location (e.g. influence of photoperiod), it should not be assumed that descriptions developed in different countries or locations using the same set of example varieties will be the same (see also section 2.2). Guidance on the scope for comparison of varieties on the basis of descriptions produced in different locations is provided in document TGP/9, Examining Distinctness.

---

**GN 29 (TG Template: Chapter 8) – Example varieties: names**

1. **Presentation of variety names**

   The recommendation of the International Code for the Nomenclature of Cultivated Plants (ICNCP), that variety names should be presented in single citation marks (e.g. ‘Apex’) when presented in text, should be followed.

2. **Synonyms**

   2.1 Example varieties which are, or have been, protected or officially registered:

   Where such a variety is used as an example variety and has been registered with a different denomination by some members of the Union, the denomination used in the Table of Characteristics should be the denomination by which it was registered by the first member of the Union granting protection to that variety. Other denominations may be presented in Chapter 8, but only where the alternative denominations clearly, and exclusively, identify the variety concerned.

   2.2 Example varieties which have not been protected or officially registered:

   In the case of a variety, used as an example variety, which has not been protected or officially registered, the denomination used in the Table of Characteristics should be that by which the variety is most widely known by members of the Union. Where necessary, any alternative names (synonyms) may be presented in Chapter 8, but only where the alternative names clearly, and exclusively, identify the variety concerned.

   2.3 Where synonyms of example varieties are presented in Chapter 8 of the Test Guidelines, this should be indicated in Chapter 6: Section 6.4 “Example Varieties” of the Test Guidelines concerned.
GN 30  (TG Template:  Chapter 9) – Literature

1. Format

Literature should be presented as follows:
[Surname 1], [Initials 1], [Surname 2], [Initials 2] etc., [Year]: [Title]. [Publication]. [Town], [City / Region], [Country*], [pp. n₁ to n₂ or x pp.]

* presented as two-letter country code according to WIPO Standard ST.3 and International Standard ISO 3166.

Example:


2. Languages

Literature will be presented in the language of the publication, with no translation.

3. Relevant literature

All relevant UPOV documents should be mentioned as associated documents on the cover page of the Test Guidelines (see GN 2) and not in Chapter 9. Chapter 9 should include reference to publications concerned with the characterization of varieties which have been produced by organizations other than UPOV, where these have been used in the development of the Test Guidelines.

GN 31  (TG Template:  Chapter 10:  TQ 4.2) – Information on method of propagating the variety

The examples below indicate how this section can be formatted and some appropriate terms which can be used:

Example 1

“4.2.1 Seed-propagated varieties

“(a) Self-pollination          [ ]
“(b) Cross-pollination
   (i) population              [ ]
   (ii) synthetic variety      [ ]
“(c) Hybrid                   [ ]
   {…see GN 32 for example…}
“(d) Other                    [ ]
   (please provide details)”

“4.2.2 Vegetatively propagated varieties

{…see Example 2…}            [ ... ... ]

“4.2.3 Other
   (please provide details)”
Example 2

**4.2.1 Vegetative propagation**

- (a) cuttings [ ]
- (b) *in vitro* propagation [ ]
- (c) other (state method) [ ]

**4.2.2 Seed** [ ]

**4.2.3 Other** (please provide details)

---

**GN 32 (TG Template: Chapter 10: TQ 4.2) – Information on method of propagation of hybrid varieties**

"In the case of hybrid varieties the production scheme for the hybrid should be provided on a separate sheet. This should provide details of all the parent lines required for propagating the hybrid e.g.

**Single Hybrid**

(..........................) x (..........................)

female parent

male parent

**Three-Way Hybrid**

(..........................) x (..........................)

female line

male line

(..........................) x (..........................)

single hybrid used as female parent

male parent

"and should identify in particular:

- (a) any male sterile lines
- (b) maintenance system of male sterile lines."
GN 33 (TG Template: Chapter 10: TQ 6) – Similar varieties

Drafters of Test Guidelines should provide a suitable example for the individual Test Guidelines concerned e.g.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Denomination(s) of variety(ies) similar to your candidate variety</th>
<th>Characteristic(s) in which your candidate variety differs from the similar variety(ies)</th>
<th>Describe the expression of the characteristic(s) for the similar variety(ies)</th>
<th>Describe the expression of the characteristic(s) for your candidate variety</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Example</strong> Flower color</td>
<td>orange</td>
<td>orange red</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

GN 34 (TG Template: Chapter 10: TQ 7.3) – Variety use

Drafters of Test Guidelines may introduce a request for information concerning the main use of the variety where this might help in the examination. The following examples illustrate how this section should be presented:

Example 1

7.3.1 Main use

(a) seed [ ]
(b) forage [ ]
(c) other [ ]

(please provide details)

Example 2

7.3.1 Main use

(a) garden plant [ ]
(b) pot plant [ ]
(c) cut-flower [ ]
(d) other [ ]

(please provide details)

GN 35 (TG Template: Chapter 10: TQ 7.3) – Guidance for applicants on providing suitable photographs of the candidate variety as accompaniment to the Technical Questionnaire

Introduction

The taking of photographs is influenced by factors, such as light conditions, quality and setting of the camera, and the background. The perception of the photograph can also be affected by the quality, settings and resolution of the screen and printout or developed photographs. It is not possible to standardize all conditions when photos are taken in different premises but this document aims to provide guidance in order to provide meaningful and coherent information on the candidate variety, while on the one side decreasing the influence of the origin of the photograph (location, equipment, etc), and on the other side making the relevant authorities aware of possible influences to be taken into account when making use of the photographs provided. By decreasing the influence of these external factors on the taking of photographs, it will in particular help to ensure that “color”, the trait most liable to be affected by such factors, will be reliably represented in photographs provided by applicants.
Criteria for taking photographs

Format

Photographs must be in color and submitted either in print form of at least 10 cm x 15 cm, and/or as an electronic photo in a frequently used format such as jpeg (minimum 960 x 1280 pixels). The photograph must be well focused and aim to have the plants or plant parts occupy as much of the frame of the photograph as possible. It should be noted that different makes/models of computer screens can influence the expression of the color and the advantage of a printout is that the applicant can make a comment, e.g. actual color darker, and the examination authority would see exactly the same printout. Conversely, the advantages of having an image in an electronic format are that this could display the camera type and settings, date and GPS location of the taken photo, the possibility to exchange the image instantaneously via electronic means, and the possibility to store the image indefinitely electronically without a reduction in quality.

Best time for taking photographs

Photographs must illustrate plants of the candidate variety at the stage when the distinguishing features of the variety are most apparent. Often this is when the plants are fully developed and at the stage when they are of commercial value (e.g. flowering for many ornamentals, fruiting for many fruit species), which usually corresponds to the most numerous set of characteristics in the corresponding UPOV guideline for the species in question.

Photographic environment

Photographs should be taken under adequate light conditions and with an appropriate background. It is preferable to have photographs taken indoors, since one can ensure homogenous photographic conditions irrespective of the type of photographs and number of candidate varieties supplied by the same applicant. The background of the photograph should be neutral (e.g. off-white in case of dark colors or grey in case of light colors) and should not have a shiny surface. If the photograph is taken indoors, then this should preferably be done in the same room and under artificial light conditions which will ensure identical and ample luminosity on repeated occasions over time. If a photograph has to be taken outdoors, then this should not be in direct sunlight but in a shaded area with as much indirect natural light as possible or on a cloudy day.

Specification of growing conditions

The applicant should provide information on the date and location of the photograph taken. The plants of the candidate variety appearing in the photographs should have been grown under standard growing conditions for the crop in question, or under any specific conditions as may have been indicated for the candidate variety in the Technical Questionnaire (e.g. indoor, outdoor, season of the year). If this is not the case, then any possible alteration in the expression of the characteristic(s) appearing in the photographs must be specified (e.g. seasonal conditions may influence the color and pattern of fruit and flowers, such as over coloring in apple according to outdoor light intensity and night temperatures, delphinium grown either outdoors or indoors).

Plant organs to be displayed

The photographs should show the plant parts which are a distinguishing feature of the candidate variety, as well as those of the whole plant and the most important commercial organs (flower, fruit, etc.). If the distinguishing features of the candidate variety are very specific (e.g. seed size, shape of leaf/flower/fruit, length of awns, color pattern of flower/fruit, etc.) it is recommended to remove these plant parts from the plant and take a well-focused close-up photograph of them. For some crops (e.g. peach, tomato), a photograph of a mass view of several harvested fruit in an industry-standard tray can provide a valuable illustration of the candidate variety.

Similar varieties

Although not a requirement, the applicant may wish to illustrate differences between the candidate variety and the variety thought to be the most similar as nominated by him/her under point 6 of the Technical Questionnaire, by providing photographs of the candidate variety alongside the aforesaid similar variety. In such photographs, the distinguishing plant parts of the candidate variety should be photographed alongside the same plant parts of the nominated similar variety(ies). Where there is more than one similar variety
named by the applicant, a separate photograph of the relevant plant parts of the candidate variety and each of those of the similar varieties could be provided.

Labeling

A photograph must be clearly labeled with the breeder’s reference and/or (proposed) variety denomination of the candidate variety; trade names may be used only in addition to the breeder’s reference and/or (proposed) variety denomination.

Metric scales

A metric scale in centimeters – also millimeters where a close-up photograph has been taken – should ideally appear along the horizontal and/or vertical margins of the photograph.

Color characteristics

For ornamental species, reference to the relevant RHS Colour Chart placed alongside the pertinent plant organ (e.g. flower) provides greater precision. For other crop sectors, industry-recognized color charts can also be displayed alongside the pertinent plant organ (e.g. apple fruit). Likewise, the color itself of the plant organ may not be the most representative feature of the candidate variety but rather the color pattern (e.g. pattern of over color in apple fruit, stripes/spots/netting in *Phalaenopsis*), and this can be well illustrated in a clear and well-focused photograph."

GN 36 (TG Template: Chapter 8) – Providing illustrations of color in Test Guidelines

It is generally not appropriate to use illustrations of color, as such, in the Test Guidelines because the color in photographs can be affected by the technology of the camera, the facilities used to display the photograph (including printer, computer and screen) and lighting conditions under which the photograph is/was taken. Furthermore, the expression of color may vary according to the environment in which the variety is grown. For example, a photograph of a ‘weak intensity’ of anthocyanin coloration (or ‘light intensity’ of a color) observed in one environment may not represent a ‘weak intensity’ of anthocyanin coloration (or ‘light intensity’ of a color) observed in another environment.
ANNEX 4:

COLLECTION OF

APPROVED CHARACTERISTICS
1. The Collection of Approved Characteristics (“Collection”) presents characteristics, with their corresponding states of expression, which have already been approved for inclusion in existing Test Guidelines. Drafters are invited to search this collection for the characteristic which they wish to use. If the appropriate characteristic and its corresponding states of expression are found, this can be copied directly into the new Test Guidelines. However, it should be remembered that what may appear to be very similar characteristics in different types of plant, or different organs of the same plant, may in fact be under different types of genetic control. Thus, for example, in one type of plant, or one organ, the characteristic “profile” might be a qualitative characteristic e.g. straight (1), curved (2) but in another type of plant, or organ, it might be a quantitative characteristic e.g. straight or slightly curved (1), moderately curved (2), strongly curved (3).

2. The Collection presents the characteristic as it is included in the relevant Test Guidelines. In addition, for certain characteristics, it provides information on the Test Guidelines from which it has been taken. This information is placed in the blank “header” space in the column for example varieties since this entire column is likely to be “cleared” by the drafter after pasting into his new draft because the example varieties will not be relevant.

3. Certain characteristics contained in adopted UPOV Test Guidelines may be omitted from the Collection where considered appropriate by the Technical Committee, in particular on the basis of recommendations by the Enlarged Editorial Committee (TC-EDC).

The Collection of Approved Characteristics is published on the UPOV Website: http://www.upov.int/restricted_temporary/twptg/en/collection.doc