Seminar on PVP & Technology Transfer: the Benefits of Public-Private Partnership

Closing remarks by the Chairs

April 11-12, 2011.

Use of Plant Variety Protection by National Research Centers

Chair: Enriqueta Molina  Conclusions – Session 1

Plant Variety Protection:
- Promotes private sector involvement in research and development
- A tool for technology transfer
- Provides a legal framework for financial investment
- Encourages innovation in breeding aims, particularly for the development of new or niche markets
- Focuses investment on meeting the needs of farmers and consumers
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Technology Transfer by the Private Sector
Chair: Kitisri Sukhapinda  Conclusions – Session 2

Private sector:

- An effective means of delivering varieties to farmers
- Assessment of the market potential of varieties
- Link between public research and the needs of farmers
- Provides a channel for income for public sector research
- Facilitates strategic associations and coordinated technology transfer
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International Research Centers
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- PVP provides a mechanism to facilitate dissemination of varieties to farmers: open access does not ensure widespread dissemination or use
- PVP provides a system to increase availability of varieties suited to farmers’ needs
- PVP provides incentives for SME’s, particularly local breeders and seed distributors
- The breeders’ exemption provides a mechanism to facilitate access to germplasm
- The use of PVP is consistent with the ITPGRFA and SMTA
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