

TWV/33/10 ORIGINAL: English DATE: June 9, 1999 INTERNATIONAL UNION FOR THE PROTECTION OF NEW VARIETIES OF PLANTS GENEVA

TECHNICAL WORKING PARTY FOR VEGETABLES

Thirty-Third Session Hanover, Germany, July 5 to 9, 1999

PROPOSED REVISIONS TO THE WORKING PAPER ON TEST GUIDELINES FOR TURNIP FOLLOWING COMMENTS FROM THE SUBGROUP EXPERTS

Document prepared by experts from the United Kingdom

TWV/33/10 page 2

Comments received from the Turnip expert subgroup on discussion document TWV/32/3 (revised)

1. Comments on options for handling Turnip and Turnip Rape and the UK response

Option 1

Sweden	Chosen option as there a number of characteristics which justify separation of Turnip and Turnip Rape: different requirements for seed, trial design, number of plants grown and measured, different characteristics (root). No need for floral and pod characters for Turnips.	
	Floral and pod characters are <u>necessary</u> to distinguish some Turnips	
The Netherlands (CPRO-DLO)	This is a workable option	
The Netherlands (NAKG)	In favour of two separate guidelines.	
Germany	Essentially we can agree with Sweden: in favour of two separate guidelines for Turnip and Turnip Rape. Turnip Rape is closer to Oilseed Rape than to Turnip.	
Option 4		
The Netherlands	Might be a good option but prefer option 1.	
The proposal to have guidelines for i Turnip including Stubble Turnips ii Turnip Rape (including Forage Rape and Winter and Spring Oilseed Rape) is generally accepted by the subgroup.		

2. Comments on TWV/32/3 revised

VII Table of characteristics

Why were old 5, 45, 48, 49, 50 deleted? They are useful.

Old 5	First leaf: hairiness on margin	very sparse	1	
		sparse		3
		medium	5	
		dense	7	
		very dense	9	

This char. involves high recording input for limited discrimination, but can be retained if required.

TWV/33/10 page 3

Old 45	Plant: length of stem	short to medium 4
	-	medium 5
		medium to long 6

The char. has a small range, but can be retained if required.

Old 48	Siliqua: length between	short	3
	peduncle and beak	medium	5
		long	7

This char. has been reinstated in the working paper as UK would like to retain Should peduncle be pedicel?

Old 49	Siliqua: length of beak	short	3
		medium	5
		long	7

This char. has been reinstated in the working paper as UK would like to retain

Old 50	Siliqua: length of peduncle	short	3
		medium	5
		long	7

This char. has been reinstated in the working paper as UK would like to retain Should peduncle be pedicel?

7 and 9	Check spelling in German text
	For TWV to correct where necessary

33 Propose: Plant: height at start of flowering, rather than Plant: height at first flowering. Can accept either, but first flowering is more precise.

- Propose: Time of flowering (without any qualification)
 The additional qualification was designed to record flowering in the first year.
 The range of expression and thus discrimination is reduced if flowering follows over-winter growth.
- 35 No comments or additional notes see TWA/27/13 Extra notes exist (milky yellow and orange) but expression is limited to off-type plants rather than varieties.

36 Only 3 notes needed UK could agree to 3 notes

TWV/33/10 page 4

3. Proposal for additional characteristics

Pollen production	Not relevant for Turnip?
Plant height at full flowering	There is little difference between Plan: height at first flowering and Plan: height at full flowering. Propose Plant: height at first flowering and Plant: height after flowering as the most useful characteristics.
Plant: height after flowering	Reinstated
Siliqua: length	Reinstated
Siliqua: length of beak	Reinstated
Siliqua: length of peduncle	Reinstated
Siliqua: anthocyanin coloration	Not very useful for Turnip?
Seed color	additional notes, or present as two separate characters as in $TWA/27/13$.
	Not relevant for Turnip as there are no yellow seeded varieties in Turnip (Brassica rapa L. var. rapa).

4. Comments on Annex to TWV/32/3

Part 1. Sweden

Agree on Plant height Agree on Siliqua characters

The Netherlands

Agree on root: speed of formation Agree that plant height at first flowering can be different from plant height after flowering Agree on silqua characteristics

Part 2. <u>Sweden</u>

Primax and the stubble turnip types are very rare and could be included in the right guideline by common sense

There are still several varieties of forage rape and stubble turnip grown in some countries. If material is assessed on spring sown trials, root formation will be maximised. This should aid the correct classification of Turnip and Turnip Rape and identify the most appropriate guideline for testing.

The Netherlands

Agree on the last lines of page 1 Agree on the methods and observations Agree on leaf lobing (CPRO-DLO) Agree on leaf lobing, although difference is still difficult to assess (NAKG) Do we really need to expand Root: shape? (CPRO-DLO)

The existing shapes do not accommodate the full range of variation for this character. Additional shapes are added.

Agree on last line of page 2

[End of document]