

TG/ROSEMARY(proj.3) ORIGINAL: English DATE: 2006-05-30

INTERNATIONAL UNION FOR THE PROTECTION OF NEW VARIETIES OF PLANTS

GENEVA

DRAFT

ROSEMARY

UPOV Code: ROSMA_OFF

(Rosemarinus officinalis L.)

GUIDELINES

FOR THE CONDUCT OF TESTS

FOR DISTINCTNESS, UNIFORMITY AND STABILITY

prepared by an expert from Israel

to be considered by the Technical Working Party for Vegetables (TWV) at its fortieth session to be held in Guanajuato, Guanajuato State, Mexico, from June 12 to 16, 2006

Alternative Names:*

Botanical name	English	French	German	Spanish
Rosmarinus officinalis L	Rosemary	Romarin	Rosmarin	Romero, Rosmarino

The purpose of these guidelines ("Test Guidelines") is to elaborate the principles contained in the General Introduction (document TG/1/3), and its associated TGP documents, into detailed practical guidance for the harmonized examination of distinctness, uniformity and stability (DUS) and, in particular, to identify appropriate characteristics for the examination of DUS and production of harmonized variety descriptions.

ASSOCIATED DOCUMENTS

These Test Guidelines should be read in conjunction with the General Introduction and its associated TGP documents.

^{*} These names were correct at the time of the introduction of these Test Guidelines but may be revised or updated. [Readers are advised to consult the UPOV Code, which can be found on the UPOV Website (www.upov.int), for the latest information.]

i:\orgupov\shared\tg\rosemary\upov drafts\tg_rosemary_proj_3.doc

TG/ROSEMARY(proj.3) Rosemary, 2006-05-30 - 2 -

TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>PAGE</u>

1.	SUBJECT OF THESE TEST GUIDELINES						
2.	MATERIAL REQUIRED	3					
3.	METHOD OF EXAMINATION	3					
	3.1 Number of Growing Cycles	3					
	3.2 Testing Place	3					
	3.3 Conditions for Conducting the Examination	3					
	3.4 Test Design	4					
	3.5 Number of Plants / Parts of Plants to be Examined	4					
	3.6 Additional Tests	4					
4.	ASSESSMENT OF DISTINCTNESS, UNIFORMITY AND STABILITY	4					
	4.1 Distinctness	4					
	4.2 Uniformity	5					
	4.3 Stability	5					
5.	GROUPING OF VARIETIES AND ORGANIZATION OF THE GROWING TRIAL	5					
6.	INTRODUCTION TO THE TABLE OF CHARACTERISTICS	6					
	6.1 Categories of Characteristics	6					
	6.2 States of Expression and Corresponding Notes	6					
	6.3 Types of Expression	6					
	6.4 Example Varieties	6					
	6.5 Legend	6					
7.	TABLE OF CHARACTERISTICS/TABLEAU DES						
	CARACTÈRES/MERKMALSTABELLE/TABLA DE CARACTERES	7					
8.	EXPLANATIONS ON THE TABLE OF CHARACTERISTICS	16					
9.	LITERATURE	17					
10.	TECHNICAL QUESTIONNAIRE	18					

1. <u>Subject of these Test Guidelines</u>

These Test Guidelines apply to vegetatively propagated varieties of *Rosmarinus* officinalis L.

2. <u>Material Required</u>

2.1 The competent authorities decide on the quantity and quality of the plant material required for testing the variety and when and where it is to be delivered. Applicants submitting material from a State other than that in which the testing takes place must ensure that all customs formalities and phytosanitary requirements are complied with.

2.2 The material is to be supplied in the form of young plants.

2.3 The minimum quantity of plant material, to be supplied by the applicant, should be:

5 young plants.

2.4 The plant material supplied should be visibly healthy, not lacking in vigor, nor affected by any important pest or disease.

2.5 The plant material should not have undergone any treatment which would affect the expression of the characteristics of the variety, unless the competent authorities allow or request such treatment. If it has been treated, full details of the treatment must be given.

3. <u>Method of Examination</u>

3.1 Number of Growing Cycles

The minimum duration of tests should normally be two independent growing cycles.

3.2 Testing Place

Tests are normally conducted at one place. In the case of tests conducted at more than one place, guidance is provided in TGP/9 "Examining Distinctness".

3.3 Conditions for Conducting the Examination

3.3.1 The tests should be carried out under conditions ensuring satisfactory growth for the expression of the relevant characteristics of the variety and for the conduct of the examination.

3.3.2 The recommended method of observing the characteristic is indicated by the following key in the second column of the Table of Characteristics:

- MG: single measurement of a group of plants or parts of plants
- MS: measurement of a number of individual plants or parts of plants
- VG: visual assessment by a single observation of a group of plants or parts of plants
- VS: visual assessment by observation of individual plants or parts of plants"

3.4 Test Design

3.4.1 Each test should be designed to result in a total of at least 5 plants.

3.4.2 The design of the tests should be such that plants or parts of plants may be removed for measurement or counting without prejudice to the observations which must be made up to the end of the growing cycle.

3.5 Number of Plants / Parts of Plants to be Examined

Unless otherwise indicated, all observations should be made on 5 plants or parts taken from each of 5 plants. For characteristics involving measurement of individual parts of plants (MS), 2 parts of each of 5 plants should be taken.

3.6 Additional Tests

Additional tests, for examining relevant characteristics, may be established.

4. <u>Assessment of Distinctness, Uniformity and Stability</u>

4.1 Distinctness

4.1.1 General Recommendations

It is of particular importance for users of these Test Guidelines to consult the General Introduction prior to making decisions regarding distinctness. However, the following points are provided for elaboration or emphasis in these Test Guidelines.

4.1.2 Consistent Differences

The differences observed between varieties may be so clear that more than one growing cycle is not necessary. In addition, in some circumstances, the influence of the environment is not such that more than a single growing cycle is required to provide assurance that the differences observed between varieties are sufficiently consistent. One means of ensuring that a difference in a characteristic, observed in a growing trial, is sufficiently consistent is to examine the characteristic in at least two independent growing cycles.

4.1.3 Clear Differences

Determining whether a difference between two varieties is clear depends on many factors, and should consider, in particular, the type of expression of the characteristic being examined, i.e. whether it is expressed in a qualitative, quantitative, or pseudo-qualitative manner. Therefore, it is important that users of these Test Guidelines are familiar with the recommendations contained in the General Introduction prior to making decisions regarding distinctness.

4.2 Uniformity

4.2.1 It is of particular importance for users of these Test Guidelines to consult the General Introduction prior to making decisions regarding uniformity. However, the following points are provided for elaboration or emphasis in these Test Guidelines:

4.2.2 For the assessment of uniformity, a population standard of 1% and an acceptance probability of at least 95% should be applied. In the case of a sample size of 5 plants, no off-type is allowed.

4.3 Stability

4.3.1 In practice, it is not usual to perform tests of stability that produce results as certain as those of the testing of distinctness and uniformity. However, experience has demonstrated that, for many types of variety, when a variety has been shown to be uniform, it can also be considered to be stable.

4.3.2 Where appropriate, or in cases of doubt, stability may be tested, either by growing a further generation, or by testing a new plant stock to ensure that it exhibits the same characteristics as those shown by the previous material supplied.

5. <u>Grouping of Varieties and Organization of the Growing Trial</u>

5.1 The selection of varieties of common knowledge to be grown in the trial with the candidate varieties and the way in which these varieties are divided into groups to facilitate the assessment of distinctness are aided by the use of grouping characteristics.

5.2 Grouping characteristics are those in which the documented states of expression, even where produced at different locations, can be used, either individually or in combination with other such characteristics: (a) to select varieties of common knowledge that can be excluded from the growing trial used for examination of distinctness; and (b) to organize the growing trial so that similar varieties are grouped together.

5.3 The following have been agreed as useful grouping characteristics:

- (a) Plant: growth habit (characteristic 1)
- (b) Stem: position of long side branches (characteristic 5)
- (c) Flower: size (characteristic 19)
- (d) Flower: main blue color (characteristic 20)

5.4 Guidance for the use of grouping characteristics, in the process of examining distinctness, is provided through the General Introduction.

6. <u>Introduction to the Table of Characteristics</u>

6.1 *Categories of Characteristics*

6.1.1 Standard Test Guidelines Characteristics

Standard Test Guidelines characteristics are those which are approved by UPOV for examination of DUS and from which members of the Union can select those suitable for their particular circumstances.

6.1.2 Asterisked Characteristics

Asterisked characteristics (denoted by *) are those included in the Test Guidelines which are important for the international harmonization of variety descriptions and should always be examined for DUS and included in the variety description by all members of the Union, except when the state of expression of a preceding characteristic or regional environmental conditions render this inappropriate.

6.2 States of Expression and Corresponding Notes

States of expression are given for each characteristic to define the characteristic and to harmonize descriptions. Each state of expression is allocated a corresponding numerical note for ease of recording of data and for the production and exchange of the description.

6.3 Types of Expression

An explanation of the types of expression of characteristics (qualitative, quantitative and pseudo-qualitative) is provided in the General Introduction.

6.4 Example Varieties

Where appropriate, example varieties are provided to clarify the states of expression of each characteristic.

6.5 Legend

- (*) Asterisked characteristic see Chapter 6.1.2
- QL: Qualitative characteristic see Chapter 6.3
- QN: Quantitative characteristic see Chapter 6.3
- PQ: Pseudo-qualitative characteristic see Chapter 6.3
- MG: single measurement of a group of plants or parts of plants see Chapter 3.3.1
- MS: measurement of a number of individual plants or parts of plants see Chapter 3.3.1
- VG: visual assessment by a single observation of a group of plants or parts of plants see Chapter 3.3.2
- VS: visual assessment by observation of individual plants or parts of plants" see Chapter 3.3.2
- (+) See Explanations on the Table of Characteristics in Chapter 8

7. <u>Table of Characteristics/Tableau des caractères/Merkmalstabelle/Tabla de caracteres</u>

		English	français	deutsch	español	Example Varieties/ Exemples/ Beispielssorten/ Variedades ejemplo	Note/ Nota
1. (*)	VG	Plant: growth habit					
QN		erect				Barbecue	1
		semi-erect					2
		prostrate					3
2. (*)	VG	Plant: height					
QN		short					3
		medium					5
		tall					7
3. (*)	VG	Plant: density of foliage					
QN		sparse					3
		medium					5
		dense					7
4. (*) (+)	VG	Plant: flower arrangement					
QL		opposite					1
		whorl					2
5. (*) (+)	VG	Stem: position of long side branches					
QN		mainly lower third				Barbecue	1
		mainly middle third					2
		along whole stem					3

TG/ROSEMARY(proj.3) Rosemary, 2006-05-30 - 8 -

		English	français	deutsch	español	Example Varieties/ Exemples/ Beispielssorten/ Variedades ejemplo	Note/ Nota
6. (*)	MS	Stem: length of internode (average of 20 cm)					
QN	(a)	short					3
		medium					5
		long					7
7. (*) (+)	VG	Stem: number of inflorescences per node					
QN	(a)	few					3
		medium					5
		many					7
8.	VG	Stem: thickness					
QN	(a)	thin					3
		medium					5
		thick					7
9. (*)	VG	Stem: anthocyanin coloration of young stem					
QL	(a)	absent					1
		present					9
10. (*)	VG	Stem: waxiness					
QN	(a)	absent or weakly expressed					1
		moderately expressed	l				2
		strongly expressed					3

TG/ROSEMARY(proj.3) Rosemary, 2006-05-30 - 9 -

		English	français	deutsch	español	Example Varieties/ Exemples/ Beispielssorten/ Variedades ejemplo	Note/ Nota
11. (*)	MS	Leaf: length					
QN	(b)	short					3
		medium					5
		long					7
12.	MS	Leaf: width					
QN	(b)	narrow					3
		medium					5
		broad					7
13.	VS	Leaf: variegation					
ОТ	(h)	absent					1
ŲĽ	(0)	nrecent					0
14.	VG	Leaf: green color					
(*)							
QN	(b)	very light					1
		light					3
		medium					5
		dark					7
		very dark					9
15.	VS	Leaf: size of white spot at base					
(+)							
QN	(b)	small					3
		medium					5
		large					7
16.	VS	Leaf: surface of upper side					_
QL	(b)	smooth					1
		rough					2

TG/ROSEMARY(proj.3) Rosemary, 2006-05-30 - 10 -

		English	français	deutsch	español	Example Varieties/ Exemples/ Beispielssorten/ Variedades ejemplo	Note/ Nota
17.	VS	Leaf: curvature of longitudinal axis					
QN	(b)	incurved					1
		straight					2
		recurved					3
18. (*)	VS	Leaf: recurving of margin					
QN	(b)	absent or very weak					1
		weak					3
		medium					5
		strong					7
		very strong					9
19. (*)	VG	Flower: size					
QN		very small					1
		small					3
		medium					5
		large					7
		very large					9
20. (*)	VS	Flower: intensity of main blue color					
QN		very light					1
		light					3
		medium					5
		dark					7
		very dark				Blue Lagoon	9

TG/ROSEMARY(proj.3) Rosemary, 2006-05-30 - 11 -

		English	français	deutsch	español	Example Varieties/ Exemples/ Beispielssorten/ Variedades ejemplo	Note/ Nota
21.	VS	Lower lip: size of white area (center o middle lobe)	of				
QN		small					3
		medium					5
		large					7
22. (*)	VS	Lower lip: blue spots					
QL		absent					1
		present					9
23.	VS	Lower lip: width of blue colored stripes	•				
QN		narrow					3
		medium					5
		broad					7
24.	VG	Calyx: size					
QN		small					3
		medium					5
		large					7
25. (*)	VS	Calyx: shape					
QL		funnel-shape					1
		campanulate					2
26 (*)	VS	Calyx: anthocyanin coloration					
QL		absent					1
		present					9

TG/ROSEMARY(proj.3) Rosemary, 2006-05-30 - 12 -

		English	français	deutsch	español	Example Varieties/ Exemples/ Beispielssorten/ Variedades ejemplo	Note/ Nota
27.	VS	Calyx: pubescence					
QN		absent or very weak					1
		weak					3
		medium					5
		strong					7
		very strong					9
28. (*)	VS	Calyx: shape of apex of lobe					
QL		acute					1
		rounded					2
29.	VS	Style: length					
QN		short					3
		medium					5
		long					7
30. (*)	VS	Style: length in relation to stamen					
QL		equal					1
		longer					2
31. (*)		Style: blue color					
QN		light					3
		medium					5
		dark					7
32. (*)	VS	Flowering habit					
QL		not flowering					1
		seasonal					2
		continuous				Star	3

TG/ROSEMARY(proj.3) Rosemary, 2006-05-30 - 13 -

		English	français	deutsch	español	Example Varieties/ Exemples/ Beispielssorten/ Variedades ejemplo	Note/ Nota
33. (*)	VS	<u>Only varieties with</u> <u>seasonal flowering</u> : Time of beginning of flowering	ſ				
QN		very early					1
		early					3
		medium					5
		late					7
		very late					9
35.	MG	Etheric oils: total content	Propose to delete				
(+)							
QN		very low					1
		low					3
		medium					5
		high					7
		very high					9
36.	MG	Etheric oils: a-pine content	Propose to delete				
(+)							
QN		very low					1
		low					3
		medium					5
		high					7
		very high					9

TG/ROSEMARY(proj.3) Rosemary, 2006-05-30 - 14 -

		English	français	deutsch	español	Example Varieties/ Exemples/ Beispielssorten/ Variedades ejemplo	Note/ Nota
37	MG	Etheric oils: camphene content	Propose to delete				
(+)							
QN		very low					1
		low					3
		medium					5
		high					7
		very high					9
38.	MG	Etheric oils: b-pipepe content	Propose to delete				
(+)		b-pinene content					
QN		very low					1
		low					3
		medium					5
		high					7
		very high					9
40. (+)	MG	Etheric oils: limonene content	Propose to delete				
QN		very low					1
		low					3
		medium					5
		high					7
		very high					9
41.	MG	Etheric oils:	Propose to delete				
(+)		1,8-cineol content					
QN		very low					1
		low					3
		medium					5
		high					7
		very high					9

TG/ROSEMARY(proj.3) Rosemary, 2006-05-30 - 15 -

		English	français	deutsch	español	Example Varieties/ Exemples/ Beispielssorten/ Variedades ejemplo	Note/ Nota
42. (+)	MG	Etheric oils: camphor content	Propose to delete				
QN		very low					1
		low					3
		medium					5
		high					7
		very high					9
43. (+)	MG	Etheric oils: borneol content	Propose to delete				
QN		very low					1
		low					3
		medium					5
		high					7
		very high					9
44. (+)	MG	Etheric oils: verbenone content	Propose to delete				
QN		very low					1
		low					3
		medium					5
		high					7
		very high					9

- 8. <u>Explanations on the Table of Characteristics</u>
- 8.1 Explanations covering several characteristics

Characteristics containing the following key in the second column of the Table of Characteristics should be examined as indicated below:

- (a) <u>Stem</u>: all observations should be made at middle third of stem
- (b) <u>Leaf</u>: all observations should be made on fully grown leaves

8.2 Explanations for individual characteristics

Ad. 5: Stem: position of long side branches

[to be provided]

Ad. 7: Stem: number of inflorescences per node

[to be provided]

Ad. 15: Leaf: size of white spot at base



TG/ROSEMARY(proj.3) Rosemary, 2006-05-30 - 17 -

9. <u>Literature</u>

TG/ROSEMARY(proj.3) Rosemary, 2006-05-30 - 18 -

10. <u>Technical Questionnaire</u>

TEC	CHNICAL QUESTIONNAIRE	Page $\{x\}$ of $\{y\}$	Reference Number:		
			Application date: (not to be filled in by the applicant)		
TECHNICAL QUESTIONNAIRE to be completed in connection with an application for plant breeders' rights					
1. Subject of the Technical Questionnaire					
	1.1Botanical nameRosmarinus officinalis L.				
	1.2 Common name Rosemary				
2.	Applicant				
	Name				
	Address				
	Telephone No.				
	Fax No.				
	E-mail address				
	Breeder (if different from app	licant)			
3.	Proposed denomination and b	reeder's reference			
	Proposed denomination (if available)				
	Breeder's reference				

TG/ROSEMARY(proj.3) Rosemary, 2006-05-30 - 19 -

TE	CHNI	CAL QI	UESTIONNAIRE	Page $\{x\}$ of $\{y\}$	Reference Number:
[#] 4.	[#] 4. Information on the breeding scheme and propagation of the variety				
	4.1	1 Breeding scheme			
		Variety resulting from:			
	4.1.1 Crossing				
			(a) controlled c (please state	ross parent varieties)	[]
			(b) partially kno (please state	own cross known parent variety([] ies))
			(c) unknown cr	OSS	[]
		4.1.2	Mutation (please state parer	nt variety)	[]
		4.1.3	Discovery and dev (please state wher and how develope	velopment e and when discovered ed)	[]
		4.1.4	Other (please provide de	etails)	[]

 $^{^{\#}}$ Authorities may allow certain of this information to be provided in a confidential section of the Technical Questionnaire.

TG/ROSEMARY(proj.3) Rosemary, 2006-05-30 - 20 -

TECHNICAL QUESTIONNAIRE	Page {x} of {y}	Reference Number:			
4.2 Method of propagating the variety					
4.2.1 Vegetative propag	ation				
(a) cuttings		[]			
(b) <i>in vitro</i> propag	gation	[]			
(c) other (state me	ethod)	[]			
4.2.2 Seed		[]			
4.2.3 Other (please provide de	tails)	[]			

TG/ROSEMARY(proj.3) Rosemary, 2006-05-30 - 21 -

TECHNICAL QUESTIONNAIREPage $\{x\}$ of $\{y\}$ Reference Number:5. Characteristics of the variety to be indicated (the number in brackets refers to the corresponding characteristic in Test Guidelines; please mark the note which best corresponds).

Characteristics

Example Varieties Note

TG/ROSEMARY(proj.3) Rosemary, 2006-05-30 - 22 -

TECHNICAL QUESTIONNAIRE	Page $\{x\}$ of $\{y\}$	Reference Number:

6. Similar varieties and differences from these varieties

Please use the following table and box for comments to provide information on how your candidate variety differs from the variety (or varieties) which, to the best of your knowledge, is (or are) most similar. This information may help the examination authority to conduct its examination of distinctness in a more efficient way.

Denomination(s) of	Characteristic(s) in	Describe the expression	Describe the
variety(ies) similar to	which your candidate	of the characteristic(s)	expression of the
your candidate variety	variety differs from the	for the similar	characteristic(s) for
	similar variety(ies)	variety(ies)	your candidate variety
Example	Plant: growth habit	semi-erect	erect

Comments:

TG/ROSEMARY(proj.3) Rosemary, 2006-05-30 - 23 -

TECHNICAL QUESTIONNAIRE Page {x} of {y} Reference Number:					
[#] 7.	Additional information which may help in the examination of the variety				
7.1	In addition to the information provided in sections 5 and 6, are there any additional characteristics which may help to distinguish the variety?				
	Yes [] No []				
	(If yes, please provide details)				
7.2	Are there any special conditions for growing the variety or conducting the examination?				
	Yes [] No []				
	(If yes, please provide details)				
7.3	Other information				
	Main use				
	(a)garden plant[(b)pot plant[(c)industrial (etheric oils)[(d)fresh consumption[(e)dried aromatic[(f)other[(please provide details)[
A representative color photograph of the variety should accompany the Technical Questionnaire. [to be discussed]					
8.	Authorization for release				
	(a) Does the variety require prior authorization for release under legislation concerning the protection of the environment, human and animal health?				
	Yes [] No []				
	(b) Has such authorization been obtained?				
	Yes [] No []				
	If the answer to (b) is yes, please attach a copy of the authorization.				

 $^{^{\#}}$ Authorities may allow certain of this information to be provided in a confidential section of the Technical Questionnaire.

TG/ROSEMARY(proj.3) Rosemary, 2006-05-30 - 24 -

TECHNICAL QUESTIONNAIRE	Page $\{x\}$ of $\{y\}$	Reference Number:

9. Information on plant material to be examined or submitted for examination.

9.1 The expression of a characteristic or several characteristics of a variety may be affected by factors, such as pests and disease, chemical treatment (e.g. growth retardants or pesticides), effects of tissue culture, different rootstocks, scions taken from different growth phases of a tree, etc.

9.2 The plant material should not have undergone any treatment which would affect the expression of the characteristics of the variety, unless the competent authorities allow or request such treatment. If the plant material has undergone such treatment, full details of the treatment must be given. In this respect, please indicate below, to the best of your knowledge, if the plant material to be examined has been subjected to:

	(a)	Microorganisms (e.g. virus, bacteria, phytoplasma)	Yes []	No []		
	(b)	Chemical treatment (e.g. growth retardant, pesticide)	Yes []	No []		
	(c)	Tissue culture	Yes []	No []		
	(d)	Other factors	Yes []	No []		
	Please provide details for where you have indicated "yes".					
10. I hereby declare that, to the best of my knowledge, the information provided in this form is correct:						
	Appl	icant's name				
	Signa	nture Date				

[End of document]