

Technical Working Party for Ornamental Plants and Forest Trees

TWO/58/8

Fifty-Eighth Session
Virtual meeting, July 6 to 9, 2026

Original: English
Date: July 9, 2026

REPORT

Adopted by the Technical Working Party for Ornamental Plants and Forest Trees

Disclaimer: this document does not represent UPOV policies or guidance

OPENING OF THE SESSION

1. The Technical Working Party for Ornamental Plants and Forest Trees (TWO) held its fifty-eighth session via electronic means, from July 6 to 9, 2026.
2. The session was opened by Ms. Hilary Papworth (United Kingdom), Chair of the TWO, who welcomed the participants.

ADOPTION OF THE AGENDA

3. The TWO adopted the agenda as provided in document TWO/58/1 Rev.

DEVELOPMENT OF GUIDANCE AND INFORMATION MATERIALS

4. The TWO considered documents TWP/10/1 and TWO/58/7.

Revision of document TGP/7 “Development of Test Guidelines”: Number of growing cycles and concluding examination

5. The TWO considered the proposed amendments to document TGP/7 “Development of Test Guidelines”, on the universal standard wording for “number of growing cycles” and when testing of a variety may be concluded, as set out in document TWP/10/1, paragraphs 12 to 14.
6. The TWO discussed whether clarification should be provided on situations when the general number of growing cycles would not be observed. The TWO agreed that the proposed wording provided sufficient flexibility for shorter or longer duration of trials and no further clarification would be required at this stage.
7. The TWO agreed with the proposal in document TWP/10/1 to delete the word “minimum” and replace “normally” by “generally” along with combining both sentences in one paragraph, to read as follows:

“(a) Single growing cycle:

“The ~~minimum~~ duration of tests should ~~normally~~ generally be a single growing cycle. The testing of a variety may be concluded when the competent authority can determine with certainty the outcome of the test”

“(b) Two independent growing cycles:

“The ~~minimum~~ duration of tests should ~~normally~~ generally be two independent growing cycles. The testing of a variety may be concluded when the competent authority can determine with certainty the outcome of the test”

8. The TWO agreed with the proposal to amend the additional standard wording (ASW 3) on growing cycle for fruit species, as set out in document TWP/10/1, to read as follows:

“In particular, it is essential that the [trees] / [plants] produce a ~~satisfactory crop~~ sufficient quantity and quality of fruit for testing purposes and are representative of the variety in any ~~in each of the~~ two growing cycles.”

NOTIFICATION OF ADDITIONAL CHARACTERISTICS AND STATES OF EXPRESSION

9. The TWO considered document TWP/10/2.

10. The TWO noted that no additional characteristics or states of expression for ornamental plants or forest trees had been reported since its last session. The TWO recalled the procedure for the notification of additional characteristics and agreed to invite UPOV members to use this possibility to promote discussion and facilitate harmonization.

11. The TWO noted that notifications of additional characteristics and states of expression were available on the [UPOV website](#).

TECHNICAL QUESTIONNAIRE, SECTION 4.2: “METHOD OF PROPAGATING THE VARIETY”

12. The TWO considered document TWP/10/5.

13. The TWO agreed to propose to the Technical Committee the methods of propagating the variety for the Technical Questionnaires of the following crops, as provided in Annex I to this report:

Document	
TG/21	Poplar
TG/79	White Cedar
TG/126	Lachenalia
TG/132	Dieffenbachia
TG/135	Spathiphyllum
TG/144	Evening Primrose

MEASURES TO IMPROVE SUPPORT PROVIDED FOR DUS EXAMINATION

14. The TWO considered document TWP/10/3.

Procedure for discussing matters relevant for drafting national test guidelines at Technical Working Parties

15. The TWO noted that UPOV members had been invited to present characteristics, approaches or challenges for DUS examination relevant for drafting national test guidelines, as set out in document TWP/10/3, paragraph 10.

16. The TWO noted that no presentations had been proposed for discussion under this agenda item. The TWO agreed to invite UPOV members to propose presentations on national test guidelines which they would wish to discuss with the TWO at its fifty-ninth session.

Possible measures on Test Guidelines (TG) and online tool for drafting Test Guidelines

Subgroup on Test Guidelines

17. The TWO considered the options for improving the Test Guidelines and the TG template, as set out in document TWP/10/3, paragraph 15.

	Proposals	TWO
(a)	It is recommended that consideration is given to the need to review the standard wording of the Test Guidelines	- Agreed to invite TWO experts to identify standard wording to be revised when discussing draft TGs. - No particular proposals at this stage.
(b)	It is recommended that the format of the Test Guidelines should be revised to take advantage of the opportunities for interactive viewing when accessed electronically: (i) Active links to UPOV Guidance (ii) Pop-ups and hints (iii) Zoom (iv) Video explanations	- In case interactive viewing is made available, it should provide opportunities to complement information provided in TGs without becoming a compulsory requirement for drafters. - Links could be provided to UPOV guidance in TGP documents, such as the assessment of color characteristics or new types and species.
(c)	It is recommended that consideration be given to the layout of the Test Guidelines to bring the additional information and diagrams closer to (or within) the table of contents.	The TWO agreed this proposal should be further explored as it could facilitate the use of both printed and digital versions of Test Guidelines.
(d)	It is recommended that additional information provided in a Test Guidelines (for example details of a molecular technique or a laboratory test) should have a harmonized template across all guidelines.	The TWO noted that templates for disease resistance characteristics and molecular marker protocols were provided in UPOV guidance (documents TGP/12 and TGP/15) No additional elements proposed at this stage.
(e)	It is recommended that the format and layout of the Test Guidelines is such that it can be translated using automated electronic methods.	TWO agreed that machine translation of documents should be facilitated, with no particular proposals for layout improvement at this stage.
(f)	It is recommended that the removal of the four UPOV languages from the table of characteristics be considered	The table of characteristics with four languages should be retained as it facilitates understanding the terminology used in Test Guidelines.
(g)	It is recommended that consideration be given to the process of revising Test Guidelines, in particular to partial updates that affect only one part of the document, for example the technical questionnaire or single characteristics	Not a priority for the TWO
(h)	It is recommended that consideration be given to producing the technical questionnaires in a document separate to the Test Guidelines. It is important that the technical questionnaire be reviewed along with a revision of the Test Guidelines. However, it is not considered necessary for the Test Guidelines to be reviewed along with a revision of the Technical Questionnaire	Not a priority for the TWO

The Web-based Test Guidelines Template (TG Template)

Access for Interested Experts

18. The TWO considered whether to develop criteria for granting access to interested experts to provide comments on draft Test Guidelines using the web-based TG template, as set out in document TWP/10/3, paragraph 19.

19. The TWO noted the current practice of granting access to all participants at TWPs to make comments on draft Test Guidelines.

20. The TWO agreed that it was important for UPOV members and observers to coordinate comments on draft Test Guidelines using the web-based TG Template and avoid conflicting comments from the same UPOV member or observer.

21. The TWO agreed that the designated persons for the TWO of each UPOV member and observer should be in charge of introducing comments to the web-based TG Template or to notify the UPOV Office of another expert in charge of introducing comments on their behalf.

Drafting of partial revisions using the web-based TG Template

22. The TWO considered the use of the web-based TG Template for drafting partial revisions of Test Guidelines, as set out in document TWP/10/3, paragraph 22.

23. The TWO noted that the use of the TG Template for partial revisions would allow updating the structure of the Test Guidelines and include the standard wording used since the adoption of document TGP/7 “Development of Test Guidelines”, in 2008. The TWO agreed that this approach should be used on a case-by-case basis, according to the workload associated with the additional information to be provided.

EXPERIENCES WITH NEW TYPES AND SPECIES

24. The TWO received a presentation on “New Peony types and varieties – proposed revision of TG/297/1” from an expert from China, as provided in document TWO/58/7.

25. The TWO noted the proposal to add new characteristics and states of expression and expand the scope to include intersectional hybrid groups. The TWO noted the support from Argentina, European Union and the Netherlands (Kingdom of the) for considering the scope of an expansion of coverage of the Test Guidelines and the possible inclusion of *Paeonia lactiflora*.

26. The TWO discussed whether to prioritize the revision of TG/297/1 according to the criteria set out in document TGP/7 “Development of Test Guidelines” and noted that only China and the Netherlands (Kingdom of the) reported having practical DUS experience with the crop.

MOLECULAR TECHNIQUES

27. The TWO considered documents TWP/10/4 and TWP/10/4 Add.

Confidentiality and ownership of molecular information

28. The TWO noted that the “Policy on the status of plant material submitted for DUS testing purposes” reported by the European Union will be included in a revision of document TGP/5, Section 11 “Examples of Policies and Contracts for Material Submitted by the Breeder”.

29. The TWO noted the invitation to propose topics and organize discussions on cooperation on the use of molecular information, such as the joint development of molecular markers, selection of molecular markers for variety identification and management of variety collections

30. The TWO noted the invitation to propose topics and organize discussions on confidentiality and ownership of molecular information based on concrete cases and specific situations.

Cooperation between international organizations

Harmonizing terms, definitions and methods between UPOV, OECD and ISTA

31. The TWO noted developments concerning the possible harmonization of terms, definitions and methods in relation to molecular techniques at UPOV, OECD and ISTA, including the ongoing work reported by the leading expert from France to the Technical Working Party on Testing Methods and Techniques (TWM) in 2026.

Updating the list of molecular markers used per crop

32. The TWO noted the results of the survey on the use of molecular markers, as set out in document TWP/10/4, and received a presentation from an expert from the Netherlands (Kingdom of the), as provided in document TWP/10/4 Add.

33. The TWO noted that the results of the survey had been provided as an excel file available for [download](#) from the TWO/58 webpage of the UPOV website, along with document TWP/10/4.

Common sets of molecular markers for variety identification

34. The TWO noted the ongoing [discussions at the TWM](#) on the possible establishment of common sets of molecular markers for variety identification, in collaboration with OECD and ISTA.

PROCEDURES FOR DUS EXAMINATION

Chrysanthemum petal color test by image analysis method

35. The TWO received a presentation on “Chrysanthemum petal color test by image analysis method” from an expert from China, as provided in document TWO/58/3.

36. The TWO discussed the use of a black background for the determination of color and noted the explanation from China that the background color had been selected to facilitate analysis using the methodology described. The TWO noted that the image analysis method generated three RHS Colour Chart references for the expert to select the most appropriate color.

37. The TWO discussed the challenges for harmonized assessment of color using different equipment and noted the color calibration card developed by China to minimize variation.

38. The TWO discussed the routine assessment of color characteristics using image analysis, including the differences in the resources required for evaluations on the field and on the laboratory, such as the preparation of samples for data collection.

Consideration on the use of spectrophotometer for color evaluation in DUS testing

39. The TWO received a presentation on “Consideration on the use of spectrophotometer for color evaluation in DUS testing” from an expert from Japan, as provided in document TWO/58/5.

40. The TWO noted that Japan was testing the spectrophotometer for the assessment of color in ornamental plants and agreed on the potential usefulness for other types of crops. The TWO noted that UPOV members interested in testing the spectrophotometer were invited to contact Japan for further information.

Evaluating color scanners for flower color measurement

41. The TWO received a presentation on “Evaluating color scanners for flower color measurement” from an expert from the Netherlands (Kingdom of the), as provided in document TWO/58/4.

42. The TWO noted that the color scanners converted observations into measurements and proposed a corresponding RHS Colour Chart reference. The TWO agreed that color scanners provided an objective assessment of color characteristics and discussed challenges with their practical use.

43. The TWO discussed variation in the assessments of color among the different color scanners tested and between color scanner and DUS expert, including differences that could not be observed by the human eye.

44. The TWO noted that the Netherlands (Kingdom of the) would conduct further testing of color assessments using color scanners and agreed to invite developments to be reported at its fifty-ninth session.

DISCUSSIONS ON DRAFT TEST GUIDELINES

45. The TWO discussed the following draft Test Guidelines, presented by the relevant Leading Expert:

Full draft Test Guidelines

Species	Basic Document	Leading Expert(s)
*Ginkgo (<i>Ginkgo biloba</i> L.)	TG/GINKG_BIL(proj.4)	Mr. Yongqi Zheng (CN)
*Helleborus (<i>Helleborus</i> L.)	TG/HELLE(proj.2)	Ms. Katie Berbee (NL)
Lotus (<i>Nelumbo</i> Adans.)	TG/NELUM(proj.4)	Mr. Daike Tian (CN)
*Magnolia (<i>Magnolia</i> L.)	TG/MAGNO(proj.7)	Ms. Yaling Wang (CN)
Ornamental Apple (<i>Malus</i> Mill.) (Revision)	TG/192/2(proj.1)	Mr. Leiming Dong (CN)
*Rhododendron, Azalea (<i>Rhododendron</i> L.) (Revision to combine TGs)	TG/42/7-TG/140/5 (proj.2)	Ms. Daniela Christ (DE)
*Zantedeschia (<i>Zantedeschia</i> Spreng.) (Revision)	TG/177/4(proj.3)	Ms. Katie Berbee (NL)

46. The TWO noted that the changes agreed during discussions on draft Test Guidelines had been recorded in the TG Template and that drafts presenting the changes in “revision-mode” had been made available on the [TWO/58 webpage](#).

RECOMMENDATIONS ON DRAFT TEST GUIDELINES

(a) Test Guidelines to be put forward for adoption by the Technical Committee

47. The TWO agreed that the following draft Test Guidelines should be submitted to the TC for adoption at its sixty-second session, to be held in Geneva on October 19 and 20, 2026 on the basis of the following documents and the changes presented in the drafts with comments on the TWO/58 webpage (see: <https://www.upov.int/en/about-upov/events/details?meeting-id=90351>).

Full draft Test Guidelines

<u>Subject</u>	<u>Basic Document(s) (2026)</u>
*Ginkgo (<i>Ginkgo biloba</i> L.)	TG/GINKG_BIL (proj.4)
*Helleborus (<i>Helleborus</i> L.)	TG/HELLE(proj.2)
*Magnolia (<i>Magnolia</i> L.)	TG/MAGNO(proj.7)
*Rhododendron, Azalea (<i>Rhododendron</i> L.) (Revision to combine TGs)	TG/42/7-TG/140/5(proj.2)
*Zantedeschia (<i>Zantedeschia</i> Spreng.) (Revision)	TG/177/4(proj.3)

(b) Test Guidelines to be discussed at the fifty-ninth session

48. The TWO agreed to discuss the following draft Test Guidelines at its fifty-ninth session:

Full draft Test Guidelines

<u>Subject</u>	<u>Basic Document(s) (2026)</u>
Alstroemeria (<i>Alstroemeria</i> L.) (Revision)	TG/29/8

Forsythia (<i>Forsythia</i> Vahl) (Revision)	TG/69/3
Lotus (<i>Nelumbo</i> Adans.)	TG/NELUM(proj.4)
Ornamental Apple (<i>Malus</i> Mill.) (Revision)	TG/192/2(proj.1)
Torenia (<i>Torenia</i> L.) (Revision)	TG/272/1

Partial revisions

<u>Subject</u>	<u>Basic Document(s) (2026)</u>
Aloe (<i>Aloe</i> L.) - addressing different flowering times in flowering characteristics	TG/310/1, TWO/56/4

49. The leading experts, interested experts and timetables for the development of the Test Guidelines are set out in Annex II to this report.

(c) Test Guidelines for possible future discussion

50. The TWO agreed that it should consider the development of Test Guidelines for the following at a future session:

<u>Subject</u>	<u>Basic document(s) (2026)</u>
Evening Primrose (<i>Oenothera</i> L.) and Gaura (<i>Gaura</i> L.) (Revision to combine both TGs) (NL)	TG/144/3 and TG/261/1
Maple (<i>Acer</i> L.) (CN)	New
Rose (<i>Rosa</i> L.) (Revision) (DE) (2029/30)	TG/11/8 Rev. 2
Tree Peony (Revision) (CN)	TG/297/1
Tulip (<i>Tulipa</i> L.) (Revision) (NL)	TG/115/4

51. The TWO noted the invitation from Germany for UPOV members and breeders' organizations to provide comments on characteristics to be added or revised in a future revision of the Test Guidelines for Rose.

52. The TWO agreed to invite China to clarify the scope of the proposed revision and aspects to be revised for international harmonization on the Test Guidelines for Tree Peony.

53. The TWO agreed to invite China to clarify the scope of coverage for the development of a Test Guidelines for Maple.

(d) Participation in discussions of Test Guidelines from other TWPs

54. The TWO agreed to propose that the following be added as interested experts to the following draft Test Guidelines being discussed by the Technical Working Party for Fruit Crops (TWF), subject to the deadlines agreed in the report of the fifty-seventh session of the TWF:

<u>Subject</u>	<u>Interested experts (countries/organizations) ¹</u>
Hazelnut (<i>Corylus avellana</i> L.; <i>Corylus colurna</i> L.) (Revision)	CA, HU, QZ
*Granadilla, Passion fruit (<i>Passiflora edulis</i> Sims) (Revision)	NL, QZ, CIOPORA

¹ for name of experts, see list of participants

MATTERS FOR INFORMATION

Reports on developments in plant variety protection from members and observers

55. The TWO noted the information on developments in plant variety protection from members and observers provided in document TWO/58/2 Prov. The TWO noted that further reports submitted to the Office of the Union until July 9, 2026 would be included in the final version of document TWO/58/2.

Reports on developments in UPOV

56. The TWO noted the following matters for information, as set out in document TWP/10/6:

- (i) UPOV genera and species database (GENIE database)

The TWO noted that UPOV members at the TWPs were invited to check the information on the new UPOV codes added to the GENIE database and the amendments to existing UPOV codes, as set out in the Annexes to document TWP/10/6.

- (ii) PLUTO database
- (iii) TWP workshops and webinars
- (iv) Discussion on “New technologies in DUS examination”
- (v) Corrections to Test Guidelines
- (vi) UPOV e-PVP DUS Report Exchange Module

57. The TWO noted that UPOV members were invited to use the new “UPOV e-PVP DUS Report Exchange Module” to facilitate cooperation in variety examination. The TWO noted that use of the Module required the representative to the Council to accept its terms of use.

DATE AND PLACE OF THE NEXT SESSION

58. At the invitation of Japan, the TWO agreed to hold its fifty-ninth session in Yokohama, from June 8 to 11, 2027.

MEDAL

59. The TWO thanked Ms. Hilary Papworth for chairing the TWO. In recognition of her contribution as Chair of the TWO from 2024 to 2026, the Secretary-General awarded to Ms. Hilary Papworth, a UPOV bronze medal.

FUTURE PROGRAM

60. The TWO agreed that documents for its fifty-ninth session should be submitted to the Office of the Union by April 23, 2027. The TWO noted that items would be deleted from the agenda if the planned documents did not reach the Office of the Union by the agreed deadline.

61. The TWO agreed to discuss the following items at its next session:

1. Opening of the session
2. Adoption of the agenda

Matters for discussion

3. Procedures for DUS examination (presentations invited)
4. Report on court cases dealing with technical matters (presentations invited)
5. Molecular techniques in DUS examination (presentations invited)
6. Experiences with new types and species (oral reports invited)
7. Notification of additional characteristics and states of expression (presentations invited)
8. Support for drafting national test guidelines (presentations invited)

9. Discussion on draft Test Guidelines (Subgroups)
10. Recommendations on draft Test Guidelines
11. Date and place of the next session
12. Future program
13. Adoption of the report of the session (if time permits)

Matters for information

14. Reports from members and observers (written reports to be prepared by members and observers)
15. Report on developments in UPOV (general developments, including variety denominations, information databases, exchange and use of software and equipment)
16. Closing of the session

62. The TWO adopted this report at the close of its session.

[Annex I follows]

TECHNICAL QUESTIONNAIRE, SECTION 4.2: "METHOD OF PROPAGATING THE VARIETY"

CODE	ENGLISH	FRANÇAIS	DEUTSCH	ESPAÑOL	LATIN
021	Poplar	Peuplier	Pappel	Álamo	Populus L.

4.2 Method of propagating the variety

Information on method of propagating the variety

Vegetatively propagated varieties

Cuttings

In vitro propagation

Other (please specify):

Other (please specify):

CODE	ENGLISH	FRANÇAIS	DEUTSCH	ESPAÑOL	LATIN
079	White Cedar	Thuya du Canada	Lebensbaum	Tuya	Thuya occidentalis L.

4.2 Method of propagating the variety

Information on method of propagating the variety

Vegetatively propagated varieties

Cuttings

In vitro propagation

Budding or grafting

Other (please specify):

Other (please specify):

CODE	ENGLISH	FRANÇAIS	DEUTSCH	ESPAÑOL	LATIN
126	Lachenalia	Lachenalia	Lachenalia	Lachenalia	Lachenalia Jacq. f. ex Murray

4.2 Method of propagating the variety

Information on method of propagating the variety

Vegetatively propagated varieties

Bulbs

Cuttings

In vitro propagation

Other (please specify):

Other (please specify):

CODE	ENGLISH	FRANÇAIS	DEUTSCH	ESPAÑOL	LATIN
132	Dieffenbachia	Dieffenbachia	Dieffenbachia	Dieffenbachia	Dieffenbachia Schott

4.2 Method of propagating the variety

Information on method of propagating the variety

Vegetatively propagated varieties

Cuttings

Division

In vitro propagation

Other (please specify):

Other (please specify):

CODE	ENGLISH	FRANÇAIS	DEUTSCH	ESPAÑOL	LATIN
135	Spathiphyllum	Spathiphyllum	Spathiphyllum	Spathiphyllum	Spathiphyllum Schott

4.2 Method of propagating the variety

Information on method of propagating the variety

Vegetatively propagated varieties

Cuttings

Division

In vitro propagation

Other (please specify):

Other (please specify):

CODE	ENGLISH	FRANÇAIS	DEUTSCH	ESPAÑOL	LATIN
144	Evening Primrose	Onagre	Nachtkerze	Onagra	Oenothera L.

4.2 Method of propagating the variety

Information on method of propagating the variety

Seed propagation

Cross-pollination

Vegetatively propagated varieties

Cuttings

In vitro propagation

Other (please specify):

Other (please specify):

[Annex II follows]

LIST OF LEADING EXPERTS

**DRAFT TEST GUIDELINES TO BE SUBMITTED
TO THE TECHNICAL COMMITTEE IN 2026**

All requested information to be submitted to the Office of the Union

by August 20, 2026

Full draft Test Guidelines

Species	Basic Document(s)	Leading expert(s)
*Ginkgo (<i>Ginkgo biloba</i> L.)	TG/GINKG_BIL(proj.4)	Mr. Yongqi Zheng (CN)
*Helleborus (<i>Helleborus</i> L.)	TG/HELLE(proj.2)	Ms. Katie Berbee (NL)
*Magnolia (<i>Magnolia</i> L.)	TG/MAGNO(proj.7)	Ms. Yaling Wang (CN)
* Rhododendron, Azalea (<i>Rhododendron</i> L.) (Revision to combine TGs)	TG/42/7-TG/140/5(proj.2)	Ms. Daniela Christ (DE)
*Zantedeschia (<i>Zantedeschia</i> Spreng.) (Revision)	TG/177/4(proj.3)	Ms. Katie Berbee (NL)

DRAFT TEST GUIDELINES TO BE DISCUSSED AT TWO/59

(* indicates possible final draft Test Guidelines)

**(Guideline date for Subgroup draft to be submitted by Leading Expert: February 26, 2027
Guideline date for comments to Leading Expert by Subgroup: March 26, 2027)**

New draft to be submitted to the Office of the Union

before April 24, 2027

Full draft Test Guidelines

Species	Basic Document(s)	Leading expert(s)	Interested experts (States/Organizations) ²
Alstroemeria (<i>Alstroemeria</i> L.) (Revision)	TG/29/8	Ms. Katie Berbee (NL)	CA, CN, DE, FR, JP, MX, QZ, ZA, CIOPORA, Office
Forsythia (<i>Forsythia</i> Vahl) (Revision)	TG/69/3	Mr. Huitang Pan (CN)	FR, MX, QZ, CIOPORA, Office
Lotus (<i>Nelumbo</i> Adans.)	TG/NELUM(proj.4)	Mr. Daike Tian (CN)	CA, FR, JP, KR, MX, CIOPORA, Office
Ornamental Apple (<i>Malus</i> Mill.) (Revision)	TG/192/2(proj.1)	Mr. Leiming Dong (CN)	TWF, AU, CA, DE, FR, GB, KR, QZ, NZ, CIOPORA, Office
Torenia (<i>Torenia</i> L.) (Revision)	TG/272/1	Mr. Naoki Eguchi (JP)	CA, DE, FR, MX, QZ, CIOPORA, Office

Partial revisions

Species	Basic Document(s)	Leading expert(s)	Interested experts (States/Organizations) ²
Aloe (<i>Aloe</i> L.) - addressing different flowering times in flowering characteristics	TG/310/1, TWO/56/4	Mr. Marco Hoffman (NL)	QZ, ZA, CIOPORA, Office

² for name of experts, see List of Participants.

Draft Test Guidelines for possible future discussion

<u>Subject</u>	<u>Basic document(s) (2027)</u>
Evening Primrose (<i>Oenothera</i> L.) and Gaura (<i>Gaura</i> L.) (Revision to combine both TGs) (NL)	TG/144/3 and TG/261/1
Maple (<i>Acer</i> L.) (CN)	New
Rose (<i>Rosa</i> L.) (Revision) (DE) (2029/30)	TG/11/8 Rev. 2
Tree Peony (Revision) (CN)	TG/297/1
Tulip (<i>Tulipa</i> L.) (Revision) (NL)	TG/115/4

[End of Annex II and of document]