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REVISED Draft Agenda

Document prepared by the Office of the Union

Disclaimer: this document does not represent UPOV policies or guidance

 Opening of the session

 Adoption of the agenda

 Short reports on developments in plant variety protection

(a) Reports from members and observers (document TWO/51/3)

(b) Reports on developments within UPOV (document TWO/51/2)

 TGP documents (document TWP/3/1 Rev.)

*TGP/7: Development of Test Guidelines*

Characteristics which only apply to certain varieties (document TWP/3/9)

*TGP/8: Trial Design and Techniques Used in the Examination of Distinctness, Uniformity and Stability*

Data Processing for the Assessment of Distinctness and for Producing Variety Descriptions (document TWP/3/10)

*TGP/14: Glossary of Terms Used in UPOV Documents*

 Color names for the RHS Colour Chart (document TWP/3/11)

*TGP/15: Guidance on the Use of Biochemical and Molecular Markers in the Examination of Distinctness, Uniformity and Stability (DUS)*

New example: Characteristic-specific marker with incomplete information on state of expression (document TWP/3/12)

 Information and databases

(a) Variety description databases (document TWP/3/2)

(b) UPOV PRISMA (document TWP/3/3)

(c) UPOV information databases (document TWP/3/4)

(d) Exchange and use of software and equipment (document TWP/3/5)

 Cooperation in examination (document TWP/3/14)

 Experiences with defining trees, shrubs and vines (document TWO/51/9)

 Inconsistencies between TQ information and plant material submitted for trial (document TWO/51/6)

 Experiences with characteristics assessed on the basis of bulk samples (document TWO/51/8)

 Experience with the RHS Colour Chart and possible future addition of colors (document TWO/51/4)

 Differences in notes for the assessment of distinctness (document TWP/3/13)

 Number of growing cycles in DUS examination (document TWO/51/11)

 Defining “growing cycle” for ornamental species (document TWO/51/10)

 Experiences with new types and species (oral reports invited)

 Experiences with taxonomic databases (document TWO/51/5)

 Variety denominations (document TWP/3/6)

 Molecular techniques (document TWP/3/7)

19. Proposals for partial revision/correction of Test Guidelines

* \*Phalaenopsis (*Phalaenopsis* Bl.) (Partial Revision) (document TWO/51/7)

20. Discussion on draft Test Guidelines (Subgroups)

* \*Alstroemeria (*Alstroemeria* L.) (Revision) (document TG/29/8(proj.3))
* Berberis (*Berberis* L.) (Revision) (document TG/68/4(proj.2))
* \*Calendula (*Calendula* L.) (document TG/CALEN(proj.3))
* \*Coreopsis (*Coreopsis* L.) (document TG/COREO(proj.2))
* Eustoma (*Eustoma grandiflorum* (Raf.) Shinners) (Revision) (TG/197/2(proj.1))
* \*Gazania (*Gazania* Gaertn.) (document TG/GAZAN(proj.3))
* \*Hydrangea (*Hydrangea* L.) (Revision) (document TG/133/5(proj.3))
* \*Kangaroo Paw (*Anigozanthos* Labill.) (Revision) (document TG/175/4(proj.2))
* Lagerstroemia (*Lagerstroemia* L.) (Revision) (document TG/95/4(proj.2))
* Portulaca (*Portulaca oleracea* L.) (Revision) (document TG/242/2(proj.1))
* Ranunculus (*Ranunculus* L.) (document TG/RANUN(proj.2))

21. Recommendations on draft Test Guidelines

22. Guidance for drafters of Test Guidelines (document TWP/3/8)

23. Date and place of the next session

24. Future program

25. Adoption of the Report on the session (if time permits)

26. Closing of the session
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