

TWO/49/13

ORIGINAL: English **DATE:** May 16, 2016

INTERNATIONAL UNION FOR THE PROTECTION OF NEW VARIETIES OF PLANTS

Geneva

TECHNICAL WORKING PARTY FOR ORNAMENTAL PLANTS AND FOREST TREES

Forty-Ninth Session Gimcheon City, Republic of Korea, June 13 to 17, 2016

REVISION OF DOCUMENT TGP/10: NEW SECTION: ASSESSING UNIFORMITY BY OFF-TYPES ON THE BASIS OF MORE THAN ONE GROWING CYCLE OR ON THE BASIS OF SUB-SAMPLES

Document prepared by the Office of the Union

Disclaimer: this document does not represent UPOV policies or guidance

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The purpose of this document is to present a proposal for revision of document TGP/10 "Examining uniformity" to provide guidance on assessing uniformity by off-types on the basis of more than one growing cycle or on the basis of sub-samples.

2. The TWO is invited to:

- note that the TWA agreed to request a video link with the experts from the TWC to discuss the new proposed "Approach 3: Combining the results of two growing cycles" at its forty-fifth session, to be held in 2016; and
- consider the draft guidance as presented in Annexes I and II for inclusion in a future revision of (b) document TGP/10.
- 3. The structure of this document is as follows:

DEVELOPME	NTS IN 2015	2
TECHNICAL V	VORKING PARTIES	2
Technical W	Vorking Party for Vegetables Vorking Party on Automation and Computer Programs Vorking Party for Agricultural Crops Vorking Party for Fruit Crops Vorking Party on Ornamental Plants	2
DEVELOPME	NTS IN 2016	4
TECHNICAL (Сомміттее	4
SUMMARY O	F APPROACHES	4
	Assessing uniformity by off-types on basis of more than one growing cycle Assessing uniformity by off-types on the basis of sub-samples within a single test/trial	

ANNEX III: Assessing uniformity by off-types on the basis of more than one growing cycle: risks benefits

and costs (Presentation by the experts from the United Kingdom) during the fifty-second

session of the TC, held in Geneva from March 14 to 16, 2016)

4. The following abbreviations are used in this document:

TC: Technical Committee

TC-EDC: Enlarged Editorial Committee

TWA: Technical Working Party for Agricultural Crops

TWC: Technical Working Party on Automation and Computer Programs

TWF: Technical Working Party for Fruit Crops

TWO: Technical Working Party for Ornamental Plants and Forest Trees

TWPs: Technical Working Parties

TWV: Technical Working Party for Vegetables

BACKGROUND

5. The background to this matter is provided in document TC/52/20 "Assessing Uniformity by Off-Types on the Basis of More than One Sample or Sub-Samples" and TC/52/20 Add. "Addendum to document TC/52/20".

DEVELOPMENTS IN 2015

Technical Working Parties

6. At their sessions in 2015, the TWV, TWC, TWA, TWF and TWO considered documents TWV/49/9, TWC/33/9, TWA/44/9, TWF/46/9 and TWO/48/9 "Revision of document TGP/10: New section: Assessing Uniformity by Off-Types on Basis of More than One Growing Cycle or on the Basis of Sub-Samples", respectively (see documents TWV/49/32 "Revised Report", paragraphs 62 and 63; TWC/33/30 "Report", paragraphs 57 to 61; TWA/44/23 "Report", paragraphs 52 to 55; TWF/46/29 Rev. "Revised Report", paragraphs 55 to 60; and TWO/48/26 "Report", paragraphs 51 to 53).

Technical Working Party for Vegetables

7. The TWV agreed with the draft guidance for inclusion in a future revision of document TGP/10, as presented in Annexes I and II to document TWV/49/9.

Technical Working Party on Automation and Computer Programs

- 8. The TWC considered the draft guidance in document TWC/33/9, Annex I, and agreed to propose amending the title of Approach 2 to read "Combining the results of two growing cycles in the case of inconsistent results".
- 9. The TWC received a presentation by the experts from Germany and the United Kingdom, as reproduced in Annex III of this document (English only), and agreed to propose the addition of the third approach to the draft guidance as follows:

"Approach 3: Combining the results of two growing cycles

- "A variety is considered uniform if the total number of off-types at the end of the two growing cycles does not exceed the number of allowed off-types for the combined sample.
- "A variety is considered non-uniform if the total number of off-types at the end of the two growing cycles exceeds the number of allowed off-types for the combined sample.
- "A variety may be rejected after a single growing cycle, if the number of off-types exceeds the number of allowed off-types for the combined sample (over two cycles).
- "Care is needed when considering results that are very different in each of the growing cycles, such as when a type of off-type is observed at a high level in one growing cycle and is absent in another growing cycle. A statistical test for consistency is possible."
- 10. The TWC noted that the approach presented by the experts from Germany and the United Kingdom was used in the United Kingdom and always combined the results of two growing cycles. The TWC noted the explanation that this approach allowed an early decision on uniformity to be taken when the number of off-types was greater in a sub-sample than the allowed number for the combined sample. The TWC also

noted the explanation that this approach reduced the type 2 error (to accept a non-uniform variety), when compared with the other two approaches presented in the draft guidance, by considering the overall risk of the combined samples instead of the risks for each stage of evaluation separately.

11. The TWC agreed that the presentation made by the experts from Germany and the United Kingdom should be made available to the other TWPs.

Technical Working Party for Agricultural Crops

- 12. The TWA agreed that the draft guidance for inclusion in a future revision of document TGP/10, as presented in document TWA/44/9 Annex I, should continue to be developed considering the information provided by the TWC on the proposed "Approach 3: combining the results of two growing cycles" and the comparison between the overall risk of the combined samples and the risks for each stage of evaluation separately. The TWA agreed to request a video link with the experts from the TWC to discuss the proposed "Approach 3".
- 13. The TWA agreed to propose that the first sentence in Annex I be amended to read: "two independent growing cycles could take place in a single location in different years, or in different locations in the same year, according to document TGP/8 Part I, Sections 1.2 and 1.3."
- 14. The TWA considered the draft guidance provided in document TWA/44/9 Annex I, on the possibility to reject a variety on the basis of a lack of uniformity after a single growing cycle. The TWA agreed that a variety should not be rejected if the uniformity standard is slightly exceeded in the first year. This possibility should only be used if it can be foreseen that the maximum limit will be exceeded also in another growing cycle. In this regard, the TWA agreed to propose that the explanation provided in Annex I on the possibility to reject a variety on the basis of a lack of uniformity after a single growing cycle should be amended to read: "Furthermore, on the basis of a clear lack of uniformity, a variety may be rejected after a single growing cycle".

Technical Working Party for Fruit Crops

- 15. The TWF received an oral report by an expert from New Zealand on the assessment of uniformity using more than one growing cycle: New Zealand's experience for apple varieties originating as mutations.
- 16. The TWF also received an oral report by an expert from France on assessing uniformity by off types on basis of more than one growing cycle or on the basis of sub samples: considerations on uniformity, distinction and description.
- 17. The TWF agreed that the authority in charge of DUS examination should be able to refuse a candidate because of a lack of uniformity after the first growing cycle, in particular for fruit crops where number of growing cycles was normally two.
- 18. The TWF considered the draft guidance for inclusion in a future revision of document TGP/10, as presented in Annexes I and II to document TWF/46/9. The TWF agreed that it should be clarified in the document whether the guidance in Annex I was meant for combining the results of two growing cycles of the same plant material (perennial crops). The TWF agreed that the document should continue to be discussed at its next session.
- 19. The TWF agreed to propose the following amendment to clarify the decision rule in Annex I, Approach 2: "...a variety is considered uniform if the total number of off-types at the end of the two growing cycles does not exceed the number of allowed off-types for the combined sample size of growing cycles 1 and 2 combined".

Technical Working Party on Ornamental Plants

- 20. The TWO noted that when assessing uniformity by off-types on the same plants in two growing cycles the same off-type plants observed in the first growing cycle would still be off-types in the second growing cycle in addition to any other off-type plants observed only in the second growing cycle and agreed that combining the sample sizes in both growing cycles was not useful for the assessment of uniformity by off-types in ornamental plants.
- 21. The TWO agreed that it should be clarified in document TWO/48/9 that the guidance provided was not intended to be used for the assessment of uniformity by off-types on the same plants in two growing cycles.

22. The TWO also agreed that the numbers of off-types in the examples provided in Annex I, second growing cycle column, lines 2 and 3 (number of off-types = 3), should have an asterisk to indicate that "care is needed when considering results that were very different in each of the growing cycles, such as when a type of off-type was observed at a high level in one growing cycle and was absent in another growing cycle."

DEVELOPMENTS IN 2016

Technical Committee

- 23. The TC, at its fifty-second session, held in Geneva from March 14 to 16, 2016, considered document TC/52/20 and received a presentation by an expert from the United Kingdom on assessing uniformity by off-types on the basis of more than one growing cycle, a copy of which is reproduced in Annex III to this document (see document TC/52/29 Rev. "Revised Report", paragraphs 118 to 121).
- 24. The TC agreed that the new proposed "Approach 3: Combining the results of two growing cycles" for the assessment of uniformity by off-types, as presented in Annex I to document TC/52/20, should be considered by the TWPs, at their sessions in 2016.
- 25. The TC noted that the TWA had agreed to request a video link with the experts from the TWC to discuss the new proposed "Approach 3: Combining the results of two growing cycles" at its forty-fifth session, to be held in 2016, and agreed that the video link should be open to all interested experts.
- 26. The TC agreed to clarify that the guidance in the Annex I to this document was not intended to be used for the assessment of uniformity by off-types on the same plants in two growing cycles, as the same off-type plants observed in the first growing cycle would still be off-types in the second growing cycle.

SUMMARY OF APPROACHES

- 27. Annexes I and II to this document summarize different situations when different samples are combined for the overall assessment of uniformity of a variety in accordance with the conclusion of the TC at its fifty-second session.
- 28. The summary in Annexes I and II only relates to situations where more than one sample, or sub-sample, concern the examination of the same characteristic. In the case of different samples, or sub-samples (e.g. special test), to examine a different characteristic there is no requirement to combine the results because a variety is required to be uniform for all relevant characteristics.

29. The TWO is invited to:

- (a) note that the TWA agreed to request a video link with the experts from the TWC to discuss the new proposed "Approach 3: Combining the results of two growing cycles" at its forty-fifth session, to be held in 2016; and
- (b) consider the draft guidance as presented in Annexes I and II for inclusion in a future revision of document TGP/10.

[Annexes follow]

ANNEX I

ASSESSING UNIFORMITY BY OFF-TYPES ON BASIS OF MORE THAN ONE GROWING CYCLE

Two independent growing cycles could take place in a single location in different years, or in different locations in the same year, according to document TGP/8 Part I, Sections 1.2 and 1.3.

The following guidance is not intended to be used for the assessment of uniformity by off-types on the same plants in two growing cycles.

Approach 1: Third growing cycle in the case of inconsistent results

A variety is considered uniform if it is within the uniformity standard in both of the two growing cycles.

A variety is considered non-uniform if it fails to meet the uniformity standard in both of the two growing cycles.

If at the end of the two growing cycles the variety is within the uniformity standard in one growing cycle but is not within the uniformity standard in the other growing cycle, then uniformity is assessed in a third growing cycle. If in the third growing cycle the variety is within the uniformity standard, the variety is considered uniform. If in the third growing cycle the variety fails to meet the uniformity standard, the variety is considered non-uniform.

Care is needed when considering results that were very different in each of the growing cycles, such as when a type of off-type was observed at a high level in one growing cycle and was absent in another growing cycle.

Furthermore, on the basis of a clear lack of uniformity, a variety may be rejected after a single growing cycle.

Approach 2: Combining the results of two growing cycles in the case of inconsistent results

A variety is considered uniform if it is within the uniformity standard in both of the two growing cycles.

A variety is considered non-uniform if it fails to meet the uniformity standard in both of the two growing cycles.

If at the end of the two growing cycles the variety is within the uniformity standard in one growing cycle but is not within the uniformity standard in the other growing cycle, a variety is considered uniform if the total number of off-types at the end of the two growing cycles does not exceed the number of allowed off-types for the sample size of growing cycles 1 and 2 combined.

Care is needed when considering results that were very different in each of the growing cycles, such as when a type of off-type was observed at a high level in one growing cycle and was absent in another growing cycle.

Furthermore, on the basis of a lack of uniformity, a variety may be rejected after a single growing cycle.

Approach 3: Combining the results of two growing cycles

A variety is considered uniform if the total number of off-types at the end of the two growing cycles does not exceed the number of allowed off-types for the combined sample.

A variety is considered non-uniform if the total number of off-types at the end of the two growing cycles exceeds the number of allowed off-types for the combined sample.

A variety may be rejected after a single growing cycle, if the number of off-types exceeds the number of allowed off-types for the combined sample (over two cycles).

Care is needed when considering results that are very different in each of the growing cycles, such as when a type of off-type is observed at a high level in one growing cycle and is absent in another growing cycle. A statistical test for consistency is possible.

TWO/49/13 Annex I, page 2

Example:

Population Standard = 1%

Acceptance Probability ≥ 95%

Sample Size in each of growing cycles 1 and 2 = 50

Maximum number of Off-Types = 2

Sample Size in growing cycles 1 and 2 combined = 100

Maximum number of Off-Types = 3

	Growing cycle			Decision	
	First	Second	Approach 1	Approach 2	Approach 3
	1		uniform	uniform	uniform
of	2	2	uniform	uniform	non-uniform
per of ypes	0	3*	third growing cycle*	uniform*	uniform*
1 2 F	1	3*	third growing cycle*	non-uniform*	non-uniform*
Num Off-	1	4*	third growing cycle*	non-uniform*	non-uniform*
	4	1*	third growing cycle*	non-uniform*	non-uniform

^{*} Care is needed when considering results that were very different in each of the growing cycles, such as when a type of off-type was observed at a high level in one growing cycle and was absent in another growing cycle.

[Annex II follows]

TWO/49/13

ANNEX II

SITUATION: ASSESSING UNIFORMITY BY OFF-TYPES ON THE BASIS OF SUB-SAMPLES WITHIN A SINGLE TEST/TRIAL

Approach: Use of sub-sample as a first step of assessment

A variety is considered uniform if the number of off-types does not exceed a predefined lower limit in the sub-sample.

A variety is considered non-uniform if the number of off-types exceeds a predefined upper limit in the sub-sample.

If the number of off-types is between the predefined lower and upper limits, the whole sample is assessed. The lower and upper limits have to be chosen considering comparable type I and type II errors in the sub-sample and the whole sample.

Example:

In a sample size of 100 plants, the acceptable number of off-types is 3 (based on a population standard of 1% and an acceptance probability of at least 95%).

In a subsample of 20 plants used in the context of the sample size of 100 plants above:

A variety is considered uniform if no off-types are observed in the sub-sample.

A variety is considered non-uniform if the number of off-types in the sub-sample exceeds 3.

If the number of off-types is 1 to 3, the whole sample of 100 plants is assessed.

If the number of off-types in the sample of 100 plants exceeds 3, the variety is considered non-uniform.

Document TWC/32/9 Annex V provides a full description of the statistical basis for this approach.

[Annex III follows]

ANNEX III

(in English only)

ASSESSING UNIFORMITY BY OFF-TYPES ON THE BASIS OF MORE THAN ONE GROWING CYCLE: RISKS BENEFITS AND COSTS

Presentation by the experts from the United Kingdom during the fifty-second session of the TC, held in Geneva from March 14 to 16, 2016 (document TC/52/20 Add.)

Assessing uniformity by off-types on the basis of more than one growing cycle

Risks, benefits and costs

Adrian Roberts Biomathematics & Statistics Scotland United Kingdom Uwe Meyer Bundessortenamt Germany

Overview

Proposal for a new approach 3

 Assessing uniformity by off-types on basis of more than one growing cycle

Comparing different approaches

Assessing uniformity by off-types on basis of more than one growing cycle

- In 2015 draft, basic scheme is two growing cycles, assessed separately
- · Two approaches
 - differ in how they deal with conflicting results between cycles

Approach 1

Third growing cycle in the case of inconsistent results

Approach 2

Combining the results of two growing cycles

TWO/49/13 Annex III, page 3

Approach 1

Third growing cycle in the case of inconsistent results

Approach 2

Combining the results of two growing cycles in the case of inconsistent results

Suggested revised wording

Approach 1

Third growing cycle in the case of inconsistent results

Approach 2

Combining the results of two growing cycles in the case of inconsistent results

Approach 3

Combining the results of two growing cycles

Additional approach used for some United Kingdom crops

Proposed approach 3

- · Two growing cycles
- Simply combine the number of off-types over the two cycles
- With all 3 approaches, it is important to verify whether the results for the two cycles are consistent

Example

Population standard 1% Acceptance Probability 95%

Sample size for each approach and growing cycle

Approach	Growing cycle 1 n1	Growing cycle 2 n2	Growing cycle 3 n3	Combined n1+n2
1	50	50	50	n/a
2	50	50	0	100
3	50	50	0	100

Maximum number of off-types for each approach and growing cycle/stage

Approach	Growing cycle 1	Growing cycle 2	Growing cycle 3	Combined
	n1	n2	n3	n1+n2
1	2	2	2	n/a
2	2	2	n/a	3
3	3	n/a	n/a	3

Example Population standard 1%

Acceptance Probability 95%

Sample size for each approach and growing cycle

Approach	Growing cycle 1 n1	Growing cycle 2 n2	Growing cycle 3 n3	Combined n1+n2
1	50	50	50	n/a
2	50	50	0	100
3	50	50	0	100

Maximum number of off-types for each approach and growing cycle/stage

Approach	Growing cycle 1 n1	Growing cycle 2 n2	Growing cycle 3 n3	Combined n1+n2
1	2	2	2	n/a
2	2	2	n/a	3
3	(3)	n/a	n/a	3

Example

	Population		ı			
	Acceptano					
	Sample Siz	e in each o	of growing cycles 1 and	2 = 50		
	Maximum	number o	f Off-Types = 2			
	Sample Siz	e in growir	ng cycles 1 and 2 combi	ined = 100		
	Maximum	number o	f Off-Types = 3			
	Growin	g cycle	e Decision			
	First	Second	Approach 1 Approach 2		Approach 3	
	1	1	uniform	uniform	uniform	←consistent
* u	2	2	uniform	uniform	non-uniform	←inconsiste
Number of Off-Types	0	3	third growing cycle	uniform	uniform	
「草草」	1	3	third growing cycle	non-uniform	non-uniform	
źδ	1	4	third growing cycle	non-uniform	non-uniform	
	4	1	third growing cycle	non-uniform*	non-uniform*	

Care is needed when considering results that were very different in each of the growing cycles, such as when a type of off-type was observed at a high level in one growing cycle and was absent in another growing cycle.

A variety may be rejected after a single growing cycle if the number of off-types found is sufficiently high.

Example third cycle for Approach 1 only

	Growing cycle				Decision	
	First	Second	Third	Approach 1	Approach 2	Approach 3
<u>.</u>	0	3	2	uniform	uniform	uniform
pes	0	3	3	non-uniform	uniform	uniform
류	1	4	2	uniform	uniform	non-uniform
zο	1	4	3	non-uniform	non-uniform	non-uniform

Comparing different approaches

Factors to consider

- Costs
- Biological/agronomic issues
- Risks
- Time to decision
-

Comparing different approaches

Factors to consider

- Costs
- Biological/agronomic issues
- Risks
- Time to decision
-

Risks

Risk of making the wrong decision on uniformity

Why?

 Looking at a sample of plants from a much larger population

Risks

Population standard 1%

Acceptance probability ≥ 95%

Sample size 100 plants

Maximum off-types 3 plants = 3%

Risks: type I and type II errors

<u>Type I error</u>: declare variety non-uniform when population is uniform

Type II error: declare variety uniform when population is non-uniform

Type I error

<u>Type I error</u>: declare variety non-uniform when population is uniform

Off-type tests set up to achieve a specified type I error

- Type I error = 1 acceptance probability
- 5% in example

Type II error

Type II error: declare variety uniform when population is non-uniform

Different test can then be compared through the type II errors

- Type II errors are calculated at different levels of off-types in population
- e.g. 2, 5 and 10 times the population standard

Type II errors in the Example

Population standard 1% Acceptance probability 95%

		Type II erro	ype II error Max off-type:		f-types
Approach	2%	5%	10%	Per cycle	Combined
1	98%	56%	3.5%	2	n/a
2	89%	33%	1.4%	2	3
3	86%	26%	0.8%	n/a	3

Approach 3 has the lowest type II errors

Pros and Cons of each approach

Efficiency:

- Approach 3 has lower type II errors than approaches 1 and 2 in this example
- Note can reduce type II errors for approaches 1 & 2 by using lower maximum number of off-types
- Conclusions may change if sample size is different (see TGP/8)

Costs/time:

- Approach 1 requires more testing for some varieties
- Approach 3 requires only one year of tests for varieties, with many off-types
- Approach 2 could also require only one year of tests for varieties with many off-types

Dealing with conflicting results

- Approach 1 allows for resolution of conflicting results between two cycles
- Note small differences are expected due to sampling

Simplicity:

Approach 3 is simpler than approach 1 and 2

Conclusions

- Proposed the addition of approach 3 to TGP/10 draft text
- Proposed change to title of approach 2
- · Extend example to illustrate year 3 for approach 1
- Recommend that guidance be included on factors that might affect choice of approach
 - Looked at risks for the example
- Consider adjusting approaches 1 & 2 to reduce type II errors
 - Reduce maximum number of off-types in each cycle in example

[End of Annex III and of document]