

TWO/35/8 ORIGINAL: English DATE: November1,2002 INTERNATIONALUNIONFORTHEPROTECTIONOFNEWVARIETIESOFPLANTS GENEVA

# TECHNICALWORKINGPA RTY FOR ORNAMENTALPLANTSAN DFORESTTREES

Thirty-FifthSession Quito,November18to22,2002

WORKINGPAPERONDRAFTTEST GUIDELINESFORSAIN TJOHN'SWORT (HYPERICUML.)

Document prepared by experts from the Netherlands

The attached document TG/HYPERI(proj.1) already incorporates the standard wording of document TGP/7.2, which was adopted by the Technic al Committee at its thirty -eighth session in April 2002, and includes some additional standard wording from document TGP/7.1 Draft 1, also agreed at that session.

[DocumentTG/HYPERI(proj.1)follows]



TG/HYPERI(proj.1) ORIGINAL: English DATE: November1,2002

## INTERNATIONALUNIONFORTHEPROTECTIONOFNEWVARIETIESOFPLANTS GENEVA

SAINTJOHN'SWORT

(*Hypericum*L.)

(Berryproducingspecies)

## GUIDELINES

## FORTHECONDUCTOFTESTS

## FORDISTINCTNESS, UNIFORMITYANDSTABILITY

AlternativeNames: \*

Latin	English	French	German	Spanish
HypericumL.	SaintJohn'sWort,	Millepertuis	Johanniskraut	Corazoncillo,
	RoseofSharon			HierbadeSanJuan

## ASSOCIATEDDOCUMENTS

These guidelines should be readin conjunction with document TG/1/3, "Gener al Introduction to the Examination of Distinctness, Uniformity and Stability and the Development of Harmonized Descriptions of New Varieties of Plants" (hereinafter referred to as the "General Introduction") and its associated "TGP" documents.

<sup>\*</sup> These names were correct at the time of the introduction of these Test Guidelines but may be r evised or updated. [Readers are advised to consult the UPOV Code, which can be found on the UPOV Website (www.upov.int), for the latestinformation.]

## TG/HYPERI(proj.1) SaintJohn'sWort,2002 -11-01 - 2 -

# TABLEOFC ONTENTS

# PAGE

1.	SUBJECTOFTHESE GUIDELINES	3
2.	MATERIALREQUIRED	3
3.	METHODOFEXAMINA TION	3
	3.1 DurationofTests	3
	3.2 TestingPlace	3
	3.3 ConditionsforConductingtheExamination	3
	3.4 TestDesign	4
	3.5 NumberofPlants/PartsofPlantstobeExamined	4
	3.6 AdditionalTests	4
4.	ASSESSMENTOFDIS TINCTNESS, UNIFORMIT YANDSTABILITY	4
	4.1 Distinctness	4
	4.1.1 GeneralRecommendations	4
	4.1.2 ConsistentDifferences	4
	4.1.3 ClearDifferences	5
	4.2 Uniformity	5
	4.3 Stability	5
5.	GROUPINGOFVARIE TIESANDORGANIZATIO NOFTHEGROWINGTR IAL	5
6.	INTRODUCTIONTOT HETABLEOFCHARACTE RISTICS	6
	6.1 CategoriesofCharacteristics	
	on europointerent des	6
	6.1.1 StandardTestGuidelinesCharacteristics	
		6
	6.1.1 StandardTestGuidelinesCharacteristics	6 6
	6.1.1 StandardTestGuidelinesCharacteristics	6 6 6
	<ul> <li>6.1.1 StandardTestGuidelinesCharacteristics</li> <li>6.1.2 AsteriskedCharacteristics</li> <li>6.2 StatesofExpressionandCorrespondingNotes</li> <li>6.3 TypesofExpression</li> </ul>	6 6 6 6
	<ul> <li>6.1.1 StandardTestGuidelinesCharacteristics</li> <li>6.1.2 AsteriskedCharacteristics</li> <li>6.2 StatesofExpressionandCorrespondingNotes</li> <li>6.3 TypesofExpression</li> </ul>	6 6 6 6
7.	<ul> <li>6.1.1 StandardTestGuidelinesCharacteristics</li> <li>6.1.2 AsteriskedCharacteristics</li> <li>6.2 StatesofExpressionandCorrespondingNotes</li> <li>6.3 TypesofExpression</li> <li>6.4 ExampleVarieties</li> </ul>	6 6 6 6 6
7. 8.	<ul> <li>6.1.1 StandardTestGuidelinesCharacteristics</li> <li>6.1.2 AsteriskedCharacteristics</li> <li>6.2 StatesofExpressionandCorrespondingNotes</li> <li>6.3 TypesofExpression</li> <li>6.4 ExampleVarieties</li> <li>6.5 Legend</li> </ul>	6 6 6 6 6 7

#### TG/HYPERI(proj.1) SaintJohn'sWort,2002 -11-01 - 3 -

## 1. <u>SubjectoftheseGuidelines</u>

These Test Guideline s apply to all varieties of *Hypericum hircinum* L., *H. androsaemum* L. and *H.xinodorum* Mill.,nonWilld.,ofthefamily *Clusiaceae*.

## 2. <u>MaterialRequired</u>

2.1 The competent authorities decide on the quantity and quality of the plant material required for test ing the variety and when and where it is to be delivered. Applicants submitting material from a State other than that in which the testing takes place must ensure that all customs formalities and phytosanitary requirements are complied with.

2.2 Themat erialistobesupplied in the form of young plants.

2.3 Theminimumquantityofplantmaterial,tobesuppliedbytheapplicant,shouldbe:

10plantsofnormalcommercialstandard.

2.4 The plant material supplied should be visibly healthy, not lacking in vigor, nor affected by any important pestor disease.

2.5 The plant material should not have undergone any treatment which would affect the expression of the characteristics of the variety, unless the competent authorities allow or requestsuchtreatm ent.Ifithasbeentreated,fulldetailsofthetreatmentmustbegiven.

## 3. <u>MethodofExamination</u>

## 3.1 DurationofTests

The minimum duration of tests should normally betwoindependent growing cycles.

## 3.2 TestingPlace

The tests should normally be conduc ted at one place. If any characteristics of the variety, which are relevant for the examination of DUS, cannot be seen at that place, the varietymaybetestedatanadditional place.

## 3.3 ConditionsforConductingtheExamination

3.3.1 The tests should be carried out under conditions ensuring satisfactory growth for the expression of the relevant characteristics of the variety and for the conduct of the examination. In particular, unless otherwise stated, all observations should be made on typicalorgans at the time when the berries are at the irfull colour (harvest time).

## TG/HYPERI(proj.1) SaintJohn'sWort,2002 -11-01

3.3.2 Thetestshouldbecarriedoutinthefieldunderthefollowinggrowingconditions:

-Plantingtime:	the second half of May (Northern Hemisphere)
-Soil:	well-drainedfertil esoil
-Plantingdistance:	4plantsperm <sup>2</sup>
-Irrigation:	accordingtoneed

3.3.3 Because daylight varies, color determinations made against a color chart should be madeeitherin a suitable cabinet providing artificial daylight or in the middle of the dayina room without direct sunlight. The spectral distribution of the illuminant for artificial daylight should conform with the CIE Standard of Preferred Daylight D 6500 and should fall within the tolerances set out in the British Standard 950, Part I. These determinations should be madewith the plant part placed against awhite back ground

## 3.4 TestDesign

3.4.1 The design of the tests should be such that plants or parts of plants may be removed for measurement or counting without prejudice to the observations which must be made up to the end of the growing cycle.

3.4.2 Eachtestshouldbedesignedtoresultinatotalof,atleast10plants.

## 3.5 Number of Plants/Parts of Plantstobe Examined

Unless otherwise indicated, all observations determined by measuring or counting shouldbemadeon10plantsorpartstakenfromeachof10plants.

## 3.6 AdditionalTests

Additional tests, for examining relevant characteristics, may be established.

## 4. <u>AssessmentofDistinctness,UniformityandStability</u>

## 4.1 Distinctness

## 4.1.1 GeneralRecommendations

It is of particular importance for users of these Test Guidelines to consult the General Introduction prior to making decisions regarding distinctness. However, the following points are provided for elaboration or emphasis in these Test Guidelines.

## 4.1.2 ConsistentDifferences

The minimum duration of tests recommended in section 3.1 reflects, in general, the needtoensure that any differences in a characteristic are sufficiently consistent.

#### TG/HYPERI(proj.1) SaintJohn'sWort,2002 -11-01 - 5 -

## 4.1.3 ClearDifferen ces

Determining whether a difference between two varieties is clear depends on many factors, and should consider, in particular, the type of expression of the characteristic being examined, i.e. whether it is expressed in a qualitative, quantitative, or ps eudo-qualitative manner. Therefore, it is important that users of these Test Guidelines are familiar with the recommendations contained in the General Introduction prior to making decisions regarding distinctness.

## 4.2 Uniformity

4.2.1 Itisofparticular importanceforusersoftheseTestGuidelinestoconsulttheGeneral Introduction prior to making decisions regarding uniformity. However, the following points are provided for elaboration or emphasis in these TestGuidelines:

4.2.2 The acceptable number of off -typestolerated in a sample size of 10 plants is 1 on the basis of a population standard of 1% and an acceptance probability of 95.

## 4.3 Stability

4.3.1 Inpractice, it is not usual to perform tests of stability that produce results as certain as those of the testing of distinctness and uniformity. However, experience has demonstrated that, for many types of variety, when a variety has been shown to be uniform, it can also be considered to be stable.

 $4.3.2 \quad Where appropriate, or in cases of doubt \qquad, stability may be tested, either by growing a further generation, or by testing a new seed or plant stock to ensure that it exhibits the same characteristics as those shown by the previous material supplied.$ 

## 5. <u>GroupingofVarietiesandOrganizationofthe</u> GrowingTrial

5.1 The selection of varieties of common knowledge to be grown in the trial with the candidate varieties and the way in which these varieties are divided into groups to facilitate theassessment of distinctness is aided by the use of group gcharacteristics.

5.2 Groupingcharacteristics are those in which the documented states of expression, even where produced at different locations, can be used, either individually or incombination with other such characteristics: (a) to select variet ies of common knowledge that can be excluded from the growing trial used for examination of distinctness; and (b) to organize the growing trials othat similar varieties are grouped together.

5.3 Thefollowinghavebeenagreedasusefulgroupingcharacte ristics:

- (a) Plant:habit(characteristic1)
- (b) Berry:shape(characteristic31)
- (c) Berry:maincolor(characteristic35)

#### TG/HYPERI(proj.1) SaintJohn'sWort,2002 -11-01 - 6 -

5.4 Guidance for the use of grouping characteristics, in the process of examining distinctness, is provided through the Gener all ntroduction.

## 6. <u>IntroductiontotheTableofCharacteristics</u>

## 6.1 Categories of Characteristics

## 6.1.1 StandardTestGuidelinesCharacteristics

Standard Test Guidelines characteristics are those which are approved by UPOV for examination of DUS and from which members of the Union can select those suitable for their particular circumstances.

## 6.1.2 AsteriskedCharacteristics

Asterisked characteristics (denoted by \*) are those included in the Test Guidelines which are important for the international harmon ization of variety descriptions and should always be examined for DUS and included in the variety description by all members of the Union, except when the state of expression of a preceding characteristic or regional environmental conditions render this in appropriate.

## 6.2 StatesofExpressionandCorrespondingNotes

States of expression are given for each characteristic to define the characteristic and to harmonized escriptions. Each state of expression is allocated a corresponding numerical note for ease of recording of data and for the production and exchange of the description.

## 6.3 TypesofExpression

 $\label{eq:andrew} An explanation of the types of expression of characteristics (qualitative, quantitative and pseudo-qualitative) is provided in the General Introduction \qquad .$ 

## 6.4 ExampleVarieties

Where appropriate, example varieties are provided to clarify the states of expression of each characteristic.

## 6.5 Legend

- (\*) Asteriskedcharacteristic –seeSection6.1.2
- (+) SeeExplanationsontheTableofCharacteristicsinCh apter8.
- (QL) Qualitativecharacteristic -seeSection6.3
- (QN) Quantitativecharacteristic -seeSection6.3
- (PQ) Pseudo-Qualitativecharacteristic -seeSection6.3

# TG/HYPERI(proj.1) SaintJohn'sWort,2002 -11-01 - 7 -

# TableofCharacteristics/Tableaudescaractères/Merkmalstabelle/Tabladecaracteres

	English	français	deutsch	español	ExampleVarieties Exemples Beispielssorten Variedadesejemplo	Note Nota
1. (*)	Plant:habit					
	erect					1
	moderatlyspreading					2
	stronglyspreading					3
2. (*)	Plant:height					
	low					3
	medium					5
	high					7
<b>3.</b> (*)	Plant:width					
	narrow					3
	medium					5
	broad					7
<b>4.</b> (*)	Plant:branchesof thisyear:reddishor brownishcoloration	1				
	absent					1
	present					2
5. (*)	Plant:branchesof thisyear:reddishor brownishcolo ratio intensityofcolor	n:				
	weak					3
	medium					5
	strong					7

7.

## TG/HYPERI(proj.1) SaintJohn'sWort,2002 -11-01 - 8 -

	English	français	deutsch	español	ExampleVarieties Exemples Beispielssorten Variedadesejemplo	Note/ Nota
6. (*)	Leaf:length					
	short					3
	medium					5
	long					7
7. (*)	Leaf:width					
	narrow					3
	medium					5
	broad					7
<b>8.</b> (*)	Leaf:greencolo	or				
	light					3
	medium					5
	dark					7
<b>9.</b> (*)	Leaf:reddisho brownishcolor					
	absent					1
	present					2
10. (*)	Leaf:reddishor brownishcolor intensityofcolo	ation:				
	weak					3
	medium					5
	strong					7
11.	Leaf:surface					
	convex					1
	flat					2

## TG/HYPERI(proj.1) SaintJohn'sWort,2002 -11-01 - 9 -

	English	français	deutsch	español	ExampleVarieties Exemples Beispielssorten Variedadesejemplo	Note/ Nota
12. (*)	Leaf:anglewith branches					
	sharp					1
	rightangleornearly rightangle					2
13.	Leaf:longitudinal axis					
	straightornearlyso					1
	recurved					2
14. (*)	Leaf:shapeoftop					
	acute					1
	round					2
15.	Leaf:smell					
	absent					1
	present					2
<b>16.</b> (*)	Inflorescence: densityofflowers					
	loose					3
	medium					5
	dense					7
17. (*)	Inflorescence:leng	gth				
	short					3
	medium					5
	long					7
18. (*)	Inflorescence:wid	th				
	narrow					3
	medium					5
	broad					7

## TG/HYPERI(proj.1) SaintJohn'sWort,2002 -11-01 - 10 -

	English	français	deutsch	español	ExampleVarieties Exemples Beispielssorten Variedadesejemplo	Note, Nota
19. (*)	Flower:size					
	small					3
	medium					5
	large					7
20.	Sepals:lengthof largestone					
	short					3
	medium					5
	long					7
21.	Sepals:widthof largestone					
	narrow					3
	medium					5
	broad					7
22. (*)	Sepals:reddishor brownishcolor					
	absent					1
	present					9
23.	Sepals:reddishor brownishcolor: intensityofcolor					
	weak					3
	medium					5
	strong					7
24.	Sepals:positionto ovary					
	horizontal					1
	recurved					2
	stronglyrecurved					3

## TG/HYPERI(proj.1) SaintJohn'sWort,2002 -11-01 - 11 -

	English	français	deutsch	español	ExampleVarieties Exemples Beispielssorten Variedadesejemplo	Note/ Nota
25. (*)	Corolla:size					
	small					3
	medium					5
	large					7
26. (*)	Corolla:color					
	RHSColourChart (indicatereference number)					
27. (*)	Anthers:color					
	yellow					1
	orange					2
28.	Ovary:style:length					
	short					3
	medium					5
	long					7
29.	Ovary:stigma:red color					
	absent					1
	present					2
<b>30.</b> (*)	Berry:size					
	small					3
	medium					5
	large					7

## TG/HYPERI(proj.1) SaintJohn'sWort,2002 -11-01 - 12 -

	English	français	deutsch	español	ExampleVarieties Exemples Beispielssorten Variedadesejemplo	Note/ Nota
<b>31.</b> (*)	Berry:shape					
	narrow-elliptic					1
	elliptic					2
	broad-elliptic					3
	round					4
	narrow-ovate					5
	ovate					6
	broad-ovate					7
<b>32.</b> (*)	Berry:crosssection					
	round					1
	triangular					2
	present					3
<b>33.</b> (*)	Berry:indentation oftop					
	absent					1
	present					9
<b>34.</b> (*)	Berry:texture(top excluded)					
	smooth					1
	grooved					2
	indented					3

## TG/HYPERI(proj.1) SaintJohn'sWort,2002 -11-01 - 13-

	English	français	deutsch	español	ExampleVarieties Exemples Beispielssorten Variedadesejemplo	Note Nota
35. (*)	Berry:maincolor					
	white					1
	green					2
	yellow					3
	orange-pink					4
	lightpink					5
	pink					6
	darkpink					7
	red-pink					8
	orange-red					9
	lightred					10
	red					11
	darkred					12
	red-purple					13
	red-brown					14
	purple-brown					15
	brown					16
	grey-brown					17
36.	Berry:glossiness					
	weak					1
	medium					2
	strong					3

#### TG/HYPERI(proj.1) SaintJohn'sWort,2002 -11-01 - 14 -

## 8. <u>Literature</u>

H.J. van Laar. Hypericum - Hertshooi, het in Nederland gekweekte sortiment, Dendroflora Nr 33, 1996 pag. 27 - 56. Printed by: Koninklijke Vereniging voor Boskoopse Culturen & NederlandseDendrologischeVereniging.ISSN:0374 -7247

## TG/HYPERI(proj.1) SaintJohn'sWort,2002 -11-01 - 15 -

9. <u>TechnicalQuestionnaire</u>

TEC	HNICALQUESTIONNAIRI	Ξ	Page{x}of{y}	ReferenceNumber:			
				Applicationdate: (nottobefilledinbytheapplicant)			
TECHNICALQUESTIONNAIRE tobecompleted inconnection with an application for plantbreeders' rights							
1.	SubjectoftheTechnicalQues	tior	nnaire				
	1.1 LatinName	Hy	pericum L.				
	1.2 CommonName	SA	INTJOHN'SWORT				
1.2	Species(pleasecomplete)						
	1.2.1 LatinName						
	1.2.2 CommonName						
2.	Applicant						
	Name						
	Address						
	TelephoneNo.						
	FaxNo.						
	E-mailaddress						
	Breeder(ifdifferentfromapp	lica	nt)				

#### TG/HYPERI(proj.1) SaintJohn'sWort,2002 -11-01 - 16 -

TECH	INI		JESTIONNAIRE	Page{x}of{y}	ReferenceNumber:				
TLCI					Kererencervuniber.				
3. Proposeddenominationandbreeder'sreference									
Proposeddenomination (ifavailable)									
	Bre	eder'sro	eference						
4. Informationont hebreedingschemeandpropagationofthevariety									
2	4.1	Breed	ingScheme						
		4.1.1	Varietyresultingfro	om:					
			(a) controlledcro (pleasestatep	oss arentvarieties)	[]				
			(b) partiallyunkr (pleasestatek	nowncross nownparentvariety(ies	[]				
			(c) totallyunk no	owncross	[]				
		4.1.2	Mutation (pleasestateparenty	variety)	[]				
		4.1.3	Discovery (pleasestatewhere,	whenandhowdeveloped	[] ł)				
		4.1.4	Other [] (pleaseprovidedetails)						
2	4.2	Metho	odofPropagatingtheV	<sup>7</sup> ariety					
		(a)	cuttings		[]				
		(b)	invitro propagatio	n	[]				
		(c)	other(pleaseprovid	edetails)	[]				

## TG/HYPERI(proj.1) SaintJohn'sWort,2002 -11-01

1	7	-	

TECH	NICALQUESTIONNAIRE	Page{x}of{y}	ReferenceNumber:			
5. Characteristics of the variety to be indicated (the number in brackets refers to the correspondingcharacteristicinTestGuidelines;pleasemarkthenotewhichbestcorresponds).						
	Characteristics		ExampleVarieties	Note		
5.1 (1)	Plant:habit					
	erect			1[]		
	moderatlyspreading			2[]		
	stronglyspreading			3[]		
5.2 (31)	Berry:shape					
	narrow-elliptic			1[]		
	elliptic			2[]		
	broad-elliptic			3[]		
	round			4[]		
	narrow-ovate			5[]		
	ovate			6[]		
	broad-ovate			7[]		

# TG/HYPERI(proj.1) SaintJohn'sWort,2002 -11-01 - 18 -

	Characteristics	ExampleVarieties	Note		
5.3 (35)	Berry:maincolor				
	white		1[]		
	green		2[]		
	yellow		3[]		
	orange-pink		4[]		
	lightpink		5[]		
	pink		6[]		
	darkpink		7[]		
	red-pink		8[]		
	orange-red		9[]		
	lightred		10[]		
	red		11[]		
	darkred		12[]		
	red-purple		13[]		
	red-brown		14[]		
	purple-brown		15[]		
	brown		16[]		
	grey-brown		17[]		
6. Similarvarieties and differences from these varieties					

Denomination(s)of variety(ies)similarto yourcandidatevariety	Characteristic(s)in whichyourcandidate varietydiffersfrom thesimilarvariety(ies)	Describetheexpression ofthecharacteristic(s) forthesimilar variety(ies)	Describetheexpression of the characteristic(s) for your candidate variety
(Example)	Plant:height	e.g. note3	note7
		e.g. short	tall
		e.g. 90cm	130cm

## TG/HYPERI(proj.1) SaintJohn'sWort,2002 -11-01 - 19 -

7.	Additionalinformationwhichmayhelpintheexaminationofthevariety					
7.1	In addition to the information provided in sections 5 and 6, are there any additional characteristicswhichmayhelptodistinguishthevariety?					
	Yes	[]	No []			
	(Ifyes,p	leaseprovidedetai	ls)			
7.2	Special	conditionsforthee	examinationoftheva	ariety		
	7.2.1 Are there any special conditions for growing the variety or conducting the examination?					
		Yes []	No	[]		
	7.2.2	Ifyes,pleasegive	edetails:			
7.3	Otherin	formation				
8.	Authori	zationforrelease				
	(a) Does the variety require prior authorization for release unde rlegislation concerning the protection of the environment, human and an imal health?					
	Y	es []	No	[]		
	(b) H	assuchauthorizat	ionbeenobtained?			
	Y	es []	No	[]		
	If the answer to (b) is yes, please attach a copy of the authorization.					
9. iscor	9. Iherebydeclarethat,tothebestofmyknowledge,theinformationprovidedinthisform iscorrect:					
	Applica	nt'sname				
	Signatu	re			Date	

[Endofdocument]