

Technical Working Party on Testing Methods and Techniques**TWM/4/4****Fourth Session****Cambridge, United Kingdom, June 2 to 5, 2026****Original:** English**Date:** May 12, 2026

REVISION SUGGESTIONS ON DOCUMENT TGP/8*Document prepared by an expert from China**Disclaimer: this document does not represent UPOV policies or guidance*

The annex to this document contains a presentation “Revision suggestions on document TGP/8”, to be made by an expert from China, at the fourth session of the TWM.

[Annex follows]



中国农业科学院蔬菜花卉研究所
Institute of Vegetables and Flowers, Chinese Academy of Agricultural Sciences



REVISION SUGGESTIONS ON DOCUMENT TGP/8
“TRIAL DESIGN AND TECHNIQUES USED IN THE EXAMINATION OF DISTINCTNESS,
UNIFORMITY AND STABILITY”

Yang Kun
Deputy Director of Beijing Sub-center of New Plant Variety Tests, Ministry of Agriculture
and Rural Affairs, China
TWM4, Cambridge, Jun 2 to 5, 2026

1

CONTENTS

1. Role of TGP/8
2. Why revise?
3. How to revise?

2

1. ROLE OF DOCUMENT TGP/8:

Trial Design and Techniques Used in the Examination of Distinctness, Uniformity and Stability

Document reference	Issue	Title	Issue date
TGP0	(17)	List of TGP Documents and Latest Issue Dates	October 24, 2025
TGP1		General Introduction with Endorsements	not yet issued
TGP2	(2)	List of Test Guidelines Adopted by UPOV	October 16, 2014
TGP3		Varieties of Common Knowledge	not yet issued
TGP4	(1)	Constitution and Maintenance of Variety Collections	April 11, 2008
TGP5		Experience and Cooperation in DUS Testing	October 30, 2006
Introduction		Introduction	
Section 1	(3)	Model Administrative Agreement for International Cooperation in the Testing of Varieties	November 2, 2018
Section 2	(4)	UPOV Model Form for the Application for Plant Breeders' Rights	September 21, 2021
Section 3	(2)	Technical Questionnaire to be Completed in Connection with an Application for Plant Breeders' Rights	October 20, 2015
Section 4	(2)	UPOV Model Form for the Designation of the Sample of the Variety	October 30, 2008
Section 5	(2)	UPOV Request for Examination Results and UPOV Answer to the Request for Examination Results	October 30, 2008
Section 6	(5)	UPOV Report on Technical Examination and UPOV Variety Declaration	October 24, 2025
Section 7	(2)	UPOV Interim Report on Technical Examination	October 30, 2008
Section 8	(2)	Cooperation in Examination	October 20, 2015
Section 9	(2)	List of Species in Which Practical Knowledge Has Been Acquired or for Which National Test Guidelines Have Been Established	October 20, 2015
Section 10	(3)	Notification of Additional Characteristics	October 16, 2014
Section 11	(1)	Examples of Policies and Contracts for Material Submitted by the Breeder	October 30, 2008
TGP6	(1)	Arrangements for DUS Testing	
Section 1	(1)	Introduction	April 6, 2005
Section 2	(1)	Examples of Arrangements for DUS Testing	April 6, 2005
Section 3	(1)	Declaration on the Conditions for the Examination of a Variety Based on Trials Carried Out by or on behalf of the Breeder	April 6, 2005
TGP7	(11)	Development of Test Guidelines	October 24, 2025
TGP8	(5)	Trial Design and Techniques Used in the Examination of Distinctness, Uniformity and Stability	October 28, 2022
TGP9	(2)	Examining Distinctness	October 20, 2015
TGP10	(2)	Examining Uniformity	November 1, 2019
TGP11	(1)	Examining Stability	October 20, 2011
TGP12	(5)	Guidance on Certain Physiological Characteristics	October 20, 2024
TGP13	(1)	Guidance for New Types and Species	October 22, 2009
TGP14	(6)	Glossary of Terms Used in UPOV Documents	October 27, 2023
TGP15	(3)	Guidance on the Use of Biochemical and Molecular Markers in the Examination of Distinctness, Uniformity and Stability (DUS)	October 26, 2020

PART I: DUS TRIAL DESIGN AND DATA ANALYSIS

1. DUS TRIAL DESIGN
2. DATA TO BE RECORDED
3. MINIMIZING THE VARIATION DUE TO DIFFERENT OBSERVERS OF THE SAME TRIAL
4. VALIDATION OF DATA AND ASSUMPTIONS
5. CHOICE OF STATISTICAL METHODS FOR EXAMINING DISTINCTNESS
6. CYCLIC PLANTING OF VARIETIES FROM THE VARIETY COLLECTION TO REDUCE TRIAL SIZE


PART II: SELECTED TECHNIQUES USED IN DUS EXAMINATION

1. THE GAIA METHODOLOGY
2. PARENT FORMULA OF HYBRID VARIETIES
3. THE COMBINED OVER-YEARS CRITERIA FOR DISTINCTNESS (COYD)
4. 2X1% METHOD
5. PEARSON'S CHI-SQUARE TEST APPLIED TO CONTINGENCY TABLES
6. FISHER'S EXACT TEST
7. MATCH APPROACH
8. THE METHOD OF UNIFORMITY ASSESSMENT ON THE BASIS OF OFF-TYPES
9. THE COMBINED-OVER-YEARS UNIFORMITY CRITERION (COYU)
10. UNIFORMITY ASSESSMENT ON THE BASIS OF THE RELATIVE VARIANCE METHOD
11. EXAMINING CHARACTERISTICS USING IMAGE ANALYSIS
12. EXAMINING CHARACTERISTICS ON THE BASIS OF BULK SAMPLES

3

1. ROLE OF DOCUMENT TGP/8


From theory to techniques



4

1. ROLE OF DOCUMENT TGP/8

From elements to synthesis



5

1. ROLE OF TGP/8

TGP/8:

- Trial design techniques
- Data acquisition techniques
- Data analysis techniques
- Image analysis techniques
- Quality control and traceability techniques
- Automation techniques

6

2. WHY REVISE?

- Duplicate Content
- Unbalanced structure
- Incomplete process

7

2. WHY REVISE?

- Duplicate Content

2. DATA TO BE RECORDED

2.1 Introduction

Document TGP9 Examining Distinctness, sections 4.4 and 4.5, provide the following guidance on the type of observation for distinctness in respect of the type of characteristic and the method of propagation of the variety.

4.4 Recommendations in the UPOV Test Guidelines

The indications used in UPOV Test Guidelines for the method of observation and the type of record for the examination of distinctness, are as follows:

Method of observation

16. To be measured (an objective observation against a calibrated, linear scale e.g. using a ruler, weighing scales, colorimeter, densitometer, etc.)
17. To be observed visually (subjective observations where the expert uses reference points (e.g. diagrams, example varieties, side-by-side comparison) or non-linear charts (e.g. color charts). "Visual" observation refers to the sensory observations of the expert and, therefore, also includes smell, taste and touch.

Type of records

12. single record for a variety, or a group of plants or parts of plants;
13. records for a number of single, individual plants or parts of plants.
For the purposes of distinctness, observations may be recorded as a single record for a group of plants or parts of plants (12), or may be recorded as records for a number of single, individual plants or parts of plants (13). In most cases, "12" provides a single record per variety and it is not possible or necessary to apply statistical methods, or a data-by-plant analysis for the assessment of distinctness.

4.5 Summary

The following table summarizes the common method of observation and type of record for the assessment of distinctness, although there may be exceptions:

Method of propagation of the variety	Type of expression of characteristic		
	OL	PO	ON
Reproduction/propagated	VO	VO	VGA(G)AS
Self-pollinated	VO	VO	VGA(G)AS
Cross-pollinated	VGA(S) ¹	VGA(S) ¹	VGA(S)AS
Hybrid	VGA(S) ¹	VGA(S) ¹	--

1. Results of individual observations may be recorded as to be recorded, to be combined according to the type of hybrid.

TGP/95 PART II: 1. THE GAIA METHODOLOGY page 58

Shape of ear:
1 = conical
2 = conico-cylindrical
3 = cylindrical

Comparison between difference in notes and weighting		Colours in notes	Weighting
conical (1) vs. conical (1)		0	0
conical (1) vs. conico-cylindrical (2)		1	2
conical (1) vs. cylindrical (3)		2	6
conico-cylindrical (2) vs. conico-cylindrical (2)		0	0
conico-cylindrical (2) vs. cylindrical (3)		1	2
cylindrical (3) vs. cylindrical (3)		0	0

When the crop expert compares a variety 'i' with conical ear (note 1) to a variety 'j' with cylindrical ear (note 3), he attributes a weighting of 6 etc. The weightings are summarized in the form of a weighting matrix.

		Variety j		
		1	2	3
Variety i	1	1	2	3
	2	1	0	2
	3	2	0	2

When the crop expert compares a variety 'i' with conical ear (note 1) to a variety 'j' with cylindrical ear (note 3), he attributes a weighting of 6.

1.3.5.2 Analysis of notes

1.3.5.2.1 In qualitative analysis notes (1 to 9) are used. Notes can come from qualitative, quantitative and pseudo-quantitative characteristics.

1.3.5.2.2 For each characteristic, weightings according to differences between levels of expression are pre-defined in a matrix of distances.

1.3.5.2.3 "Shape of ear", observed on a 1 to 3 scale, the crop expert has attributed weightings greater than zero to differences which they consider significant:

1 = conical
2 = conico-cylindrical
3 = cylindrical

		Variety j		
		1	2	3
Variety i	1	1	2	3
	2	1	0	2
	3	2	0	2

1.3.5.2.4 When the crop expert compares a variety 'i' with conical ear (note 1) to a variety 'j' with cylindrical ear (note 3), they attribute a weighting of 6.

A lot of copies from other TGP documents

Same contents in 1.3.1 Weighting of characteristics, 1.3.2 Example of use, 1.3.5 Example of Zea mays data

8

2. WHY REVISE?

- Unbalanced structure

PART I: DUS TRIAL DESIGN AND DATA ANALYSIS

1. DUS TRIAL DESIGN
2. DATA TO BE RECORDED
3. MINIMIZING THE VARIATION DUE TO DIFFERENT OBSERVERS OF THE SAME TRIAL
4. VALIDATION OF DATA AND ASSUMPTIONS
5. CHOICE OF STATISTICAL METHODS FOR EXAMINING DISTINCTNESS
6. CYCLIC PLANTING OF VARIETIES FROM THE VARIETY COLLECTION TO REDUCE TRIAL SIZE

PART II: SELECTED TECHNIQUES USED IN DUS EXAMINATION

1. THE GAIA METHODOLOGY
2. PARENT FORMULA OF HYBRID VARIETIES
3. THE COMBINED OVER-YEARS CRITERIA FOR DISTINCTNESS (COYD)
4. 2X1% METHOD
5. PEARSON'S CHI-SQUARE TEST APPLIED TO CONTINGENCY TABLES
6. FISHER'S EXACT TEST
7. MATCH APPROACH
8. THE METHOD OF UNIFORMITY ASSESSMENT ON THE BASIS OF OFF-TYPES
9. THE COMBINED-OVER-YEARS UNIFORMITY CRITERION (COYU)
10. UNIFORMITY ASSESSMENT ON THE BASIS OF THE RELATIVE VARIANCE METHOD
11. EXAMINING CHARACTERISTICS USING IMAGE ANALYSIS
12. EXAMINING CHARACTERISTICS ON THE BASIS OF BULK SAMPLES

Too much introduction in GAIA, off-types, COYD, and COYU. But weak in other contents.

9

2. WHY REVISE?

- Incomplete process

- ① Converting measured Data to notes should be placed before validation of data.
- ② An independent chapter of selection of similar varieties is needed.
- ③ Trial design chapter lacks optimal sample size calculation methods.

10

3. HOW TO REVISE

- ▣ Based on the principles of genetics
- ▣ Based on the principles of statistics
- ▣ Focus on trial design, data acquisition, process and analysis techniques
- ▣ Necessary quality control measures

11

3. HOW TO REVISE

Part 1 Principles of genetics

1. Genotype and phenotype
2. Law of segregation
3. Law of independent assortment
4. Law of linkage and crossing over
5. The impact of self-pollination on population structure
6. The impact of backcrossing on population structure

12

3. HOW TO REVISE

Part 2 Principles of statistics

1. Repetition, randomization and local control
2. Probability and Distribution
3. Hypothesis testing and confidence interval
4. Analysis of Variance
5. Analysis of Differences significance
6. Correlation and Clustering analysis

13

3. HOW TO REVISE

Part 3 Data acquisition technology

1. Morphological data
2. Molecular data
3. Environmental parameters affecting expression of characteristics
4. Validation of data

14

3. HOW TO REVISE

Part 4 Assessment of Distinctness, Uniformity and Stability

1. Assessment of Trial and Characteristics Quality
2. Paternity Test
3. Assessment of Uniformity
4. Assessment of Distinctness

15



中国农业科学院蔬菜花卉研究所
Institute of Vegetables and Flowers, Chinese Academy of Agricultural Sciences



**THANK YOU
FOR YOUR ATTENTION!**

Yang Kun
yangkun@caas.cn
+86-10-8210 5623
+86-(0)1391 1259 308

16