

TG/ARGAN(proj.3)
ORIGINAL: English
DATE: 2018-10-05

INTERNATIONAL UNION FOR THE PROTECTION OF NEW VARIETIES OF PLANTS

Geneva

DRAFT

ARGANIA

UPOV Code(s): ARGAN_SPI

Argania spinosa (L.) Skeels

GUIDELINES

FOR THE CONDUCT OF TESTS

FOR DISTINCTNESS, UNIFORMITY AND STABILITY

prepared by experts from Morocco to be considered by the Technical Working Party for Fruit Crops at its forty-ninth session, to be held in Santiago de Chile, Chile, from 2018-11-19 to 2018-11-23

Disclaimer: this document does not represent UPOV policies or guidance

Alternative names:*

Botanical name	English	French	German	Spanish	
<i>Argania spinosa</i> Skeels	(L.)				

The purpose of these guidelines ("Test Guidelines") is to elaborate the principles contained in the General Introduction (document TG/1/3), and its associated TGP documents, into detailed practical guidance for the harmonized examination of distinctness, uniformity and stability (DUS) and, in particular, to identify appropriate characteristics for the examination of DUS and production of harmonized variety descriptions.

ASSOCIATED DOCUMENTS

These Test Guidelines should be read in conjunction with the General Introduction and its associated TGP documents.

These names were correct at the time of the introduction of these Test Guidelines but may be revised or updated. [Readers are advised to consult the UPOV Code, which can be found on the UPOV Website (www.upov.int), for the latest information.]

TΑ	BLE O	F CONTENTS	PAGE
1.	SUBJE	ECT OF THESE TEST GUIDELINES	<u>3</u>
2.	MATER	RIAL REQUIRED	<u>3</u>
3.	METHO	OD OF EXAMINATION	<u>4</u>
	3.1 3.2 3.3 3.4 3.5	Number of Growing Cycles Testing Place Conditions for Conducting the Examination Test Design Additional Tests	4 4 4
4.	ASSES	SSMENT OF DISTINCTNESS, UNIFORMITY AND STABILITY	<u>-</u> <u>5</u>
	4.1 4.2 4.3	Distinctness	<u>6</u>
5.	GROU	PING OF VARIETIES AND ORGANIZATION OF THE GROWING TRIAL	<u>7</u>
6.	INTRO	DUCTION TO THE TABLE OF CHARACTERISTICS	<u>8</u>
	6.1 6.2 6.3 6.4 6.5	Categories of Characteristics States of Expression and Corresponding Notes Types of Expression Example Varieties. Legend	<u>8</u> <u>8</u>
7.		OF CHARACTERISTICS/TABLEAU DES CARACTÈRES/MERKMALSTABELLE/TABLA DE CTERES	<u>10</u>
8.	EXPLA	NATIONS ON THE TABLE OF CHARACTERISTICS	<u>10</u>
	8.1	Explanations for individual characteristics	<u>20</u>
9.	LITER/	ATURE	<u>21</u>
10.	. TECHI	NICAL QUESTIONNAIRE	<u>22</u>

1. Subject of these Test Guidelines

These Test Guidelines apply to all varieties of Argania spinosa (L.) Skeels .

Material Required

- 2.1 The competent authorities decide on the quantity and quality of the plant material required for testing the variety and when and where it is to be delivered. Applicants submitting material from a State other than that in which the testing takes place must ensure that all customs formalities and phytosanitary requirements are complied with.
- 2.2 The material is to be supplied in the form of bud sticks or trees.
- 2.3 The minimum quantity of plant material, to be supplied by the applicant, should be:

8 one-year-old grafted trees or 10 bud sticks.

- 2.4 The plant material supplied should be visibly healthy, not lacking in vigor, nor affected by any important pest or disease.
- 2.5 The plant material should not have undergone any treatment which would affect the expression of the characteristics of the variety, unless the competent authorities allow or request such treatment. If it has been treated, full details of the treatment must be given.

3. Method of Examination

- 3.1 Number of Growing Cycles
- 3.1.1 The minimum duration of tests should normally be two independent growing cycles.
- 3.1.2 The two independent growing cycles may be observed from a single planting, examined in two separate growing cycles.
- 3.1.3 The growing cycle is considered to be the period ranging from the beginning of active vegetative growth or flowering, continuing through active vegetative growth or flowering and fruit development and concluding with the harvesting fruit.
- 3.1.4 In particular, it is essential that the trees produce a satisfactory crop of fruit in each of the two growing cycles.

3.2 Testing Place

Tests are normally conducted at one place. In the case of tests conducted at more than one place, guidance is provided in TGP/9 "Examining Distinctness".

- 3.3 Conditions for Conducting the Examination
- 3.3.1 The tests should be carried out under conditions ensuring satisfactory growth for the expression of the relevant characteristics of the variety and for the conduct of the examination.
- 3.3.2 The optimum stage of development for the assessment of each characteristic is indicated by a number in the Table of Characteristics. The stages of development denoted by each number are described in Chapter 8.
- 3.4 Test Design
- 3.4.1 Each test should be designed to result in a total of at least 8 trees.
- 3.4.2 The design of the tests should be such that plants or parts of plants may be removed for measurement or counting without prejudice to the observations which must be made up to the end of the growing cycle.

3.5 Additional Tests

Additional tests, for examining relevant characteristics, may be established.

4. Assessment of Distinctness, Uniformity and Stability

4.1 Distinctness

4.1.1 General Recommendations

It is of particular importance for users of these Test Guidelines to consult the General Introduction prior to making decisions regarding distinctness. However, the following points are provided for elaboration or emphasis in these Test Guidelines.

4.1.2 Consistent Differences

The differences observed between varieties may be so clear that more than one growing cycle is not necessary. In addition, in some circumstances, the influence of the environment is not such that more than a single growing cycle is required to provide assurance that the differences observed between varieties are sufficiently consistent. One means of ensuring that a difference in a characteristic, observed in a growing trial, is sufficiently consistent is to examine the characteristic in at least two independent growing cycles.

4.1.3 Clear Differences

Determining whether a difference between two varieties is clear depends on many factors, and should consider, in particular, the type of expression of the characteristic being examined, i.e. whether it is expressed in a qualitative, quantitative, or pseudo-qualitative manner. Therefore, it is important that users of these Test Guidelines are familiar with the recommendations contained in the General Introduction prior to making decisions regarding distinctness.

4.1.4 Number of Plants or Parts of Plants to be Examined

Unless otherwise indicated, for the purposes of distinctness, all observations on single plants should be made on 8 plants or parts of plants taken from each of 8 plants and any other observations made on all plants in the test, disregarding any off-type plants.

4.1.5 Method of Observation

The recommended method of observing the characteristic for the purposes of distinctness is indicated by the following key in the Table of Characteristics (see document TGP/9 "Examining Distinctness", Section 4 "Observation of characteristics"):

MG: single measurement of a group of plants or parts of plants

MS: measurement of a number of individual plants or parts of plants

VG: visual assessment by a single observation of a group of plants or parts of plants

VS: visual assessment by observation of individual plants or parts of plants

Type of observation: visual (V) or measurement (M)

"Visual" observation (V) is an observation made on the basis of the expert's judgment. For the purposes of this document, "visual" observation refers to the sensory observations of the experts and, therefore, also includes smell, taste and touch. Visual observation includes observations where the expert uses reference points (e.g. diagrams, example varieties, side-by-side comparison) or nonlinear charts (e.g. color charts). Measurement (M) is an objective observation against a calibrated, linear scale e.g. using a ruler, weighing scales, colorimeter, dates, counts, etc.

Type of record: for a group of plants (G) or for single, individual plants (S)

For the purposes of distinctness, observations may be recorded as a single record for a group of plants or parts of plants (G), or may be recorded as records for a number of single, individual plants or parts of plants (S). In most cases, "G" provides a single record per variety and it is not possible or necessary to apply statistical methods in a plant-by-plant analysis for the assessment of distinctness.

In cases where more than one method of observing the characteristic is indicated in the Table of Characteristics (e.g. VG/MG), guidance on selecting an appropriate method is provided in document TGP/9, Section 4.2.

4.2 Uniformity

- 4.2.1 It is of particular importance for users of these Test Guidelines to consult the General Introduction prior to making decisions regarding uniformity. However, the following points are provided for elaboration or emphasis in these Test Guidelines:
- 4.2.2 For the assassment of uniformity of vegetatively propagated varieties, a population standard of 1% and an acceptance probability of at least 95% should be applied. In the case of a sample size of 8 plants, no off-types are allowed.
- 4.3 Stability
- 4.3.1 In practice, it is not usual to perform tests of stability that produce results as certain as those of the testing of distinctness and uniformity. However, experience has demonstrated that, for many types of variety, when a variety has been shown to be uniform, it can also be considered to be stable.
- 4.3.2 Where appropriate, or in cases of doubt, stability may be further examined by testing a new seed or plant stock to ensure that it exhibits the same characteristics as those shown by the initial material supplied.
- 5. Grouping of Varieties and Organization of the Growing Trial
- 5.1 The selection of varieties of common knowledge to be grown in the trial with the candidate varieties and the way in which these varieties are divided into groups to facilitate the assessment of distinctness are aided by the use of grouping characteristics.
- 5.2 Grouping characteristics are those in which the documented states of expression, even where produced at different locations, can be used, either individually or in combination with other such characteristics: (a) to select varieties of common knowledge that can be excluded from the growing trial used for examination of distinctness; and (b) to organize the growing trial so that similar varieties are grouped together.
- 5.3 The following have been agreed as useful grouping characteristics:
- 5.4 Guidance for the use of grouping characteristics, in the process of examining distinctness, is provided through the General Introduction and document TGP/9 "Examining Distinctness".

6. <u>Introduction to the Table of Characteristics</u>

6.1 Categories of Characteristics

6.1.1 Standard Test Guidelines Characteristics

Standard Test Guidelines characteristics are those which are approved by UPOV for examination of DUS and from which members of the Union can select those suitable for their particular circumstances.

6.1.2 Asterisked Characteristics

Asterisked characteristics (denoted by *) are those included in the Test Guidelines which are important for the international harmonization of variety descriptions and should always be examined for DUS and included in the variety description by all members of the Union, except when the state of expression of a preceding characteristic or regional environmental conditions render this inappropriate.

- 6.2 States of Expression and Corresponding Notes
- 6.2.1 States of expression are given for each characteristic to define the characteristic and to harmonize descriptions. Each state of expression is allocated a corresponding numerical note for ease of recording of data and for the production and exchange of the description.
- 6.2.2 In the case of qualitative and pseudo-qualitative characteristics (see Chapter 6.3), all relevant states of expression are presented in the characteristic. However, in the case of quantitative characteristics with 5 or more states, an abbreviated scale may be used to minimize the size of the Table of Characteristics. For example, in the case of a quantitative characteristic with 9 states, the presentation of states of expression in the Test Guidelines may be abbreviated as follows:

State	Note
small	3
medium	5
large	7

However, it should be noted that all of the following 9 states of expression exist to describe varieties and should be used as appropriate:

State	Note
very small	1
very small to small	2
small	3
small to medium	4
medium	5
medium to large	6
large	7
large to very large	8
very large	9

6.2.3 Further explanation of the presentation of states of expression and notes is provided in document TGP/7 "Development of Test Guidelines".

6.3 Types of Expression

An explanation of the types of expression of characteristics (qualitative, quantitative and pseudo-qualitative) is provided in the General Introduction.

6.4 Example Varieties

Where appropriate, example varieties are provided to clarify the states of expression of each characteristic.

6.5 Legend

		English	n	françai	s	deutsch	español	Example Varieties Exemples Beispielssorten Variedades ejemplo	Note/ Nota
1	2	3	4	5	6	7			
		Name chara in Eng	cteristics	Nom o caract frança	tère en	Name des Merkmals auf Deutsch	Nombre del carácter en español		
		states		types	d'expression	Ausprägungsstufen	tipos de expresión		

1 Characteristic number

2 (*) Asterisked characteristic – see Chapter 6.1.2

3 Type of expression

QL Qualitative characteristic — see Chapter 6.3
QN Quantitative characteristic — see Chapter 6.3
PQ Pseudo-qualitative characteristic — see Chapter 6.3

4 Method of observation (and type of plot, if applicable)
MG, MS, VG, VS – see Chapter 4.1.5

5 (+) See Explanations on the Table of Characteristics in Chapter 8.1

6 Not applicable

7 Not applicable

7. <u>Table of Characteristics/Tableau des caractères/Merkmalstabelle/Tabla de caracteres</u>

	English	français	deutsch	español	Example Varieties Exemples Beispielssorten Variedades ejemplo	Note/ Nota
1.	QN VG					
	Tree: vigor					
	weak					3
	medium					5
	strong					7
2.	PQ VG					
	Tree: growth habit					
	upright					1
	spreading					2
	drooping					3
3.	QN VG					
	Tree: fluting of trunk					
	weak					1
	medium					2
	strong					3
4.	QL VG					
	Shoot: apical dominance					
	absent					1
	present					9
5.	QN VG					
	Shoot: density of spines					
	sparse					1
	medium					2
	dense					3
6.	QL VG/VS					
	Shoot: zigzag					
	absent					1
	present					3

		English	français	deutsch	español	Example Varieties Exemples Beispielssorten Variedades ejemplo	Note/ Nota
7.	QN	VG					
	Shoo	t: length of node	·				
	short						3
	mediu						5
	long						7
8.	QN	MG					
	Shoo relatio	t: attitude in on to stem					
	upwai	rds					1
	outwa						2
	down						3
9.	QN	VG					
	Leaf:	density of foliage					
	spars	е					1
	mediu	ım					2
	dense	•					3
10.	QN	MS					
	Leaf I	blade: length					
	short						3
	mediu	ım					5
	long						7
11.	QN	VG					
	Leaf I	blade: green of upper side					
	light g	green					3
	mediu	ım green					5
	dark g	green					7

		English	français	deutsch	español	Example Varieties Exemples Beispielssorten Variedades ejemplo	Note/ Nota
12.	PQ	VG				•	
, in the second	Leaf:	shape					
	narro	w elliptic oblong					3
	broad	l elliptic					5
	narro	w obovate					7
		l obovate					9
13.	PQ	VG				•	
	Leaf lapex	blade: shape of					
	acute						1
	obtus						2
	round	led					3
14.	PQ	VG					
	Leaf base	blade: shape of					
	attenu						1
	acute						2
	obtus	е					3
15.	QN	MG/VG					
	Leaf	blade: length					
	short						3
	mediu	ım					5
	long						7
16.	QN	MG/VG					
	Leaf	blade: width					
	narro	w					3
	mediu	ım					5
	broad						7

	English	français	deutsch	español	Example Varieties Exemples Beispielssorten Variedades ejemplo	Note/ Nota
17.	QN MG					
	Leaf blade: ratio length/width					
	low					3
	medium					5
	high					7
18.	QN MG/VG				_	
	Petiole: length					
	short					3
	medium					5
	long					7
19.	PQ VG			1		
	Inflorescence location					
	on leaves axils					1
	on the branches					2
	on leaves axils and on the braches					3
20.	PQ MG					
	Flower: self-incompatibility	'				
	total					1
	partial					2
04	absent					3
21.	PQ VG					
	Flower: petal: color					
	white					1
	light yellow					2
	yellow					3
22.	PQ VS					
	Fruit: color at maturity					
	medium brown					1
	dark brown					2
	very dark brown					3
	black					4

	English	français	deutsch	español	Example Varieties Exemples Beispielssorten Variedades ejemplo	Note/ Nota
23.	PQ VG					
•	Fruit: shape					
	fusiforme					1
	elliptic					2
	ovate					3
	globular					4
24.	QN MS/VS					
	Fruit: length					
	short					3
	medium					5
	long					7
25.	QN MS/VS					
:	Fruit: width					
	narrow					3
	medium					5
	broad					7
26.	QN MS					
	Fruit: ratio	: : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : :				
	length/width					
	low					3
	medium					5
	high					7
27.	QN MS/VG			'		
	Stone: weight					
	low					3
	medium					5
	high					7
28.	PQ VG			l.		
	Stone: shape					
	rounded					1
	fusiform					2
	elliptic					3
	sharp elliptical					4
	Silaip ciliplical	1		1	1	"

	English	français	deutsch	español	Example Varieties Exemples Beispielssorten Variedades ejemplo	Note/ Nota
29.	QN MS/VS					
	Stone: length					
	short					3
	medium					5
	long					7
30.	QN MG/VG			<u>, </u>		•
	Stone: width					
	narrow					3
	medium					5
	broad					7
31.	QN MS					
	Stone: ratio					
	length/width					
	low					3
	medium					5
	high					7
32.	QN MS					
	Stone: number of almond lodge					
	one					1
	two					2
	three					3
33.	QN VG					
	Stone: resistance to cracking					
	weak					1
	medium					3
34.	strong QN VG					
J-1.	<u> </u>					
	Stone: shell: thickness	S				
	thin					1
	medium					2
	thick					3

	English	français	deutsch	español	Example Varieties Exemples Beispielssorten Variedades ejemplo	Note/ Nota
35.	QN MS/VG					
	Kernel: weight					
	low					3
	medium					5
	high					7
36.	QN MG/VG			l	<u> </u>	L
•	Kernel: length					
	short					3
	medium					5
	long					7
37.	QN MG/VG					
	Kernel: width					
	Kerner. width					
	broad					
	medium					
	narrow					
38.	QN MS			T	T	<u> </u>
	Kernel: ratio length/width					
	low					3
	medium					5
	high					7
39.	PQ VG			,	,	•
	Kernel: shape					
	oblong					1
	ovoid					2
	ellipsoid					3
40.	QN MG/MS				1	
	Kernel: number					
	one					1
	two					2
	three					3
	more than 3					4

		English	français	deutsch	español	Example Varieties Exemples Beispielssorten Variedades ejemplo	Note/ Nota
41.	PQ	VG				1	
	Kerne	el: color					
	white						1
	light y	rellow					2
	yellow	,					3
42.	QN	MG/MS					
	Kerne	el: oil content					
	low (<39%)						3
	mediu and 4	ım (between39% 4%)					5
	high (:	>44%)					7
43.	QN	MG/MS					•
	Kerne weigh	el: ratio kernel nt / stone weight					
	low						3
	medium						5
	high						7
44.	QN	VG					
	Flowe	er: time of ring					
	early						3
	medium						5
	late						7

8.1 Explanations for individual characteristics

9. <u>Literature</u>

10. <u>Technical Questionnaire</u>

TECHN	NICAL Q	UESTIONNAIRE		Page {x} of {y}	Reference Number:
					Application date:
					(not to be filled in by the applicant)
				CHNICAL QUESTIONN ection with an application	NAIRE iion for plant breeders' rights
1.	Subject	of the Technical Question	nai	re	
	1.1	Botanical name	Arg	gania spinosa (L.) Ske	eels
	1.2	Common name			
2.	Applica	nt			
	Name				
	Address	;			
	Telepho	one No.			
	Fax No.				
	E-mail a	address			
	Breeder applicar	r (if different from nt)			
3.	Propose	ed denomination and bree	der	's reference	
	Propose (if availa	ed denomination able)			
	Breede	r's reference			

TECHNICAL (QUESTIONNAIRE	Page {x} of {y}	Reference Number:			
#4. Inform	nation on the breeding scheme	and propagation of the val	riety			
4.1	4.1 Breeding scheme					
Variet	y resulting from:					

TECHNICAL C	QUESTIONNAIRE	Page {x} of {y}	Reference Number:	
4.2 4.2.1	Method of propagating of Other (Please provide details)	[]		

TECHNICAL QUESTIONNAIRE	Page {x} of {y}	Reference Number:

5. Characteristics of the variety to be indicated (the number in brackets refers to the corresponding characteristic in Test Guidelines; please mark the note which best corresponds).

	Characteristics	Example Varieties	Note
5.1 (1)	Tree: vigor		
	weak		3[]
	medium		5[]
	strong		7[]

TECHNICAL QUESTIONN	NAIRE Page {x} o	f {y} Reference No	umber:					
6. Similar varieties and differences from these varieties Please use the following table and box for comments to provide information on how your candidate variety differs								
from the variety (or varietie	Please use the following table and box for comments to provide information on how your candidate variety differs from the variety (or varieties) which, to the best of your knowledge, is (or are) most similar. This information may help the examination authority to conduct its examination of distinctness in a more efficient way.							
Denomination(s) of variety(ies) similar to your candidate variety	Characteristic(s) in which your candidate variety differ from the similar variety(ies)		Describe the expression of the characteristic(s) for your candidate variety					
Example								
Comments:								

TECHNICAL QUESTIONNAIRE F			Page (v) of (v)	Reference Number:			
IECHN	NICAL Q	OESTIONNAIRE	Page {x} of {y}	Reference Number.			
#7.	Additional information which may help in the examination of the variety						
7.1	In addition to the information provided in sections 5 and 6, are there any additional characteristics which may help to distinguish the variety?						
	Yes	[]	No	[]			
	(If yes,	please provide details)					
7.2	Are the	ere any special conditions for	growing the variety or con	ducting the examination?			
	Yes	[]	No	[]			
	(If yes, please provide details)						
7.3	Other i	nformation					

TECH	INICA	L QUES	TIONNAIRE	Page {x} o	of {y}	Referenc	e Number:		
8.	Autho	rization fo	or release						
	(a) Does the variety require prior authorization for release under legislation concerning the protection of environment, human and animal health?							n of the	
		Yes	[]	No	[]				
	(b) Has such authorization been obtained?								
		Yes	[]	No	[]				
	If the	answer to	(b) is yes, please at	tach a copy of	the authoriza	tion.			
9. Inf	ormatio	on on plar	nt material to be exam	nined or subm	itted for exam	ination			
	and o	disease, d	ion of a characteristichemical treatment (en from different gro	(e.g. growth re	etardants or p				
chara has u	acterist ındergo	ics of the one such	rial should not have variety, unless the c treatment, full details ledge, if the plant ma	competent auth s of the treatm	norities allow on ent must be g	or request s given. In this	uch treatment.	If the plant n	naterial
	(a)	Mici	roorganisms (e.g. vir	us, bacteria, pl	hytoplasma)		Yes []	No []	
	(b)	Che	emical treatment (e.g.	. growth retard	ant, pesticide)	Yes []	No []	
	(c)	Tiss	sue culture				Yes []	No []	
	(d)	Oth	er factors				Yes []	No []	
	Ple	ase provid	de details for where y	ou have indica	ated "yes".				
10.	I he	reby decla	are that, to the best o	of my knowledo	ge, the inform	ation provid	ed in this form is	s correct:	
	Арр	olicant's na	ame						
	Signature					Date			

[End of document]