



TWF/42/13

ORIGINAL: English

DATE: August 29, 2011

INTERNATIONAL UNION FOR THE PROTECTION OF NEW VARIETIES OF PLANTS
GENEVA

TECHNICAL WORKING PARTY FOR FRUIT CROPS

Forty-Second Session
Hiroshima, Japan
November 14 to 18, 2011

CONCEPT OF A DATABASE CONTAINING PEA VARIETY DESCRIPTIONS

Document prepared by experts from France

INTRODUCTION

1. At its forty-fourth session, held in Veliko Tarnovo, Bulgaria, from July 5 to 9, 2010, the Technical Working Party for Vegetables (TWV) considered a survey presented by Mr. François Boulineau (France) (see documents TWV/44/33 “Review of Grouping Characteristics in the Test Guidelines for Pea” and TWV/44/33 Add.).
2. The TWV agreed that it would be useful to seek responses to the questionnaire from a wider number of UPOV members and agreed that the questionnaire should be re-issued to the TWV with copies of documents TWV/44/33 and TWV/44/33 Add. in order to indicate the usefulness of contributing information. It also agreed that it should be clarified in the questionnaire that there would be anonymity for the contributing UPOV members. In addition, the TWV agreed that Mr. Boulineau should make a further study on characteristic 15 “Stem: number of nodes up to and including first fertile node” with particular regard to the calibration of scales between the contributors to the questionnaire.
3. In response to the observation of Mr. Boulineau that the results of the questionnaire indicated substantial potential benefits in developing a database containing pea variety descriptions from members of the Union, at least for grouping characteristics as first step, the TWV agreed that Mr. Boulineau should make a presentation on his concept at the forty-fifth session of the TWV. The TWV agreed that Mr. Boulineau should organize an exchange of a common set of variety descriptions for grouping characteristics, and possibly a

ring test, to examine if grouping characteristics were sufficiently reliable for such an approach. It noted that it would be important to involve the TWA experts in that work.

4. The Technical Committee (TC), at its forty-seventh session held in Geneva, from April 4 to 6, 2011, agreed to request the experts from France to present the concept of a database containing pea variety descriptions of members of the Union to the Technical Working Parties at their sessions in 2011 and to the Technical Committee at its forty-eighth session (see document TC/47/26 “Report on the Conclusions”, paragraph 34).

5. The Annex to this document presents a proposal of a concept of a database containing pea variety descriptions prepared by experts from France.

[Annex follows]

ANNEX

CONCEPT OF A DATABASE CONTAINING PEA VARIETY DESCRIPTIONS

Question 1: Varieties constituting the reference collection (*see Appendix 1*):

The number of varieties constituting the reference ranges from 57 to 3,250.

A subsequent study carried out by France shows that the varieties that might be considered for inclusion in a collection would include:

1,521 varieties for the Common Catalogue (Vegetables/Agricultural Plants), Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD) list and the Community Plant Variety Office (CPVO) database
+ 2,000 entries contained only on the UPOV CD-ROM Plant Variety Database but which certainly do not all correspond to officially recognized varieties.

This number does not take account of the candidate varieties, a number which is difficult to obtain.

Questions 2 and 3: Nature of groupings used (*see Appendix 2*):

The vast majority of countries that have responded to the survey use the Test Guidelines grouping characteristics in order to:

exclude varieties from trials (a) or
classify the varieties in trials within distinguishing groups (b).

Some countries use more characteristics than those advised by the UPOV Test Guidelines (countries 3, 6 and 8 in particular), but it must be possible to reach agreement on a common list of sufficiently stable characteristics to be included in a centralized database.

Question 4: Varieties to be compared with candidate varieties (*see Appendixes 3 and 4*):

Two descriptions were sent to participant countries (one corresponding to a vegetable pea and the other to an agricultural pea). These descriptions included the information contained in the UPOV Technical Questionnaire.

Each country should provide the varieties which it would put in place in the field if it received this type of request and by applying its own set of grouping characteristics.

Only three responses have been able to be used but still the results are interesting:

Group 1 – Vegetable pea:

Country 3 = 37 varieties to be compared
Country 6 = 48 varieties to be compared
Country 8 = Five varieties to be compared

The total number of varieties cited by at least one country is 66:

- no variety is selected by three countries
- 22 varieties are selected by two countries only, i.e. 33 per cent.

In 23 cases (i.e. 33 per cent of cases), the reason for the non-choice of the variety is: variety not present or not described in the database of the country concerned.

The other causes of difference are mainly due to different notes in the databases or to different significance ranges (notes 4 and 6 do not differ from 5 in certain countries, but differ in others).

Group 2 – Agricultural pea:

Country 3 = 48 varieties to be compared
Country 6 = 31 varieties to be compared
Country 8 = One variety to be compared

The total number of varieties cited by at least one country is 63:

- no variety is selected by three countries
- 16 varieties are selected by two countries only, i.e. 25 per cent.

The reason for non-choice of varieties has not been supplied by two countries and this aspect cannot therefore be analyzed.

Conclusion

The grouping characteristics selected by UPOV are in general reliable and robust characteristics (resistant to genotype/environment interaction); they therefore vary only very little between different countries excluding species known to be sensitive to certain climatic elements (onion and photoperiod for example).

In these conditions, it may be envisaged to introduce for certain species, such as pea, a joint database containing all the varieties for consideration as a part of the reference collection. The information relating to the grouping characteristics alone could therefore be brought together by means of this database (while preserving the origin of the description), so that each country may define the varieties for potential inclusion in its trials and thus harmonize and improve the situation observed through question 4.

[Appendix 1 follows]

APPENDIX 1 to ANNEX

Grouping characteristics used for Pea :

	Country 1			Country 2 Veg			Country 2 Agri			Country 3			Country 4			Country 5 Veg			Country 5 Agri			Country 6			Country 7			Country 8			Total								
	a	b	c	a	b	c	a	b	c	a	b	c	a	b	c	a	b	c	a	b	c	a	b	c	a	b	c	a	b	c	a	b	c						
1/Anthocyanin coloration	x			x			x			x			x			x		x	x			x			x			x			x			9	1				
5/Number of nodes	x				x			x			x				x			x			x													5	4	1			
8/Presence of leaflets	x			x			x			x			x			x			x			x			x			x			x			10					
19/Flecking on stipules	x				x			x			x			x			x			x			x			x			x			x		7	2	1			
39/Pod parcmnt	x			x				x		x			x					x			x													7	1	2			
40/Pod Thickened wall	x			x				x				x	x					x			x													6	1	3			
41/Pod extremity	x			x				x		x			x			x					x													8	1	1			
43/Pod colour	x				x			x		x			x			x					x													8	1	1			
47/Immature seed colour	x				x			x			x				x			x			x													4	4	2			
49/Type of strach grains	x			x			x			x			x			x					x													10					
52/Colour of cotyledon	x			x			x			x			x			x					x													10					
53/Seed marbling of testa	x				x		x				x		x					x			x													7	1	2			
54/Pink spots on testa	x				x		x				x		x					x			x													7	1	2			
55/Hilum colour	x				x		x				x		x					x			x													7	1	2			
58.1/Fusarium 1		x			x			x		x					x			x			x													3	4	3			
3/Fasciation																																					2		
4/Stem length																																					1		
6/Colour of foliage					x																																3		
24/Time of flowering		x			x																																3		
25/Max.number of flowers																																					2		
26/Colour of wing																																					1		
37/Pod length																																					1		
38/Pod width																																					1		
42/Pod curvature																																					1		
44/Pos intensity of colour																																					1		
45/Pod suture strings																																					2		
48/Seed shape																																					1		
57/Seed weight																																					2		
59/Resistance to Erysiphae																																					3		
60/Resistance to Ascochyta																																					1		
Seed:Dimpled																																					1		
Plant:Habit																																					1		
Pod:Type curvature																																					1		
Virus 2																																					1		

: UPOV grouping characteristics
 : Other UPOV characteristics
 : Non UPOV characteristics

a : Used for excluding varieties
 b : Used for grouping in the field
 c : Non used for grouping

Vegetable group**Country 3****Country 6****Country 8**

41 = 2	ADRIANA	
AR KEL	AR KEL	
AROMUNDA	AROMUNDA	
BALLADO	BALLADO	
Not in FR database	BARTESA	
Not in FR database	BICADO	Old variety
Not in FR database	BISE	
BOHDAN		41 = 2
BORNOVA	BORNOVA	
58.1 = 1 (susceptible)	CASH	
CLIOR	CLIOR	
5 = 5	CLUB	12/13 nodes
58.1 = 1 (susceptible)	COBALT	
Not in FR database	COMIRE	Old variety
CORONADO	CORONADO	
5 = 5	CREDO	12/13 nodes
CRISTO	CRISTO	
Not in FR database	D 85051	New var. (Rumble)
Not in FR database	D 94144	New var. (Retrovert)
DECORETTE		47 = 3
DISCO	DISCO	
58.1 = 1 (susceptible)	EVITA	
Not in FR database		Not in NL database
GIARESA	GIARESA	
5 = 5		12/13 nodes
HOLIDAY	HOLIDAY	
IDAHO	IDAHO	
5 = 5		12 nodes = ISOLE ?
ISOLDE	JORANE	
JORANE		Not in NL database
KELMERVEIL	KELVEDON TRIUMPH	
Not in FR database	KELVIL	7 nodes
5 = 1		47 = 3
KOLETTE	LEVANT	
LEVANT		47 = 3
LOWAREX	MASTERFON	
MASTERFON	MASTERFON	
Not in FR database	MERKION	
5 = 5	MINADO	12 nodes
MINGOMARK	MINGOMARK	
NANOK		Not describe
OSKAR	OSKAR	
PALADIO		Not describe
PATRICIA	PATRICIA	
PINTO		47 = 3 / 52 = 2
Not in FR database	PRECISE	
PRIMELLE	PRIOR	
PRIOR	PROGRESS N°9	
PROGRESS N°9		
Not in FR database	PROMADO	Old variety
PRUNELLE		47 = 3
REGALIA		Not in NL database
Not in FR database	REGULUS	Old variety
REXADO	REXADO	
Not in FR database	RUMBLE	New variety
58.1 = 1 (susceptible)	SALOUT	
5 = 5	SCIROCCO	11/12 nodes
Not in FR database	SERENADO	
Not in FR database		5 = 6
STAR 9		Not describe
TARPEIA		47 = 3
58.1 = 1 (susceptible)	TEZIERIDE	
Not in FR database	TIMUR	
TRITON	TRITON	
58.1 = 1 (susceptible)	TURBO	
UBU		
VALMA		Not in NL database
Not in FR database	ZENITH	

FRIGA

GROPON

INGA

MINADO

SOMERSET

Commun varieties

Field peas group**Country 3****Country 6****Country 8**

63 = 9 (Resistant)	ALHAMBRA	ALGARVE	
	ALLURE		
	ANNO		
Not in FR database	ARIANE	APOLLO	
	ARIZONA	ARIZONA	
	ARROW		
63 = 9 (Resistant)	AXE	ARTHUR	
	BETTY		
12 = 9	BONANZA	BIRDIE	
58.1 = 9 (Resistant)	CALLISTO	CADDY	
		CALLISTO	
5 = 9		CANIS	
5 = 9		CARNEVAL	
Not in FR database		CEBECO 4119	
	CLASSIC	CLASSIC	
5 = 9		CHEYENNE	CHEYENNE
	COUNTESS		
	DECOR		
	DIAMAN	DIAMAN	
	DRUJBA		
	DUEL	DUEL	
	EDEN	EDEN	
	EIFFEL	EIFFEL	
	FANFARE		
58.1 = 9 (Resistant)	GRANADA	GRAFILA	
		GRANADA	
	HARMONY		
	ICEBERG	ICEBERG	
	IGLOO		
	IMPALA	IMPALA	
	JACKPOT	JACKPOT	
	JULIA		
	KLEOPATRA		
	MADORA		
	MADRIA		
	MAGISTRAL		
Not in FR database	MERAN	MANDY	
58.1 = 9 (Resistant)	NIVA	MIAMI	
	ODIN		
	OPUS		
	PERTTU	PERTTU	
5 = 9	PRIMERA	PHONIX	
	QUADRIL		
	RAMROD	RAMROD	
	RIGEL		
	ROCKET		
	SIMONA		
	SKYLINE		
	SOPRANO		
	SOVEREIGN		
58.1 = 9 (Resistant)	SW CELINE	SUNNA	
5 = 9	SW UNIVERSAL	SW CLARA	
	TENOR	SW UNIVERSAL	
	TOSKANA	TOSKANA	
58.1 = 9 (Resistant)		VISION	

Commun varieties.