

TWF/33/12 ORIGINAL: English DATE: October 22, 2002

INTERNATIONAL UNION FOR THE PROTECTION OF NEW VARIETIES OF PLANTS GENEVA

### TECHNICAL WORKING PARTY FOR FRUIT CROPS

## Thirty-Third Session San Carlos de Bariloche, Argentina November 25 to 29, 2002

WORKING PAPER ON DRAFT TEST GUIDELINES FOR CHERIMOYA (Annona cherimola Mill.)

Document prepared by Experts from Japan and Mexico

The attached document TG/CHERIM(proj.1) already incorporates the standard wording of document TGP/7.2, which was adopted by the Technical Committee at its thirty-eighth session in April 2002, and includes some additional standard wording from document TGP/7.1 Draft 1, also agreed at that session.

[Document TG/CHERIM(proj.1) follows]



TG/CHERIM(proj.1) (TWF/33/12) ORIGINAL: English DATE: October 22, 2002

### INTERNATIONAL UNION FOR THE PROTECTION OF NEW VARIETIES OF PLANTS

GENEVA

CHERIMOYA<sup>\*</sup>

Annona Cherimola Mill. \*

### GUIDELINES

### FOR THE CONDUCT OF TESTS

### FOR DISTINCTNESS, UNIFORMITY AND STABILITY

Alternative Names:\*

Latin	English	French	German	Spanish
Annona Cherimola Mill.	Cherimoya	Chérimolier	Cherimoya	Anona del Perú, Chirimoyo

### ASSOCIATED DOCUMENTS

These guidelines should be read in conjunction with document TG/1/3, "General Introduction to the Examination of Distinctness, Uniformity and Stability and the Development of Harmonized Descriptions of New Varieties of Plants" (hereinafter referred to as the "General Introduction") and its associated "TGP" documents.

<sup>\*</sup> These names were correct at the time of the introduction of these Test Guidelines but may be revised or updated. [Readers are advised to consult the UPOV Code, which can be found on the UPOV Website (www.upov.int), for the latest information.]

#### TG/CHERIM(proj.1) (TWF/33/12) Cherimoya, 2002-10-22 - 2 -

### **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

1.	<u>SUI</u>	BJECT OF THESE GUIDELINES	3
2.	MA	TERIAL REQUIRED	3
3.	ME	THOD OF EXAMINATION	3
	3.1	Duration of Tests	3
	3.2	Testing Place	3
	3.3	Conditions for Conducting the Examination	3
	3.4	Test Design	4
	3.5	Number of Plants / Parts of Plants to be Examined	4
	3.6	Additional Tests	4
4.	ASS	ESSMENT OF DISTINCTNESS, UNIFORMITY AND STABILITY	4
	4.1	Distinctness	4
		4.1.1 General Recommendations	4
		4.1.2 Consistent Differences	4
		4.1.3 Clear Differences	5
	4.2	Uniformity	5
	4.3	Stability	5
5.	GRO	DUPING OF VARIETIES AND ORGANIZATION OF THE GROWING TRIAL	5
6.	INT	RODUCTION TO THE TABLE OF CHARACTERISTICS	6
	6.1	Categories of Characteristics	6
		6.1.1 Standard Test Guidelines Characteristics	6
		6.1.2 Asterisked Characteristics	6
	6.2	States of Expression and Corresponding Notes	6
	6.3	Types of Expression	6
	6.4	Example Varieties	6
	6.5	Legend	6
7.	TAI	BLE OF CHARACTERISTICS/TABLEAU DES	
	CAI	RACTÈRES/MERKMALSTABELLE/TABLA DE CARACTERES	7
8.	EXI	PLANATIONS ON THE TABLE OF CHARACTERISTICS	17
9.	LIT	ERATURE	20
10.	TEC	CHNICAL QUESTIONNAIRE	21

#### 1. <u>Subject of these Guidelines</u>

These Test Guidelines apply to all varieties of Annona Cherimola Mill. and their hybrids.

#### 2. <u>Material Required</u>

2.1 The competent authorities decide on the quantity and quality of the plant material required for testing the variety and when and where it is to be delivered. Applicants submitting material from a State other than that in which the testing takes place must ensure that all customs formalities and phytosanitary requirements are complied with.

2.2 The material is to be supplied in the form of one-year-old grafts on rootstocks of *Annona Cherimola* L.

2.3 The minimum quantity of plant material, to be supplied by the applicant, should be:

five plants (one-year old grafts) on rootstocks of Annona Cherimola.

2.4 The plant material supplied should be visibly healthy, not lacking in vigor, nor affected by any important pest or disease. It should preferably not be obtained from *in vitro* propagation. If it has been produced by *in vitro* propagation this fact has to be stated by the applicant.

2.5 The plant material should not have undergone any treatment which would affect the expression of the characteristics of the variety, unless the competent authorities allow or request such treatment. If it has been treated, full details of the treatment must be given.

#### 3. <u>Method of Examination</u>

#### 3.1 Duration of Tests

The minimum duration of tests should normally be two independent growing cycles.

#### 3.2 Testing Place

The tests should normally be conducted at one place. If any characteristics of the variety, which are relevant for the examination of DUS, cannot be seen at that place, the variety may be tested at an additional place.

#### 3.3 Conditions for Conducting the Examination

3.3.1 The tests should be carried out under conditions ensuring satisfactory growth for the expression of the relevant characteristics of the variety and for the conduct of the examination. In particular, it is essential that the trees produce a satisfactory crop of fruit in each of the two growing cycles.

3.3.2 Characteristics containing the following notes in the second column of the Table of Characteristics should be examined as indicated below:

- a <u>Tree/One-year-old shoot</u>: Unless otherwise stated, all observations on the tree and the one-year-old shoot should be made during dormant season.
- b <u>One-year-old shoot</u>: All observations on the one-year-old shoot should be made on the middle third.
- c <u>Leaf</u>: Unless otherwise stated, all observations on the leaf should be made on fully developed leaves from the middle third of a current season's shoot.
- d <u>Flower</u>: Unless otherwise stated, all observations on the flower should be made at the time of flowering when the petals are starting to separate.
- **E** <u>Fruit</u>: Unless otherwise stated, all observations on the fruit should be made on fruits at the time of harvest maturity.

#### 3.4 Test Design

3.4.1 Each test should be designed to result in a total of, at least 5 plants.

3.4.2 The design of the tests should be such that plants or parts of plants may be removed for measurement or counting without prejudice to the observations which must be made up to the end of the growing cycle.

#### 3.5 Number of Plants / Parts of Plants to be Examined

Unless otherwise indicated, all observations determined by measuring or counting should be made on 5 plants or 2 parts taken from each of 5 plants.

#### 3.6 Additional Tests

Additional tests, for examining relevant characteristics, may be established.

#### 4. Assessment of Distinctness, Uniformity and Stability

#### 4.1 Distinctness

#### 4.1.1 General Recommendations

It is of particular importance for users of these Test Guidelines to consult the General Introduction prior to making decisions regarding distinctness. However, the following points are provided for elaboration or emphasis in these Test Guidelines.

#### 4.1.2 Consistent Differences

The minimum duration of tests recommended in section 3.1 reflects, in general, the need to ensure that any differences in a characteristic are sufficiently consistent.

#### 4.1.3 Clear Differences

Determining whether a difference between two varieties is clear depends on many factors, and should consider, in particular, the type of expression of the characteristic being examined, i.e. whether it is expressed in a qualitative, quantitative, or pseudo-qualitative manner. Therefore, it is important that users of these Test Guidelines are familiar with the recommendations contained in the General Introduction prior to making decisions regarding distinctness.

#### 4.2 Uniformity

4.2.1. It is of particular importance for users of these Test Guidelines to consult the General Introduction prior to making decisions regarding uniformity. However, the following points are provided for elaboration or emphasis in these Test Guidelines:

4.2.2 The acceptable number of off-types tolerated in a sample size of 5 plants is none on the basis of a population standard of 1% and an acceptance probability of 95%.

#### 4.3 Stability

4.3.1 In practice, it is not usual to perform tests of stability that produce results as certain as those of the testing of distinctness and uniformity. However, experience has demonstrated that, for many types of variety, when a variety has been shown to be uniform, it can also be considered to be stable.

4.3.2 Where appropriate, or in cases of doubt, stability may be tested, either by growing a further generation, or by testing a new seed or plant stock to ensure that it exhibits the same characteristics as those shown by the previous material supplied.

#### 5. <u>Grouping of Varieties and Organization of the Growing Trial</u>

5.1 The selection of varieties of common knowledge to be grown in the trial with the candidate varieties and the way in which these varieties are divided into groups to facilitate the assessment of distinctness is aided by the use of grouping characteristics.

5.2 Grouping characteristics are those in which the documented states of expression, even where produced at different locations, can be used, either individually or in combination with other such characteristics: (a) to select varieties of common knowledge that can be excluded from the growing trial used for examination of distinctness; and (b) to organize the growing trial so that similar varieties are grouped together.

5.3 The following have been agreed as useful grouping characteristics:

- (a) Fruit: shape in lateral view (characteristic 31);
- (b) Fruit: sectional pattern of surface (characteristic 35);
- (c) Fruit: protuberance on surface(characteristic 36);

5.4 Guidance for the use of grouping characteristics, in the process of examining distinctness, is provided through the General Introduction.

#### 6. Introduction to the Table of Characteristics

#### 6.1 Categories of Characteristics

#### 6.1.1 Standard Test Guidelines Characteristics

Standard Test Guidelines characteristics are those which are approved by UPOV for examination of DUS and from which members of the Union can select those suitable for their particular circumstances.

#### 6.1.2 Asterisked Characteristics

Asterisked characteristics (denoted by \*) are those included in the Test Guidelines which are important for the international harmonization of variety descriptions and should always be examined for DUS and included in the variety description by all members of the Union, except when the state of expression of a preceding characteristic or regional environmental conditions render this inappropriate.

#### 6.2 States of Expression and Corresponding Notes

States of expression are given for each characteristic to define the characteristic and to harmonize descriptions. Each state of expression is allocated a corresponding numerical note for ease of recording of data and for the production and exchange of the description.

#### 6.3 Types of Expression

An explanation of the types of expression of characteristics (qualitative, quantitative and pseudo-qualitative) is provided in the General Introduction.

#### 6.4 Example Varieties

Where appropriate, example varieties are provided to clarify the states of expression of each characteristic.

#### 6.5 Legend

(\*) Asterisked characteristic – see Section 6.1.2

- (QL) Qualitative characteristic see Section 6.3
- (QN) Quantitative characteristic see Section 6.3
- (PQ) Pseudo-Qualitative characteristic see Section 6.3
- (+) See Explanations on the Table of Characteristics in Chapter 8.

a to e See Section 3.3.2

### 7. <u>Table of Characteristics/Tableau des caractères/Merkmalstabelle/Tabla de caracteres</u>

	MoE	English	français	deutsch	español	Example Varieties Exemples Beispielssorten Variedades ejemplo	Note/ Nota
1.	a	Tree: length of internode					
		short				Villapark, Big Sister	3
		medium				Bay Ott, Honey Hart	5
		long				Mariella, White, Pierce	7
2.	a	Shoot: color					
	b	grayish green				Big Sister, Chaffey	1
		gray				Bay Ott, Honey Hart	2
		brown				African Pride, Feno de Jete	3
3.	a	Shoot: shoot hair					
new	b	absent				African Pride, Gefner	1
		present				Bay Ott, Big Sister	9
4.	c	Leaf blade: length					
new		short				Afrivan Pride, Gefner	3
		medium				El Bumpo, Villapark	5
		long				Booth, Mariella	7
5.	c	Leaf blade: width					
new		narrow				African Pride, Gefner	3
		medium				El Bumpo, Villapark	5
		broad				Booth, Mariella	7

۰

MoE = Method of Examination

# TG/CHERIM(proj.1) (TWF/33/12) Cherimoya, 2002-10-22 - 8 -

MoE	English	français	deutsch	español	Example Varieties Exemples Beispielssorten Variedades ejemplo	Note/ Nota
6. c	Leaf blade: ratio length/width					
new	small				African Pride, Gefner	3
	medium				El Bumpo, Villapark	5
	large				Booth, Mariella	7
7. c (+) (*)	Leaf blade: shape					
[3.]	circular				Booth, Oakwood	1
	obate				Pierce, Ott, Miguel	2
	broad elliptic				Villapark, El Bumpo, Big Sister	3
	narrow elliptic				African Pride	4
8. c	Leaf blade: color of the leaf(upper side)					
new	light green				Oakwood, Mariella	1
	green				Booth, Bay Ott	2
	dark green				Big Sister,	3
9. c	Leaf blade: color of the leaf(lower side)					
new	green				Bay Ott, Big Sister	1
	dark green				African Pride, Gefner	2
10. c	Leaf blade: leaf hair(upper side)					
new	absent				African Pride, Gefner	1
_	present				Bay Ott, Big Sister	9

## TG/CHERIM(proj.1) (TWF/33/12) Cherimoya, 2002-10-22 - 9 -

MoE	English	français	deutsch	español	Example Varieties Exemples Beispielssorten Variedades ejemplo	Note/ Nota
11. c	Leaf blade: leaf hair(lower side)					
new	absent				African Pride, Gefner	1
	present				Bay Ott, Big sister	9
12. c	Petiole: length					
[6.]	short				Honey Hart	3
	medium				Feno de Jete	5
	long				Big Sister	7
13. c	Petiole: thicknesss	3				
[7.]	thin				Libby, Villapark	3
	medium				Big Sister	5
	thick				Bays, Salmon	7
14. c	Leaf blade: marginal waving					
[8.]	absent				African Pride	1
	weak				Pierce	3
	medium				White	5
	strong				Big Sister	7
15. d	Flower: density of flowers on flowering shoot					
[36.]	sparse				Ott	3
	medium				White	5
	dense				Big Sister	7

# TG/CHERIM(proj.1) (TWF/33/12) Cherimoya, 2002-10-22 - 10 -

MoE	English	français	deutsch	español	Example Varieties Exemples Beispielssorten Variedades ejemplo	Note/ Nota
16. d	Outer petal: lengt	th				
[9.]	short				Bays, White, Pink's Mommoth	3
	medium				Ell Bumpo, Big Sister, Sabor	5
	long				Libby, Villapark	7
17. d	Outer petal: widt	h				
[10.]	narrow				Honey Hart, White	3
	medium				Pink's Mommoth, Campus, Miguel, Mariella	5
	broad				Libby, Villapark	7
18. d	Outer petal: ratio length/width					
[11.]	small				Honey Hart, White	3
	medium				Campus, Miguel	5
	large				Libby, Villapark	7
19. d	Outer petal: thickness					
[12.]	thin				Bays, Feno de Jete, Campas	3
	medium				Big Sister, Honey Hart	5
	thick				Libby, Sabor	7
20. d	Outer petal: color	•				
new	green				African Pride, Gefner	1
	yellow				Bay Ott, Big Sister	2
	light brown				El Bumpo, Pierce	3

# TG/CHERIM(proj.1) (TWF/33/12) Cherimoya, 2002-10-22 - 11 -

MoE	English	français	deutsch	español	Example Varieties Exemples Beispielssorten Variedades ejemplo	Note/ Nota
21. d	Inner petal: color					
new	light yellow				Big Sister	1
	cream				Libby	2
	white				African Pride, Gefner	3
22. d	Peduncle: length					
[13.]	short				Chaffey, Campas	3
	medium				African Pride, Pink's Mommoth	5
	long				Booth, Ell Bumpo	7
23. d	Petal: twisting just before anthesis	t				
[14.]	small				White	3
	medium				Big Sister	5
	large				Villapark	7
24. d	Petal: curving					
[15.]	small				Booth, Pierce	3
	medium				White	5
	large				Chaffey	7
25. d	Stigmatic cone: color					
new	Pink					1
	white				Bays, Bay Ott,	2
	JP:	Better to De	lete. The differenc	e between varieties	s is doubtful.	
26. d	Ovary:shape					
[16.]	broad cordate				Chaffey	1
	cordate				Bays, Campas, Spain	2
	narrow cordate				VillaPark, Pierce, Booth	3

# TG/CHERIM(proj.1) (TWF/33/12) Cherimoya, 2002-10-22 - 12 -

MoE	English	français	deutsch	español	Example Varieties Exemples Beispielssorten Variedades ejemplo	Note/ Nota
27. d	Ovary: length					
new	short				African Pride, Gefner	3
	medium				Chaffey	5
	long				Big sister	7
28. d	Ovary: width					
new	narrow				African Pride, Gefner	3
	medium				Chaffey	5
	broad				Booth	7
29. e	Fruit: length					
new	short				Chafey	3
	medium				Bay Ott	5
	long				Big Sister	7
30. e	Fruit: diameter in cross section	l				
new	small				Bays, Bay Ott	3
	medium				Mariella, Pierce	5
	large				Big Sister, Salmon	7
31. e (+) (*)	Fruit: shape in lateral view					
[17.]	circular				Bay Ott, Chaffey	1
	cordate				Bays, White, Pierce, Honey Hart	2
	conical				Ell Bumpo, Libby, Mariella, Villapark	3
	broad conical				Booth, Campas, Fino de Jete, Ott, Miguel	4
	narrow elliptic				Big Sister	5

# TG/CHERIM(proj.1) (TWF/33/12) Cherimoya, 2002-10-22 - 13 -

MoE	English	français	deutsch	español	Example Varieties Exemples Beispielssorten Variedades ejemplo	Note/ Nota
32. e	Fruit: glossiness o skin	f				
new	absent				Bays, Big Sister	1
	present				African Pride, Gefner	9
33. e	Fruit: color of ski	n				
[19.]	pale yellow green				Pierce	1
	pale green				Big Sister, Libby, Bays	2
	grayish green				Sabor, Miguel, Campas	3
34. e	Fruit: thickness of rind	ſ				
[20.]	thin				Ell Bumpo	3
	medium				Big Sister	5
	thick				Bay Ott	7
35. e (+) (*)	Fruit: sectional pattern of surface					
[21.]	reticulate				Booth, Ott, Ell Bumpo	1
	scaly				Bay Ott, Spain, Big Sister	2
36. e (+) (*)	Fruit: protuberance on surface					
[22.]	absent				White, Honey Hart	1
	small				Big Sister, Mariella, Villapark, Libby	3
	medium				Ell Bumpo, Oakwood	5
	large				Sabor, Miguel	7

#### TG/CHERIM(proj.1) (TWF/33/12) Cherimoya, 2002-10-22 - 14 -

MoE	English	français	deutsch	español	Example Varieties Exemples Beispielssorten Variedades ejemplo	Note/ Nota
37. e	Fruit: color of flesh					
[23.]	white				Pierce	1
	cream				Villapark	2
38. e	Fruit: texture of flesh					
[24.]	soft				Ell Bumpo, Miguel	3
	medium				White	5
	firm				African Pride, Pink's Mommoth	7
39. e	Fruit: amount of fiber					
[25.]	few				Ell Bumpo, African Pride	3
	medium				Big Sister, Chaffey, Libby, Miguel	5
	many				White, Bay Ott, Honey Hart, Mariella	7
40. e	Fruit: amount of stone cell					
[26.]	few				Bay Ott, Honey Hart, Miguel, White	3
	medium				Big Sister, Chaffey, Libby	5
	many				Booth, Campas, Ott, Sabor	7
41. e	Fruit: juiciness of flesh					
[27.]	low				Chaffey, Pierce	3
	medium				Bay Ott, Big Sister, Honey Hart	5
	high				Booth, Ell Bumpo, Mariella, Oakwood	7

# TG/CHERIM(proj.1) (TWF/33/12) Cherimoya, 2002-10-22 - 15 -

MoE	English	français	deutsch	español	Example Varieties Exemples Beispielssorten Variedades ejemplo	Note/ Nota
42. e	Fruit: total soluble solids(sweetness)	;				
[28.]	low				Pierce, Salmon	3
	medium				Big Sister, Libby, Mariella, Pink's mommoth, Ott	5
	high				White, Miguel, Sabor, Cumpas	7
43. e	Fruit: acidity					
[29.]	low				Big Sister, Fino de Jete, Campas	3
	medium				Booth, Miguel	5
	high				Bays, Ell Bumpo	7
44. e	Fruit: aroma					
[30.]	few				Big Sister, Pierce	3
	medium				Bays, Bay Ott, Ell Bumpo	5
	many				Booth, White, Honey Hart, Sabor	7
45. e	Fruit: number of seeds					
new	few				Salmon	3
	medium				Fino de Jete	5
	many				Big Sister	7
46.	Seed: length					
new	short				Oakwood, Pierce	3
	medium				Mariella	5
	long				Big Sister	7

# TG/CHERIM(proj.1) (TWF/33/12) Cherimoya, 2002-10-22 - 16 -

	MoE	English	français	deutsch	español	Example Varieties Exemples Beispielssorten Variedades ejemplo	Note/ Nota
47.		Seed: width					
new		narrow				African Pride, Gefner	3
		medium				Bay Ott, Honey Hart	5
		broad				Chaffey, Mariella	7
48.		Seed: flesh to seed ratio (by weight)					
new		small				Chaffey, Feno de Jete	3
		medium				African's Pride, Spain	5
		large				Pink's mommoth, White	7
49.		Seed: shape					
[31.]	I	narrow				Sabor, Bays	3
		medium				Salmon, Libby, White	5
		broad				Booth, Mariella	7
50.		Seed: glossiness					
[33.]	I	absent				Big Sister, White	1
		present				African Pride, Pink's Mommoth, Spain	9
51.		Seed: adherence to flesh	0				
new		weak				Booth, Campus	3
		medium				Big Sister, Chaffey	5
		strong				Bays, Bay Ott	7
52.		Time of harvest maturity					
[34.]	I	early				White, Ell Bumpo	3
		medium				Pierce, Mariella, Chaffey	5
		late				Big Sister, African Pride	7



8. <u>Explanations on the Table of Characteristics</u>









Ad.35: Fruit: sectional pattern of surface



1 reticulate



2 scarly

### Ad36: Fruit: protuberance on surface



1 absent



small

3 medium



4 large

#### 9. <u>Literature</u>

Japanese National Test Guidelines for cherimoya (2000).

Yamashita, S.,(1995) Fruit of fascination-Cherimoya, Agriculture & Horticulture, Vol.70, No.11, p57-64

Introductory fruit tree variety characteristic investigation enterprise report(1994), Japan Fruit Tree Seedling and Clonial Association.

#### TG/CHERIM(proj.1) (TWF/33/12) Cherimoya, 2002-10-22 - 21 -

10. Technical Questionnaire

TEC	CHNICAL QUESTIONNAIRE	Page {x} of {y}	Reference Number:		
			Application date: (not to be filled in by the applicant)		
TECHNICAL QUESTIONNAIRE to be completed in connection with an application for plant breeders' rights					
1.	. Subject of the Technical Questionnaire				
	1.1 Latin Name Annona Cherimola Mill.				
	1.2 Common Name	HERIMOYA			
2.	Applicant				
	Name				
	Address				
	Telephone No.				
	Fax No.				
	E-mail address				
	Breeder (if different from appl	icant)			
3.	3. Proposed denomination and breeder's reference				
	Proposed denomination (if available)				
	Breeder's reference				

#### TG/CHERIM(proj.1) (TWF/33/12) Cherimoya, 2002-10-22 - 22 -

TECHNICAL QUESTIONNAIRE   Page {x} of {y}   Reference Number:					
4. Information on the breeding scheme and propagation of the variety					
4.1 Breeding Scheme	Breeding Scheme				
"4.1.1 Variety resulting fro	"4.1.1 Variety resulting from:				
(a) controlled cross	· · · · ·		[]		
<ul><li>(please state parent varieties)</li><li>(b) partially unknown cross</li></ul>					
<ul><li>(please state known parent variety(ies))</li><li>(c) totally unknown cross</li></ul>					
4.1.2 Mutation (please state parent variety)					
4.1.3 Discovery (please state where,	when and how develop	bed)	[]		
4.1.4 Other (please provide deta	ils)		[]		
4.2 Method of Propagating the	e Variety				
4.2.1 <i>In vitro</i> propagation The plant material o by <i>in vitro</i> propagati	f the candidate variety	has been obtained yes	[]		
4.2.2 Other type of multi layer):	plication (seed, leaf c	no cutting, hardwood cutting,	[]		
(please specify)					
4.3 Virus status					
4.3.1 The variety is free fr (indicate from which virus	com all known viruses ses)	as follows:	[]		
4.3.2 The plant material is	s virus tested (indicate	against which viruses):	[]		
4.3.3 The virus status is unknown					

#### TG/CHERIM(proj.1) (TWF/33/12) Cherimoya, 2002-10-22 - 23 -

TECHNICAL QUESTIONNAIRE **Reference Number:** Page  $\{x\}$  of  $\{y\}$ 5. Characteristics of the variety to be indicated (the number in brackets refers to the corresponding characteristic in Test Guidelines; please mark the note which best corresponds). Characteristics **Example Varieties** Note 5.1 Fruit: shape in lateral view (31) Bay Ott, Chaffey circular 1[] cordate Bays, White, Pierce, Honey 2[] Hart conical Ell Bumpo, Libby, Mariella, 3[] Villapark broad conical Booth, Campas, Fino de Jete, 4[] Ott, Miguel **Big Sister** narrow elliptic 5[] 5.2 Fruit: sectional pattern of surface (35) reticulate Booth, Ott, Ell Bumpo 1[] scaly Bay Ott, Spain, Big Sister 2[] 5.3 Fruit: protuberance on surface (36) absent White, Honey Hart 1[] small Big Sister, Mariella, 3[] Villapark, Libby medium Ell Bumpo, Oakwood 5[] large Sabor, Miguel 7[]

# TG/CHERIM(proj.1) (TWF/33/12) Cherimoya, 2002-10-22 - 24 -

TECHNICAL QUESTIONNAIRE Pa			of {y}	Reference N	Jumber:
6. Similar varieties and differences from these varieties					
Denomination(s) of Characteristic(s) in			Describe th	e expression	Describe the expression
variety(ies) similar to	which your candidate		of the characteristic(s)		of the characteristic(s)
your candidate variety	variety diff	variety differs from		similar	for your candidate
	the similar v	ariety(ies)	varie	ety(ies)	variety
(Example)	Plant: h	eight	<i>e.g.</i>	note 3	note 7
			<i>e.g.</i>	short	tall
			<i>e.g.</i>	90 cm	130 cm

#### TG/CHERIM(proj.1) (TWF/33/12) Cherimoya, 2002-10-22 - 25 -

TEC	HNICAL (	QUESTIONNAIRE	Page $\{x\}$ of $\{y\}$	Reference Number:	
7.	7. Additional information which may help in the examination of the variety				
7.1	In addition to the information provided in sections 5 and 6, are there any additional characteristics which may help to distinguish the variety?				
	Yes	[]	No [ ]		
	(If yes, pl	ease provide details)			
7.2	Special conditions for the examination of the variety				
	7.2.1	Are there any specter examination?	ial conditions for gro	wing the variety or conducting the	
		Yes []	No []		
	7.2.2	If yes, please give de	tails:		
7.3	Other inf	ormation			
8.	Authoriz	ation for release			
	(a) Does the variety require prior authorization for release under legislation concerning the protection of the environment, human and animal health?				
	Yes	s []	No []		
	(b) Has such authorization been obtained?				
	Yes	s []	No [ ]		
	If the ans	wer to (b) is yes, ple	ase attach a copy of the	e authorization.	
9. is co	9. I hereby declare that, to the best of my knowledge, the information provided in this form is correct:				
	Applicant	t's name			
	Signature			Date	

[End of document]