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consideration of possible reorganization of tgp/8: a proposal from china

Document prepared by an expert from China

Disclaimer: this document does not represent UPOV policies or guidance

1. Document TGP/8 “Trial Design and Techniques Used in the Examination of Distinctness, Uniformity and Stability” is a very important document for practical guidance on DUS testing. Currently, document TGP/8 has plenty of useful guidance including two parts in 17 chapters. However, there are two small problems that affect its pratical value. First, the chapters are not at the same level and are not in an ideal order, for example, distinctness has a chapter on selection of methods but uniformity does not. Second, many examples do not provide raw data and relationship with previous chapters. This document is intended to initiate a discussion on reorganizing document TGP/8.

2. Annex I to this document contains a copy of a proposal for consideration of a possible reorganization of TGP/8 at its thirty-fifth session of the Technical Working Party on Automation and Computer Programs (TWC). Annex II to this document contains a copy of the current table of contents of TGP/8.

Yang Kun, Deputy Director, Beijing Station of Plant Variety Tests, Ministry of Agriculture, China

[Annexes follow]

NEW TABLE OF CONTENTS

Note: Underlined chapters are new chapters proposed by China

INTRODUCTION

INTRODUCTION

PART 1: DUS TRIAL DESIGN

1. DUS TRIAL DESIGN

1.1 Introduction

1.1 Introduction

1.2 Growing cycles

1.2 Growing cycles

1.2.1 Introduction

1.2.2 Independent growing cycles

1.3 Testing locations

1.3 Testing Place

1.3.1 Conditions for conducting the examination

1.4 Conditions for conducting the examination

1.3.2Purpose

1.3.1 Purpose

(a) Minimizing the overall testing period

(b) Reserve Trial

(c) Different agro-climatic conditions

1.3.3 Use of information from multiple locations

1.3.2 Use of information from multiple locations

(a) Additional tests

(b) DUS examined on the basis of data for the same characteristics examined at different locations

1.4 Replicates and plots

1.5 Test Design

1.5.1 Introduction

1.5.3 Trial layout

1.5.3.1 Introduction

1.5.3.2 Single plots

1.5.3.3 Replicate plots (statistical analysis)

1.5.3.3.1Introduction

1.5.3.3.2 Replicate plots for statistical analysis of individual plant data

1.5.3.3.3 Randomization

1.5.3.3.4 Randomized incomplete block designs

1.5.3.3.5 Design for pair-wise comparisons between particular varieties

1.5.3.3.7 Trial elements when statistical analysis is used

1.5.3.3.7.1Introduction

1.5.3.3.7.2Plots and blocks

1.5.3.3.7.3 Allocation of varieties to plots

1.5.3.3.7.4 Plot size, shape and configuration

1.5.3.3.7.5 Independence of plots

1.5.3.3.7.6 The arrangement of the plants within the plot/ Type of plot for observation

1.5.3.4 Blind Randomized Trials

1.5 Optimal sample size for DUS examination

1.5.2 Number of Plants in the trial

1.5.3.3.6 Further statistical aspects of trial design

1.5.3.3.6.1 Introduction

1.5.3.3.6.2 The hypotheses under test

1.5.3.3.6.3 Determining optimal sample size

1.6 Changing Methods

1.6 Changing Methods

PART 2: DATA RECORDING AND PROBABILITY DISTRIBUTION

2.1Different levels to look at a characteristic

2.4 Different levels to look at a characteristic

2.2DATA TO BE RECORDED

2.DATA TO BE RECORDED

2.1 Introduction

2.2 Types of expression of characteristics

2.3 Types of scales of data

2.3.1 Data from qualitative characteristics

2.3.2 Data from quantitative characteristics

(a) Ratio scale

(b) Interval scale

(c) Ordinal scale

2.3.3 Data from pseudo-qualitative characteristics

2.3.4 Summary of the different types of scales

2.3.5 Scale levels for variety description

2.3.6 Relation between types of expression of characteristics and scale levels of data

2.3.7 Relation between method of observation of characteristics, scale levels of data and recommended statistical procedures

2.3 Probability Distribution

Binomial distribution, Poisson distribution, Normal distribution, t distribution、χ2 distribution, F distribution

PART 3: VALIDATION OF DATA AND ASSUMPTIONS

3. VALIDATION OF DATA AND ASSUMPTIONS

3.1 Introduction

3.1 Introduction

3.2 Validation of data

3.2 Validation of data

(3.2.5 Box graph should include original data)

3.3 Assumptions for statistical analysis and the validation of these assumptions

3.3 Assumptions for statistical analysis and the validation of these assumptions

3.3.1 Introduction

3.3.1 Assumptions for statistical analysis involving analysis of variance

3.3.1.1 Introduction

3.3.2 Independent observations

3.3.1.2 Independent observations(the example should include original data)

3.3.3 Variance homogeneity

3.3.1.3Variance homogeneity

3.3.4 Normal distributed observations

3.3.1.4 Normal distributed observations

3.3.1.5 Additivity of block and variety effects(move to new 3.3.2)

3.3.2 Validation of assumptions for statistical analysis

3.3.2.1 Introduction (move to new 3.3.1 Introduction)

3.3.2.2 Looking through the data (move to new 3.3.1 Introduction)

3.3.2.3 Using figures (3.3.2.3.2 move to new 3.3.2, 3.3.2.3.4 move to new 3.3.3, 3.3.2.3.5 move to new 3.3.2, 3.3.2.3.7 move to new 3.3.4)

PART 4: CHOICE OF STATISTICAL METHODS FOR EXAMINING DISTINCTNESS

4. CHOICE OF STATISTICAL METHODS FOR EXAMINING DISTINCTNESS

4.1 Introduction

4.2 Statistical methods for use with two or more independent growing cycles

4.2.1 Introduction

4.3 Summary of selected statistical methods for examining distinctness

4.4 Requirements for statistical methods for distinctness assessment

4.1 THE COMBINED OVER-YEARS CRITERIA FOR DISTINCTNESS (COYD)

3. THE COMBINED OVER-YEARS CRITERIA FOR DISTINCTNESS (COYD)

3.1 Summary of requirements for application of method

3.2 Summary

3.3 Introduction

3.4 The COYD method

3.5 Use of COYD

3.6 Adapting COYD to special circumstances

3.6.1 Differences between years in the range of expression of a characteristic

3.6.2 Small numbers of varieties in trials: Long-Term COYD

3.6.3 Crops with grouping characteristics

3.7 Implementing COYD

3.8 References

3.9 COYD statistical methods

3.9.1 Analysis of variance

3.9.2 Modified joint regression analysis (MJRA)

3.9.3 Comparison of COYD with other criteria

3.10 COYD software

3.11 Schemes used for the application of COYD

4.2 t test by 2X1%

4.2 X1% METHOD

4.1 Requirements for application of method

4.2 The 2x1% Criterion (Method)

4.3 PEARSON’S CHI-SQUARE TEST APPLIED TO CONTINGENCY TABLES

5. PEARSON’S CHI-SQUARE TEST APPLIED TO CONTINGENCY TABLES

4.4 FISHER’S EXACT TEST

6. FISHER’S EXACT TEST

6.1 Assessment of Distinctness

4.5MATCH APPROACH

7. MATCH APPROACH

7.1 Requirements for application of method

7.2 Match Method

PART5: CHOICE OF STATISTICAL METHODS FOR EXAMINING UNIFORMITY

5.1 THE METHOD OF UNIFORMITY ASSESSMENT ON THE BASIS OF OFF-TYPES

8. THE METHOD OF UNIFORMITY ASSESSMENT ON THE BASIS OF OFF-TYPES

8.1 Fixed Population Standard

8.1.1 Introduction

8.1.2 Using the approach to assess uniformity in a crop

8.1.3 Issues to be considered when deciding on the use of the method

8.1.4 Examples

8.1.5 Introduction to the tables and figures

8.1.6 Method for one single test

8.1.7 Method for more than one single test (year)

8.1.7.1 Introduction

8.1.7.2 Combined test

8.1.7.3 Two-stage test

8.1.7.4 Sequential tests

8.1.8 Note on balancing the Type I and Type II errors

8.1.9 Definition of statistical terms and symbols

8.1.10 Tables and figures

5.2 THE COMBINED-OVER-YEARS UNIFORMITY CRITERION (COYU)

9. THE COMBINED-OVER-YEARS UNIFORMITY CRITERION (COYU)

9.1 Summary of requirements for application of method

9.2 Summary

9.3 Introduction

9.4 The COYU Criterion

9.5 Use of COYU

9.6 Mathematical details

9.7 Early decisions for a three-year test

9.8 Example of COYU calculations

9.9 Implementing COYU

9.10 COYU software

9.10.1 DUST computer program

9.11 Schemes used for the application of COYU

5.3 UNIFORMITY ASSESSMENT ON THE BASIS OF THE RELATIVE VARIANCE METHOD

10. UNIFORMITY ASSESSMENT ON THE BASIS OF THE RELATIVE VARIANCE METHOD

10.1 Use of the relative variance method

10.2 Thresholds for different sample sizes

10.3 The relative variance test in practice

10.4 Example of relative variance method

10.5 Relationship between relative variance and relative standard deviation

PART6: CYCLIC PLANTING OF VARIETIES FROM THE VARIETY COLLECTION TO REDUCE TRIAL SIZE

5. CYCLIC PLANTING OF VARIETIES FROM THE VARIETY COLLECTION TO REDUCE TRIAL SIZE

5.1 Summary of requirements for application of method

5.2 Summary

5.3 Cyclic Planting of Established Varieties in Trial

5.3.1 The assessment of distinctness by data compensation

5.3.2 Method of analysis for distinctness assessment

5.3.3 The assessment of uniformity

5.4 Comparison of the cyclic planting system with the existing system

5.5 Cyclic planting system software

5.6 Additional technical detail and example of analysis for distinctness assessment

5.6.1 Example of distinctness assessment

5.7 References

PART7: PARENT FORMULA OF HYBRID VARIETIES

2. PARENT FORMULA OF HYBRID VARIETIES

2.1 Introduction

2.2 Requirements of the method

2.3 Assessing the originality of a new parent line

2.4 Verification of the formula

2.5 Uniformity and stability of parent lines

2.6 Description of the hybrid

PART8: METHODS of TRANSFORMING DATA TO NOTE AND SELECTING SIMILAR VARIETIES

8.1 METHODS OF TRANSFORMING DATA TO NOTE

8.2 THE GAIA METHODOLOGY

1. THE GAIA METHODOLOGY

1.1 Some reasons to sum and weight observed differences

1.2 Computing GAIA phenotypic distance

1.3 Detailed information on the GAIA methodology

1.3.1 Weighting of characteristics

1.3.2 Examples of use

1.3.2.1 Determining “Distinctness Plus”

1.3.2.2 Other examples of use

1.3.3 Computing GAIA phenotypic distance

1.3.4 GAIA software

1.3.5 Example with Zea mays data

1.3.5.1 Introduction

1.3.5.2 Analysis of notes

1.3.5.3 Electrophoresis analysis

1.3.5.4 Analysis of measurements

1.3.5.5 Measurements and 1 to 9 scale on the same characteristic

1.3.6 Example of GAIA screen copy

[Annex II follows]

TABLE OF CONTENTS PAGE

INTRODUCTION 5

PART I: DUS TRIAL DESIGN AND DATA ANALYSIS 6

1. DUS TRIAL DESIGN 6

1.1 Introduction 6

1.2 Growing cycles 6

1.2.1 Introduction 6

1.2.2 Independent growing cycles 7

1.3 Testing Place 7

1.3.1 Purpose 7

(a) Minimizing the overall testing period 7

(b) Reserve Trial 7

(c) Different agro-climatic conditions 8

1.3.2 Use of information from multiple locations 8

(a) Additional tests 8

(b) DUS examined on the basis of data for the same characteristics examined at different locations 8

1.4 Conditions for conducting the examination 8

1.5 Test Design 8

1.5.1 Introduction 8

1.5.2 Number of Plants in the trial 9

1.5.3 Trial layout 9

1.5.3.1 Introduction 9

1.5.3.2 Single plots 11

1.5.3.3 Replicate plots (statistical analysis) 11

1.5.3.3.1 Introduction 11

1.5.3.3.2 Replicate plots for statistical analysis of individual plant data 11

1.5.3.3.3 Randomization 11

1.5.3.3.4 Randomized incomplete block designs 14

1.5.3.3.5 Design for pair-wise comparisons between particular varieties 15

1.5.3.3.6 Further statistical aspects of trial design 15

1.5.3.3.6.1 Introduction 15

1.5.3.3.6.2 The hypotheses under test 15

1.5.3.3.6.3 Determining optimal sample size 17

1.5.3.3.7 Trial elements when statistical analysis is used 17

1.5.3.3.7.1 Introduction 17

1.5.3.3.7.2 Plots and blocks 18

1.5.3.3.7.3 Allocation of varieties to plots 18

1.5.3.3.7.4 Plot size, shape and configuration 19

1.5.3.3.7.5 Independence of plots 19

1.5.3.3.7.6 The arrangement of the plants within the plot/ Type of plot for observation 19

1.5.3.4 Blind Randomized Trials 19

1.6 Changing Methods 20

2. DATA TO BE RECORDED 21

2.1 Introduction 21

2.2 Types of expression of characteristics 21

2.3 Types of scales of data 22

2.3.1 Data from qualitative characteristics 22

2.3.2 Data from quantitative characteristics 22

(a) Ratio scale 23

(b) Interval scale 23

(c) Ordinal scale 24

2.3.3 Data from pseudo-qualitative characteristics 24

2.3.4 Summary of the different types of scales 25

2.3.5 Scale levels for variety description 25

2.3.6 Relation between types of expression of characteristics and scale levels of data 25

2.3.7 Relation between method of observation of characteristics, scale levels of data and recommended statistical procedures 26

2.4 Different levels to look at a characteristic 28

3. MINIMIZING THE VARIATION DUE TO DIFFERENT OBSERVERS OF THE SAME TRIAL 32

3.1 Introduction 32

3.2 Training and importance of clear explanations of characteristics and method of observation 32

3.3 Testing the calibration 32

3.4 Testing the calibration for QN/MG or QN/MS characteristics 32

3.5 Testing the calibration for QN/VS or QN/VG characteristics 33

3.6 Trial design 33

3.7 Example of Cohen’s Kappa 34

3.8 References 35

4. VALIDATION OF DATA AND ASSUMPTIONS 36

4.1 Introduction 36

4.2 Validation of data 36

4.3 Assumptions for statistical analysis and the validation of these assumptions 37

4.3.1 Assumptions for statistical analysis involving analysis of variance 38

4.3.1.1 Introduction 38

4.3.1.2 Independent observations 38

4.3.1.3 Variance homogeneity 38

4.3.1.4 Normal distributed observations 39

4.3.1.5 Additivity of block and variety effects 39

4.3.2 Validation of assumptions for statistical analysis 40

4.3.2.1 Introduction 40

4.3.2.2 Looking through the data 40

4.3.2.3 Using figures 41

5. CHOICE OF STATISTICAL METHODS FOR EXAMINING DISTINCTNESS 45

5.1 Introduction 45

5.2 Statistical methods for use with two or more independent growing cycles 45

5.2.1 Introduction 45

5.3 Summary of selected statistical methods for examining distinctness 47

5.4 Requirements for statistical methods for distinctness assessment 48

6. CYCLIC PLANTING OF VARIETIES FROM THE VARIETY COLLECTION TO REDUCE TRIAL SIZE 49

6.1 Summary of requirements for application of method 49

6.2 Summary 49

6.3 Cyclic Planting of Established Varieties in Trial 49

6.3.1 The assessment of distinctness by data compensation 50

6.3.2 Method of analysis for distinctness assessment 51

6.3.3 The assessment of uniformity 51

6.4 Comparison of the cyclic planting system with the existing system 51

6.5 Cyclic planting system software 51

6.6 Additional technical detail and example of analysis for distinctness assessment 51

6.6.1 Example of distinctness assessment 52

6.7 References 53

PART II: SELECTED TECHNIQUES USED IN DUS EXAMINATION 54

1. THE GAIA METHODOLOGY 54

1.1 Some reasons to sum and weight observed differences 54

1.2 Computing GAIA phenotypic distance 54

1.3 Detailed information on the GAIA methodology 55

1.3.1 Weighting of characteristics 55

1.3.2 Examples of use 56

1.3.2.1 Determining “Distinctness Plus” 56

1.3.2.2 Other examples of use 57

1.3.3 Computing GAIA phenotypic distance 57

1.3.4 GAIA software 58

1.3.5 Example with Zea mays data 59

1.3.5.1 Introduction 59

1.3.5.2 Analysis of notes 59

1.3.5.3 Electrophoresis analysis 60

1.3.5.4 Analysis of measurements 62

1.3.5.5 Measurements and 1 to 9 scale on the same characteristic 63

1.3.6 Example of GAIA screen copy 64

2. PARENT FORMULA OF HYBRID VARIETIES 67

2.1 Introduction 67

2.2 Requirements of the method 67

2.3 Assessing the originality of a new parent line 67

2.4 Verification of the formula 68

2.5 Uniformity and stability of parent lines 68

2.6 Description of the hybrid 68

3. THE COMBINED OVER-YEARS CRITERIA FOR DISTINCTNESS (COYD) 69

3.1 Summary of requirements for application of method 69

3.2 Summary 69

3.3 Introduction 69

3.4 The COYD method 70

3.5 Use of COYD 70

3.6 Adapting COYD to special circumstances 71

3.6.1 Differences between years in the range of expression of a characteristic 71

3.6.2 Small numbers of varieties in trials: Long-Term COYD 72

3.6.3 Crops with grouping characteristics 72

3.7 Implementing COYD 73

3.8 References 73

3.9 COYD statistical methods 76

3.9.1 Analysis of variance 76

3.9.2 Modified joint regression analysis (MJRA) 76

3.9.3 Comparison of COYD with other criteria 77

3.10 COYD software 77

3.11 Schemes used for the application of COYD 84

4. 2X1% METHOD 87

4.1 Requirements for application of method 87

4.2 The 2x1% Criterion (Method) 87

5. PEARSON’S CHI-SQUARE TEST APPLIED TO CONTINGENCY TABLES 89

6. FISHER’S EXACT TEST 92

6.1 Assessment of Distinctness 92

7. MATCH APPROACH 94

7.1 Requirements for application of method 94

7.2 Match Method 94

8. THE METHOD OF UNIFORMITY ASSESSMENT ON THE BASIS OF OFF TYPES 95

8.1 Fixed Population Standard 95

8.1.1 Introduction 95

8.1.2 Using the approach to assess uniformity in a crop 95

8.1.3 Issues to be considered when deciding on the use of the method 96

8.1.4 Examples 97

8.1.5 Introduction to the tables and figures 100

8.1.6 Method for one single test 101

8.1.7 Method for more than one single test (year) 102

8.1.7.1 Introduction 102

8.1.7.2 Combined test 102

8.1.7.3 Two-stage test 102

8.1.7.4 Sequential tests 103

8.1.8 Note on balancing the Type I and Type II errors 103

8.1.9 Definition of statistical terms and symbols 104

8.1.10 Tables and figures 105

9. THE COMBINED-OVER-YEARS UNIFORMITY CRITERION (COYU) 112

9.1 Summary of requirements for application of method 112

9.2 Summary 112

9.3 Introduction 113

9.4 The COYU Criterion 113

9.5 Use of COYU 113

9.6 Mathematical details 114

9.7 Early decisions for a three-year test 116

9.8 Example of COYU calculations 117

9.9 Implementing COYU 118

9.10 COYU software 118

9.10.1 DUST computer program 118

9.11 Schemes used for the application of COYU 122

10. UNIFORMITY ASSESSMENT ON THE BASIS OF THE RELATIVE VARIANCE METHOD 125

10.1 Use of the relative variance method 125

10.2 Thresholds for different sample sizes 125

10.3 The relative variance test in practice 125

10.4 Example of relative variance method 126

10.5 Relationship between relative variance and relative standard deviation 126

11. EXAMINING CHARACTERISTICS USING IMAGE ANALYSIS 127

11.1 Introduction 127

11.2 Combined characteristics 127

11.3 Image recording: calibration and standardization 127

11.4 Conclusions 129

11.5 References 129

[End of Annex II and of document]