

**TWC/34/21****ORIGINAL:** English**DATE:** May 18, 2016**INTERNATIONAL UNION FOR THE PROTECTION OF NEW VARIETIES OF PLANTS**

Geneva

**TECHNICAL WORKING PARTY ON AUTOMATION AND COMPUTER PROGRAMS****Thirty-Fourth Session  
Shanghai, China, June 7 to 10, 2016**

## MINIMUM NUMBER OF GROWING CYCLES

*Document prepared by an expert from the Netherlands**Disclaimer: this document does not represent UPOV policies or guidance*

The Annex to this document contains a copy of a presentation on “Minimum Number of growing cycles” that will be made at the thirty-fourth session of the Technical Working Party on Automation and Computer Programs (TWC).

Kees van Ettehoven, Head, Variety Registration Department, Naktuinbouw

[Annex follows]



---

## Minimum Number of growing cycles

2016  
Naktuinbouw



---

## Importance

- **TGP 8:**  
1.2.2.7 The rationale for using independent growing cycles is that if the observed difference in a characteristic results from a genotypic difference between varieties, then that difference should be observed if the varieties are compared again in a similar environment but in an independent growing cycle



## Importance

---

- In TGP 8 solely linked to Distinctness
- Also important for high quality stable descriptions!



## Number of growing cycles

---

- Mentioned in TG's based on factors:
  - the number of varieties to be compared in the growing trial,
  - the influence of the environment on the expression of the characteristics, and
  - the degree of variation within varieties,
  - the features of propagation of the variety e.g. whether it is a vegetatively propagated, self-pollinated, cross-pollinated or a hybrid variety.



## Independent growing cycles

---

- **When a characteristic is observed in a growing trial in two independent growing cycles, it is generally observed in two separate plantings or sowings.**

**However, in some perennial crops, such as fruit trees, the growing cycles take the form of one trial observed in two successive years.**



## Independent growing cycles

---

- **Two cycles in same place**
  - **Usually two years to have comparable environment. Two plantings in one place in one year is possible with sufficient time between plantings.**
- **Two cycles in different places in one year**
  - **Possible with sufficient distance between places, but risk to introduce new variation**



## Practice

---

- **Roughly the present UPOV practice:**
- **Seed propagated agricultural and vegetable crops: two independent growing cycles**
- **Fruit crops: two independent growing cycles**
- **Vegetatively propagated ornamentals: one growing cycle**



## Full growing cycles?

---

- **Bolting characteristics in separate trial once, also for crops with two cycles**
- **Disease resistant tests in separate trial once, also for crops with two cycles**
- **Some additional tests as Light Sprout tests in potato separate from normal growing trial**
- **Can DNA test replace one year of growing trial?**



## Items for discussion

- Re-think the **criteria** to establish the number of cycles. Apply on a crop by crop basis or even application by application.
- Can we consider two independent **tests** instead of growing cycles? E.g. One full growing cycle plus an additional test such as a resistance test, a light sprout test or a DNA test?
- Will applicants accept a less predictable system (costs)?

[End of Annex and of document]