



TWC/33/26 Add. 1 Rev.

ORIGINAL: English

DATE: July 13, 2015

INTERNATIONAL UNION FOR THE PROTECTION OF NEW VARIETIES OF PLANTS

Geneva

TECHNICAL WORKING PARTY ON AUTOMATION AND COMPUTER PROGRAMS

Thirty-Third Session

Natal, Brazil, June 30 to July 3, 2015

ADDENDUM TO

STATISTICAL METHODS FOR VISUALLY OBSERVED CHARACTERISTICS

Document prepared by the Office of the Union

Disclaimer: this document does not represent UPOV policies or guidance

The Annex to this document contains a copy of a presentation that was made by an expert from China on "Analysis of visually observed characteristics using the DUST China (DUSTC) software package using the data set of meadow fescue provided by Finland" at the thirty-third session of the Technical Working Party on Automation and Computer Programs (TWC).

[Annex follows]

The analysis of visually observed characteristics using the DUST China (DUSTC) software package

— using the data set of meadow fescue provided by Finland

Expert from China

UPOV TWC
Thirty-third Session
Natal, Brazil, 29 June to 3 July, 2015

A comparison of the results on distinctness decision between the COYD method and X^2 -test

	cross- semi- cross	scale												
	2	2	2	2	1	2	2	3	4	5	6	6	6	
CANDIDATE A			CANDIDATE A		CANDIDATE A									
CANDIDATE B			CANDIDATE B		CANDIDATE B									
C			C	4	C	2	20	23	5	8	1			
D			D	5	D	2	22	24	12	8	1			
E	1		E	3	E	1	22	25	7	6	1			
F			F	1	F	1	11	14	15	18	1			
G			G	3	G	1	28	25	4	1				
H			H	6	H	5	28	21	4	1				
I			I	2	I	1	20	21	8	8				
J			J		J		16	27	12	6				
K			K		K		16	14	13	13	2			
L			L	3	L	3	20	26	8	3				
M			M	1	M		18	22	13	8				
N			N	2	N		10	24	9	14	1			
O			O	5	O		18	23	10	1				
P			P	3	P	2	22	22	4	1				
Q			Q	4	Q	1	24	24	6	2				
R			R	1	R	1	24	26	6	1				
S			S	5	S	1	16	27	17	4				
T			T	6	T		18	24	7	7				
U			U	7	U	2	17	31	8	1				
V			V	3	V	1	12	24	8	13				
W			W	1	W		14	17	15	12				
X			X	6	X	2	24	24	8	2				
Y	1		Y	4	Y		20	26	11	3				
Z			Z	10	Z	2	24	27	4	1				
1			1	15	1	3	32	18	9	1				
2			2	7	2		22	30	6	2				
3			3	8	3	1	18	17	15	7				
4			4	9	4	1	17	28	10	2				
5			5	3	5		14	26	16	4				

Data set of meadow fescue (Plant: growth habit at inflorescence emergence) from year 2010 to 2012 provided by Finland.

 DUSTC.exe
 DUS测试软件使用说明.pdf

- User's manual
- Chinese version only

植物新品种 DUS 测试统计分析
用户手册

浙江大学植物保护系
农业部种子管理局

2012 年 8 月

X²-test, data analysis

Initial data (2012)	Scale	1	2	3	4	5	6
	CANDIDATE A	2	20	23	5	8	1
	F	0	11	14	15	18	1
Processed data (2012)	Scale	1-2		3	4	5-6	
	CANDIDATE A	22		23	5	9	
	F	11		14	15	19	

观察值	observed frequency							观察值					
2	20	23	5	8	1			22	23	5		9	
0	11	14	15	18	1			11	14	15		19	
理论值	expected frequency							理论值					
1	15.9	18.5	10	13	1			16.5	18.5	10		14	
1	15.9	18.5	10	13	1			16.5	18.5	10		14	
卡方值 Chi=15.64825	df=5	p=0.00792						卡方值 Chi=14.42728	df=3	p=0.00238			
有4个单元格的理论值小于5,占33.33%,最小值为1.00								似然比卡方=14.8331	df=3	p=0.00197			
似然比卡方=16.8144	df=5	p=0.00487						Williams校正 G=15.0947	df=5	p=0.00997			
Williams校正 G=15.0947	df=5	p=0.00997						Pearson列联系数=0.3422					
Pearson列联系数=0.3422								Pearson列联系数=0.3301					
Cramer系数=0.3642								Cramer系数=0.3497					
运行时间:0秒								FISHER精确检验, P=0.003780					
FISHER精确检验, P=0.003780								各单元格理论值较大,不用FISHER精确检验					

Analysis of Initial data

Analysis of processed data

X²-test

- Chi-squared test, p-value 0.05, **often**;
- Continuity-corrected Chi-squared test, **not usual**;
- Fisher's exact test, **depend**;
- At least 2 out of 3 years data;
- Cochran criteria: the expected frequencies should be >1, and 20% of them should be >5, if not, combination of classes needed.

Ref. Variety	CAMBODIA A			Distinct by Chi-square test	CAMBODIA B			Distinct by Chi-square test	
	2010	2011	2012		2010	2011	2012		
CAMBODIA 1	CAMBODIA A	-	-	no	CAMBODIA B	0.0157	0.0201	0.0175	no
CAMBODIA 2	CAMBODIA B	0.0157	0.0201	no	CAMBODIA A	-	-	-	no
Amthi	C	0.7722	0.1482	no	C	0.0882	0.1204	0.0704	no
Arut	D	0.2812	0.0417	no	D	0.0887	0.0216	0.0287	no
Bclan	E	0.0002	0.0220	no	E	0.0002	0.0482	0.1473	no
Borda	F	0.0112	0.0001	no	F	0.7212	0.0002	0.0022	no
Coman	G	0.0211	0.0087	no	G	0.0812	0.0160	0.0211	no
Dor An	H	0.0000	0.0001	no	H	0.0002	0.1210	0.0277	no
Fura	I	0.2031	0.0302	no	I	0.3814	0.3873	0.0872	no
Yinnat	J	0.2889	0.1412	no	J	0.0071	0.3364	0.0770	no
Yndat	K	0.1424	0.0002	no	K	0.0801	0.0082	0.0712	no
Yagran 07	L	0.1426	0.3870	no	L	0.1400	0.7870	0.0888	no
Yndat	M	0.1229	0.3721	no	M	0.4417	0.0222	0.0200	no
Yndat	N	0.1202	0.0002	no	N	0.0252	0.0022	0.0322	no
Yndat	O	0.0122	0.0072	no	O	0.0252	0.0220	0.2772	no
Yndat	P	0.0229	0.0001	no	P	0.0007	0.1120	0.0020	no
Yndat	Q	0.0220	0.0412	no	Q	0.0002	0.0822	0.0272	no
Yndat	R	0.2871	0.0772	no	R	0.0802	0.0207	0.1272	no
Yndat	S	0.0022	0.1402	no	S	0.0122	0.2820	0.0022	no
Yndat	T	0.2312	0.0007	no	T	0.0131	0.3012	0.0812	no
Yndat	U	0.0007	0.0082	no	U	0.0002	0.0822	0.0822	no
Yndat	V	0.3270	0.2820	no	V	0.0887	0.3802	0.0887	no
Yndat	W	0.1212	0.0217	no	W	0.1802	0.1172	0.1312	no
Yndat	X	0.0229	0.0122	no	X	0.0080	0.7182	0.0887	no
Yndat	Y	0.4212	0.3722	no	Y	0.0882	0.0822	0.0212	no
Yndat	Z	0.0122	0.0187	no	Z	0.0022	0.0722	0.0122	no
Yndat	1	0.0070	0.0000	no	1	0.0212	0.0222	0.0222	no
Yndat	2	0.4120	0.1427	no	2	0.2702	0.0227	0.2402	no
Yndat	3	0.3412	0.2804	no	3	0.0122	0.3887	0.2207	no
Yndat	4	0.1821	0.0122	no	4	0.0222	0.0812	0.0787	no
Yndat	5	0.0427	0.2812	no	5	0.7737	0.1021	0.0817	no

COYD, data analysis

The screenshot shows a software interface titled "植物新品种DUS测试统计分析系统" (Plant Variety DUS Test Statistical Analysis System). The main window displays a data table with the following columns: Year, Scale, Reference variety 1, Reference variety 2, Reference variety 3, Reference variety 4, Candidate 1, and Candidate 2. The data is organized by year (Year 1, Year 2, Year 3) and scale (1, 2, 3). Two dialog boxes are open in the foreground: "选择品种" (Select variety) with the value "2" entered, and "年份" (Year) with the value "3" entered. Both dialog boxes have "OK" and "Cancel" buttons.

COYD, data analysis

Class	Count			Percent		
	Yr1	Yr2	Yr3	Yr1	Yr2	Yr3
1	92	88	95	12.4400	11.84	11.38
2	115	105	94	14.2100	13.52	12.99
3	62	63	57	8.2400	7.84	7.53
Heterogeneity Chi-Square=1.7049			df=4	p=0.7898		
候选品种	适合度卡方	F 值	自由度	p 值		
Candidate1 - Reference variety 1	122.3725	143.55	2 4	0.0069		
Candidate1 - Reference variety 2	365.8517	429.17	2 4	0.0023		
Candidate1 - Reference variety 3	1614.8946	1894.38	2 4	0.0005		
Candidate1 - Reference variety 4	263.3179	308.89	2 4	0.0032		
Candidate 1 - Candidate 2	6.0728	7.12	2 4	0.1231		
Candidate2- Reference variety 1	152.0083	178.32	2 4	0.0056		
Candidate2 - Reference variety 2	457.8833	537.13	2 4	0.0019		
Candidate2-Reference variety 3	2004.5975	2351.53	2 4	0.0004		
Candidate2 - Reference variety 4	330.8902	388.16	2 4	0.0026		
Candidate 2 - Candidate 1	5.0435	5.92	2 4	0.1446		

COYD, data analysis

Part I Two-Way Contingency Table

计算结果	当前日期 2015/3/9 14:28:06					
Class	Count			Percent		
	Yr1	Yr2	Yr3	Yr1	Yr2	Yr3
1	640	142	159	7.22	6.46	5.65
2	740	880	221	14.12	12.63	11.08
3	278	450	903	12.49	11.18	9.78
4	162	155	141	3.51	3.14	2.75
Heterogeneity Chi-Square=1266.5779			df=6	p=0.0000		

Part II : Result of Distinctness Test

候选品种	经审定的审定	自由审	审定	候选品种	经审定的审定	自由审	审定		
CANDIDATE 1 - Antti	4.8535	0.0075	3	0.9955	CANDIDATE 2 - Antti	3.8455	0.0055	3	0.9992
CANDIDATE 1 - Arni	12.8149	0.0232	3	0.9932	CANDIDATE 2 - Arni	12.228	0.0193	3	0.9958
CANDIDATE 1 - Balmo	102.9261	0.1625	3	0.9130	CANDIDATE 2 - Balmo	96.0333	0.1516	3	0.9231
CANDIDATE 1 - Bora	32.5535	0.055	3	0.9538	CANDIDATE 2 - Bora	42.9515	0.0819	3	0.9733
CANDIDATE 1 - Coomas	18.5369	0.0292	3	0.9919	CANDIDATE 2 - Coomas	14.9472	0.0236	3	0.9941
CANDIDATE 1 - Darimo	149.4624	0.226	3	0.8876	CANDIDATE 2 - Darimo	128.0626	0.2022	3	0.8959
CANDIDATE 1 - Fure	1.1572	0.0115	3	0.9955	CANDIDATE 2 - Fure	0.3081	0.0025	3	0.9999
CANDIDATE 1 - Ilmari	11.5221	0.0182	3	0.9959	CANDIDATE 2 - Ilmari	8.5251	0.0125	3	0.9974
CANDIDATE 1 - Inken	26.6549	0.0421	3	0.9563	CANDIDATE 2 - Inken	20.2654	0.032	3	0.9901
CANDIDATE 1 - V10	7.4325	0.0117	3	0.9975	CANDIDATE 2 - V10	5.9591	0.0094	3	0.9954
CANDIDATE 1 - Kalevi	2.6121	0.0041	3	0.9995	CANDIDATE 2 - Kalevi	2.3205	0.0031	3	0.9982
CANDIDATE 1 - Kaaper	33.4993	0.0529	3	0.9511	CANDIDATE 2 - Kaaper	24.4246	0.0385	3	0.9579
CANDIDATE 1 - Laura	32.4038	0.0512	3	0.9520	CANDIDATE 2 - Laura	26.1623	0.0412	3	0.9583
CANDIDATE 1 - Lfara	121.5102	0.2071	3	0.855	CANDIDATE 2 - Lfara	111.9972	0.1765	3	0.9089
CANDIDATE 1 - Lfelo	7.0453	0.0111	3	0.9990	CANDIDATE 2 - Lfelo	6.2064	0.0093	3	0.9933
CANDIDATE 1 - Mimar	2.2149	0.0028	3	0.9995	CANDIDATE 2 - Mimar	2.2719	0.0026	3	0.9994
CANDIDATE 1 - Minna	3.2614	0.0032	3	0.9993	CANDIDATE 2 - Minna	3.0016	0.0022	3	0.9991
CANDIDATE 1 - Norid	27.1628	0.0429	3	0.9562	CANDIDATE 2 - Norid	16.8134	0.0261	3	0.9929
CANDIDATE 1 - Pival+0.5	497.5491	0.7581	3	0.8763	CANDIDATE 2 - Pival+0.5	229.5075	0.3634	3	0.7581
CANDIDATE 1 - Salen	7.6521	0.0121	3	0.9972	CANDIDATE 2 - Salen	4.2722	0.0061	3	0.9990
CANDIDATE 1 - Sena	12.484	0.0201	3	0.9913	CANDIDATE 2 - Sena	14.4812	0.0229	3	0.9943
CANDIDATE 1 - Senu	40.8045	0.0644	3	0.9751	CANDIDATE 2 - Senu	37.6593	0.0594	3	0.9775
CANDIDATE 1 - Sigmund	2.6229	0.0042	3	0.9995	CANDIDATE 2 - Sigmund	2.1533	0.002	3	0.9994
CANDIDATE 1 - Siela	206.4234	0.326	3	0.8092	CANDIDATE 2 - Siela	194.2802	0.3062	3	0.8212
CANDIDATE 1 - SW Forward	27.4235	0.0591	3	0.971	CANDIDATE 2 - SW Forward	22.1971	0.0481	3	0.9544
CANDIDATE 1 - SW Minto	2.1885	0.0025	3	0.9999	CANDIDATE 2 - SW Minto	1.4925	0.0024	3	0.9991
CANDIDATE 1 - SW Rovasch	2.7685	0.0044	3	0.9995	CANDIDATE 2 - SW Rovasch	2.7522	0.006	3	0.9992
CANDIDATE 1 - Tammiaro	20.0438	0.0311	3	0.9929	CANDIDATE 2 - Tammiaro	17.9465	0.0282	3	0.9922
CANDIDATE 1 - Tyko	9.1174	0.0144	3	0.9974	CANDIDATE 2 - Tyko	7.571	0.012	3	0.9975
CANDIDATE 1 - CANDIDATE 2	0.2796	0.0006	3	0.9999	CANDIDATE 2 - CANDIDATE 1	0.3045	0.0025	3	0.9999

Ref. Variety	CANDIDATE 1				CANDIDATE 2			
	China		Finland		China		Finland	
	Distinct by χ^2 -test	Distinct by CÖYD	Distinct by χ^2 -test	Distinct by CÖYD	Distinct by χ^2 -test	Distinct by CÖYD	Distinct by χ^2 -test	Distinct by CÖYD
can1	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no
can2	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no
Antti	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no
Arni	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no
Balmo	0	no	0	0	no	no	no	0
Bora	0	no	0	0	0	no	0	0
Coomas	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no
Darimo	0	no	0	0	0	no	no	0
Fure	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no
Ilmari	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no
Inken	0	no	0	0	no	no	no	0
Jäggva 47	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no
Kalevi	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no
Kaaper	no	no	no	0	0	no	no	0
Laura	0	no	no	no	no	no	no	no
Lfara	0	no	0	0	0	no	0	0
Lfelo	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no
Mimar	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no
Minna	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no
Norid	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no
Pival	0	no	0	0	no	no	no	0
Salen	no	no	no	0	no	no	no	0
Sena	no	no	0	no	no	no	no	no
Senu	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no
Sigmund	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no
Siela	0	no	0	0	0	no	no	0
SW Forward	0	no	0	0	0	no	0	no
SW Minto	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no
SW Rovasch	no	no	no	0	no	no	no	0
Tammiaro	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no
Tyko	0	no	no	no	no	no	no	no

Comparison of two methods

- Amount of D varieties:

	χ^2 -test	COYD
Candidate A	10 (33.3%)	0 (0%)
Candidate B	6 (20%)	0 (0%)

- Amount of D varieties by Finland:

	χ^2 -test	COYD
Candidate A	6 (20%)	11 (36%)
Candidate B	3 (10%)	10 (33.3%)

Thanks for your attention!