

TWC/22/10

ORIGINAL: English

DATE: May 31, 2004

INTERNATIONAL UNION FOR THE PROTECTION OF NEW VARIETIES OF PLANTS GENEVA

TECHNICAL WORKING PARTY ON AUTOMATION AND COMPUTER PROGRAMS

Twenty-Second Session
Tsukuba, Japan, June 14 to 17, 2004

STANDARD PROBABILITY LEVELS FOR COY

Document prepared by the Office of the Union

1. At its twenty-first session, held in Tjele, Denmark, from June 10 to 13, 2003, the Technical Working Party on Automation and Computer Programs (TWC) discussed "Uniformity Standards for COYU" on the basis of document TWC/21/7. The TWC agreed that a new document on probability levels for COY should be prepared for the twenty-second session. It decided that an explanation on the way decisions were taken when using the COY approach should be included in the request and that the replies should be organized by type of decision. On March 18, 2004, Circular U 3441 was issued requesting information on the probability levels used by members of the Union for COY.

- 2. The following countries replied to the survey: China, Czech Republic, Denmark, Finland, France, Germany, Kenya, Netherlands, United Kingdom and the United States of America. The Office of the Union has collated the information received in a summary which is presented in the Annex to this document.
 - 3. The TWC is invited to consider how the information presented in the Annex to this document might be used in the development of TGP/9, Annex VI and TGP/10.3.1 COYU Annex: Probability levels.

[Annex follows]

TWC/21/10

ANNEX

STANDARD PROBABILITY LEVELS USED FOR COYD AND COYU

Case A Test is conducted over 2 independent growing cycles ("cycles") and decisions made after 2 cycles (A growing cycle could be a year and is further on denoted by cycle)

| | | | |) proba levels | bility | COYU probability levels | | |
|----------------------------|---------|------|----------|-------------------|----------|-------------------------|------------------|----------|
| Species | Country | CASE | p_{d2} | p_{nd2} | p_{d3} | p_{u2} | p _{nu2} | p_{u3} |
| Brassica napus L. oleifera | UK | A | 0.02 | | | COYU not used | | |

Case B Test is conducted over 3 cycles and decisions made after 3 cycles

| | | | COYD probability levels | | | COYU probability levels | | |
|-------------|---------|------|-------------------------|------------------|----------|-------------------------|------------------|----------|
| Species | Country | CASE | p_{d2} | p _{nd2} | p_{d3} | p_{u2} | p _{nu2} | p_{u3} |
| Zea mays L. | KE | В | | | 0.05 | | | 0.05 |

TWC/21/10 Annex, page 2

Case C Test is conducted over 3 cycles and decisions made after 3 cycles, but a variety may be accepted after 2 cycles

| | | | COYD probability levels | | | COYU probability levels | | |
|--|--|------|-------------------------|-----------|----------|-------------------------|------------------|-----------------|
| Species | Country | CASE | p_{d2} | p_{nd2} | p_{d3} | p_{u2} | p _{nu2} | p _{u3} |
| | UK | С | 0.001 | | 0.001 | 0.01 | | 0.001 |
| Herbage | CZ | С | 0.01 | | 0.01 | 0.01 | | 0.001 |
| | NL | С | 0.01 | | 0.01 | | | |
| Lolium perenne L. Lolium multiflorum L. Lolium Boucheanum L. Phleum pratensis L. Festuca rubra L. Beta vulgaris L. Sinapsis albaL. | DK | С | 0.01 | 1 | 0.01 | 0.002 | | 0.002 |
| Brassica napus l. | DK | С | 0.01 | | 0.01 | 0.002 | | 0.002 |
| Brassica napus L. oleifera | CZ | С | 0.05 | | 0.05 | Not used | | Not used |
| Dactylis glomerata L. | DK | C | 0.01 | | 0.01 | 0.002 | | 0.002 |
| Dactylis glomerata L. | FR | | | | | 0.01 | | 0.001 |
| Festuca pratensis Huds. | DK | C | 0.01 | | 0.01 | 0.002 | | 0.002 |
| | FR | С | | | | 0.01 | | 0.001 |
| Festuca arundinacea Schreb. Bromus catharticus Vahl, Bromus sitchensis Trin., Bromus auleticus Trin. Medicago sativa L., Medicago X varia Martyn Vicia faba L. | FR | С | 0.01 | | 0.01 | 0.01 | | 0.001 |
| Linum usitatissimum L. | NL | С | 0.05 | | 0.05 | | | |
| Agrostis spp. | Done in NL for FR | C | | | | | | |
| Festuca ovina L. sensu lato F. rubra L. | $\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Done} & \text{in} & DE \\ \text{for} & FR \end{array}$ | C | | | | | | |
| Lolium multiflorum Lam L. perenne L. hybrids | Done in UK or DE (Italian Rye-grass annual type only) for FR | C | | | 0.01 | 0.01 | | 0.001 |
| Lotus corniculatus L. | Done in DE for FR | С | 0.01 | | | | | 0.001 |
| Phleum pratense L. Phleum bertolonii DC. | Done in DK for FR | С | | | | | | |
| Trifolium pratense L. | Done in DE for FR | С | | | | | | |
| Trifolium repens L. | Done in UK for FR | С | | | | | | |

TWC/21/10 Annex, page 3

Case D Test is conducted over 3 cycles and decisions made after 3 cycles, but a variety may be accepted or rejected after 2 cycles

| | | | COYD probability levels | | | COYU probability levels | | | |
|--|---------|------|-------------------------|------------------|----------|-------------------------|-----------|----------|--|
| Species | Country | CASE | p_{d2} | p _{nd2} | p_{d3} | p_{u2} | p_{nu2} | p_{u3} | |
| Vicia faba L. var. minor Raphanus sativus L. var. oleiformis Pers. | DE | D | 0.01 | 0.05 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.002 | 0.002 | |
| Danasias manus I alaifans | DE | D | 0.01 | 0.05 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.002 | 0.002 | |
| Brassica napus L. oleifera | FI | D | | 0.01 | | 0.01 | 0.001 | 0.01 | |
| Brassica rapa L. var rapa L. | FI | D | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.001 | 0.01 | |
| Trifolium pratense L. | DE | D | 0.01 | 0.05 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.002 | 0.002 | |
| Clovers | FI | D | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.001 | 0.01 | |
| Secale cereale L. Mustard Phleum pratense L. Phleum bertolonii Festuca rubra L. Festuca pratensis Huds. Festuca ovina L. sensu lato Ryegrass | DE | D | 0.01 | 0.05 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.002 | 0.002 | |
| Grasses | FI | D | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.001 | 0.01 | |

[End of Annex and of document]