

TWA/45/12 Add.
ORIGINAL: English
DATE: June 24, 2016

INTERNATIONAL UNION FOR THE PROTECTION OF NEW VARIETIES OF PLANTS

Geneva

TECHNICAL WORKING PARTY FOR AGRICULTURAL CROPS

Forty-Fifth Session Mexico City, Mexico, July 11 to 15, 2016

ADDENDUM TO

REVISION OF DOCUMENT TGP/8: PART II: SELECTED TECHNIQUES USED IN DUS EXAMINATION, NEW SECTION: DATA PROCESSING FOR THE ASSESSMENT OF DISTINCTNESS AND FOR PRODUCING VARIETY DESCRIPTIONS

Document prepared by experts from Italy and Finland

Disclaimer: this document does not represent UPOV policies or guidance

The Annex to this document contains a copy of a presentation on "Genotype by Environment Interaction (GEI) - DUS test and data transformation into notes" to be made at the forty-fifth session of the Technical Working Party or Agricultural Crops (TWA).

[Annex follows]

ANNEX

GENOTYPE BY ENVIROMENT INTERACTION (GEI) - DUS TEST AND DATA TRANSFORMATION INTO NOTES

UPOV TWC Shanghai • China 7-10 June 2016

Genotype by Environment Interaction (GEI) - DUS test and data transformation into notes

Maurizio Giolo CREA SCS (ITALY) Sami Markkanen EVIRA (FINLAND)

The «ambiguity» of the Characteristic

The term «characteristic» is often used in various contexts, but the intended meaning may be different: "genetic" "observed" "measured", etc.

For example:

The measure of "plant length" may be considered a "genetic characteristic" but it is actually a "phenotipic expression of a specific year".

Genotype x environment interaction takes place when different genotypes respond in a different way to different environments.

The use of the wrong type of expression of a characteristic may influence statistics. It is not a responsibility of statisticians - they work with data - the cause is upstream.

Qualitative and Quantitative characteristics

Qualitative characteristics QL

- Phenotypes easy to measure
- Mendelian inheritance (monogene)
- Limited environmental effect

Example: French bean [thar. 3 - Plant: growth type]


Quantitative characteristics QN

- Continuous range of variation
- Complex inheritance (polygene)
- Significative environmental effect


Examples: height, width and lengthin plants or plan organs

3

Relationship Genotype - Phenotype




Graphic view of main GEI cases




Environments: E1, E2 (e.g. years)


Finland DUS herbage trials: examples of GEI over 7 years



Italian DUS herbage trials: environmental effect but no interaction



Italian DUS herbage trials: example of GEI



Effects of GEI on trials

Many studies have shown that plant species may produce a **broad range of phenotypes** in response to the variation in the environment.

If a characteristic (e.g. QN) is influenced by the environment its measures over years may change because of GEI.

To limit the environmental influence and, consequently, the number of the possible phenotypes, the use of **one permanent location for DUS trials as «reference location»** is recommended.

.

'Example varieties' and 'Delineating reference varieties' USE and LIMITATIONS

Example varieties and Delineating reference varieties are state references that are used as comparisons of varietal descriptions. Their use can be appropriate but it should be treated carefully because changes of rank over years are possible when characteristics are influenced by the environment.

The ability of a single genotype to produce multiple phenotypes in response to the environment is called "phenotypic plasticity" while the opposite is "stability".

A stable genotype tends to maintain constant QN characteristics across environments.

Example varieties and Delineating reference varieties 'Use and Limitations'

Example varieties and Delineating reference varieties should be as stable as possible.




An estimator of varietal stability over years for QN characteristics is the **coefficient of variation** (CV). It is defined as the ratio of the standard deviation to the mean and it can also be expressed as a percentage:

$$CV = (SD/Mean) * 100$$

-

Finland herbage trials - Boxplot of lenght of stem of 14 Meadow fescue varieties, Years 2004-2012 (EVIRA)





Comment of slide 12

Boxplots describe graphically data dispersion through their quartiles. Every box spans from the first quartile (Q1) to the third quartile (Q3). Two whiskers are extended from the top and the bottom of the box to the highest and lowest non-outlier value, respectively. The horizontal line drawn at Q2 is the median.

The slide 12 "length of stem" of 14 Meadow fescue varieties shows the spread of data over 12 years and the skewness of some of them towards either extreme.

Usually the character "length of stem" is one of grass characteristics with largest variation. Visually, Finnish data do not seem to display great differences in variation among varieties. Probably the climatic conditions they were exposed to were quite stable.

47

Coefficient of variation (CV %) of 14 Meadow fescue varieties Years 2004-2012 - EVIRA - Finland

«Example and Delineating reference varieties» with low CV % are recommended.

Varieties	cv %
Sigmund	9,44
SW Minto	10,17
iógeva 47	10,18
Ami	10,28
Tyko	10,42
Ste IIa	10,51
Preval	10,71
Cosmos	10,72
aura	10,78
Senu	10,92
lmari	11,28
Danimo	11,36
Casper	11,60
Salten	12,03
Calevi	12,35
	-



Use of regional sets of Example varieties

Databases can be increased by adding new data from candidate, reference and foreign varieties. Databases can include data of varieties grown and/or tested in a reference location where DUS test takes place.

The use of regional sets of Example varieties is preferable because it enables to compare characteristics of all varieties included in a database, as well as to test how stable a variety is in a specific region.

Where large regions are present in the same country because of very different agro-climatic conditions, more than one reference location is conceivable. In this case we could have more than one description for a single variety due to different phenotypes. Only one description should be the official one.

-

Official description: criteria for choosing

If more than one location is used for DUS trials and more than one description is produced, criteria to choose the official one are needed.

Possible criteria for choosing the 'official Location/description':

- Location in the area with the largest adaptation/cultivation of the species (choice based on the most common phenotype in that area)
- Location where CV range of varieties is the lowest (choice based on stability over years)



Stable averages vs Combining notes

Characteristics are influenced by the environment; because of that, reference and candidate varieties produce different values over years. The frequency of and level of interaction (GEI) of a given variety is unpredictable because they depend on its genetics and on the relative effect of the environment on the set of tested varieties.

For each characteristic a **stable** average of historical means is reached only after several years. Average over years can be considered a good estimation of characteristic measure (even though the 'median' could be more logical where historical averages have skewed distribution). This method is largely used to transform observations into notes.

Immediate transformation of each year mean into a note and then combining notes of trial years is possible as well. In this case, a loss of information may be possible, because a note can summarize many averages.

-

[End of Annex and of document]