TECHNICAL WORKING PARTY FOR AGRICULTURAL CROPS

Forty-Fourth Session

PREPARATORY WORKSHOP

Obihiro, Japan, July 5, 2015

PROGRAM

- 1. Introduction to UPOV and the role of UPOV Technical Working Parties (TWPs)
- 2. Overview of the General Introduction (document TG/1/3 and TGP documents)
 - Characteristics as the Basis for DUS Examination and Selection of Characteristics
- 3. Guidance on drafting Test Guidelines (document TGP/7)
 - a) Subject of the Test Guidelines, Material Required and Method of Examination;
 - b) Method of Observation (MS, MG, VS, VG);
 - c) Types of Expression (QL, PQ, QN), notes and distinctness;
 - d) Shape and Color Characteristics;
 - e) Example Varieties;
 - f) The process for developing UPOV Test Guidelines, including: TG Template; Additional Standard Wording; and Guidance Notes;
- 4. Agenda for the TWP Session
- 5. Feedback from participants

1. INTRODUCTION TO UPOV AND THE ROLE OF UPOV TECHNICAL WORKING PARTIES (TWPS)

UPOV: INDEPENDENT INTERGOVERNMENTAL ORGANIZATION


The International Convention for the Protection of New Varieties of Plants


established in 1961


The International Union for the Protection of New Varieties of Plants


Union internationale pour la protection des obtentions végétales











Role of the BMT The BMT is a group open to DUS experts, biochemical and molecular specialists and plant breeders, whose role is to: Review general developments in biochemical and molecular techniques; (ii) Maintain an awareness of relevant applications of biochemical and molecular techniques in plant breeding; Consider the possible application of biochemical and molecular techniques in DUS testing and report its considerations to the TC; If appropriate, establish guidelines for biochemical and molecular methodologies and their harmonization [...]; Consider initiatives from TWPs, for the establishment of crop specific subgroups [...]; Develop guidelines regarding the management and harmonization of databases of biochemical and molecular information, in conjunction with the TWC: (vii) Receive reports from Crop Subgroups and the BMT Review Group; Provide a forum for discussion on the use of biochemical and molecular techniques in the consideration of essential derivation and variety identification.

2. OVERVIEW OF THE GENERAL
INTRODUCTION
(document TG/1/3 and TGP documents)

a) Characteristics as the Basis for DUS
Examination

b) Selection of Characteristics

2. OVERVIEW OF THE GENERAL INTRODUCTION (document TG/1/3 and TGP documents)

a) Characteristics as the Basis for DUS Examination

b) Selection of Characteristics


THE CONDITIONS FOR GRANTING A BREEDER'S RIGHT Criteria to be satisfied NOVELTY DISTINCTNESS UNIFORMITY STABILITY "DUS"


THE CONDITIONS FOR GRANTING A BREEDER'S RIGHT


Other conditions

- VARIETY DENOMINATION
- FORMALITIES
- PAYMENT OF FEES

NO OTHER CONDITIONS!







2. OVERVIEW OF THE GENERAL
INTRODUCTION
(document TG/1/3 and TGP documents)

a) Characteristics as the Basis for DUS
Examination

b) Selection of Characteristics

"CHARACTERISTICS"

- may have direct commercial relevance
 - Flower color (ornamental)
 - Fruit color
- but commercial relevance NOT required
 - Leaf shape

Selection of Characteristics

The basic requirements that a characteristic should fulfill before it is used for DUS testing or producing a variety description are that its expression (TG/1/3: Section 4.2.1):


- (a) results from a given genotype or combination of genotypes;
- (b) is sufficiently consistent and repeatable in a particular environment;
- (c) exhibits sufficient variation between varieties to be able to establish distinctness;
- (d) is capable of precise definition and recognition;
- (e) allows uniformity requirements to be fulfilled;
- (f) allows **stability requirements** to be fulfilled, meaning that it produces consistent and repeatable results after repeated propagation or, where appropriate, at the end of each cycle of propagation.

Selection of Characteristics


- Yield ???
- Straw strength ???

Etc.

Selection of Charact	eristic	S	
Criteria	Fruit: color	Leaf: shape	Yield
(a) results from a given genotype or combination of genotypes	Yes	Yes	
(b) sufficiently consistent and repeatable in a particular environment	Yes	Yes	
(c) exhibits sufficient variation between varieties to be able to establish distinctness	Yes	Yes	
(d) is capable of precise definition and recognition	Yes	Yes	
(e) allows uniformity requirements to be fulfilled	Yes	Yes	
(f) allows stability requirements to be fulfilled	Yes	Yes	
Commercial value	Yes	No	
ACCEPTABILITY	Yes	Yes	





Special Characte	ristics: Disease Resistance
Criteria	Disease Resistance
(a) results from a given genotype or combination of genotypes	*Knowledge of nature of genetic control of resistance is important
(b) sufficiently consistent and repeatable in a particular environment	*Standardize conditions (greenhouse / laboratory) & methodology *Standardize inoculum *Ring-test
(c) exhibits sufficient variation between varieties to be able to establish distinctness	*Susceptible / Resistant OR varying degrees of resistance?
(d) is capable of precise definition and recognition	*Define and recognize races and strains
(e) allows uniformity requirements to be fulfilled	see above
(f) allows stability requirements to be fulfilled	see above
	Difficult and expensive




TGP/7: "Development of Test Guidelines"


Additional Information and guidance on Asterisked, grouping and TQ characteristics








Function	Criteria
1.Characteristics that are important for the international harmonization of variety descriptions.	1. Must be a characteristic included in the Test Guidelines. 2. Should always be examined for DUS and included in the variety description by all members of the Union EXCEPT when the state of expression of a preceding characteristic or regional environmental conditions render this inappropriate. 3. Must be useful for function 1. 4. Particular care should be taken before selection of disease resistance characteristics.











	Function	Criteria
thar	acteristics in which the documented states of expression, even where recorded at different locations, can be used either individually or in combination with other such characteristics: to select varieties of common knowledge that can be excluded from the growing trial	1.(a) Qualitative characteristics or (b) Quantitative or pseudo-qualitative characteristics which provide useful discrimination between the varieties of common knowledge from documented states of expression recorded at different locations. 2.Must be useful for functions 1 and 2. 3.Should be an asterisked characteristic
	used for examination of distinctness, and/or	and/or included in the Technical Questionnaire or application form.
2.	to organize the growing trial so that similar varieties are grouped together	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,

Relationship between functions

- (a) GROUPING CHARACTERISTICS selected from the Table of Characteristics should, in general, receive an asterisk in the Table of Characteristics and be included in the Technical Questionnaire.
- (b) TO CHARACTERISTICS selected from the Table of Characteristics should, in general, receive an asterisk in the Table of Characteristics and be used as grouping characteristics. TO characteristics are not restricted to those characteristics used as grouping characteristics;
- (c) ASTERISKED CHARACTERISTICS are not restricted to those characteristics selected as grouping or TQ characteristics.

3. GUIDANCE ON DRAFTING TEST GUIDELINES (Document TGP/7)

3. GUIDANCE ON DRAFTING TEST GUIDELINES

a) Subject of the Test Guidelines, Material Required and Method of Examination

UPOV provides guidance by:

- The "General Introduction" (TG/1/3)
 - General technical principles
 - Organization of DUS Testing
 - Associated "TGP" Documents (e.g. statistical methods)


AND

- "Test Guidelines"
 - Species/Crop-specific recommendations developed by crop experts
 - TGP/7 "Development of Test Guidelines" adopted



TGP/7
"Development of Test
Guidelines"

TGP/7: "Development of Test Guidelines" Section 1. Introduction TGP 72_c feeting 1: httroduction TGP 72_c feeting 1: httroduction SECTION 1: INTRODUCTION 1.1 UPOV Test Guidelines as the Basis for the DUS Test The General Introduction (Chapter 2, section 2.2.1) states that "Where UPOV has entablished specific Test Guidelines for a particular species, or other guouply of varieties, these represent an ingress data humanizari apprecis, or other guouply of varieties, these represent an ingress data humanizari apprecis, or other guouply of varieties, these represent an ingress data humanizari apprecis, or other guouply of varieties, and the basis of the DUS Test. Just The indicate and the basis of the DUS Test. The fact state of the sta




10 Chapters of UPOV Test Guidelines

- 1. Subject of the Test Guidelines
- 2. Material Required
- 3. Methods of Examination
- 4. Assessment of Distinctness, Uniformity and Stability
- 5. Grouping of Varieties and Organization of the Growing Trial
- 6. Introduction to the Table of Characteristics
- 7. Table of Characteristics
- 8. Explanation on the Table of Characteristics
- 9. Literature
- 10. Technical Questionnaire


10 Chapters of UPOV Test Guidelines

- 1. Subject of the Test Guidelines
- 2. Material Required
- 3. Methods of Examination
- 4. Assessment of Distinctness, Uniformity and Stability
- 5. Grouping of Varieties and Organization of the Growing Trial
- 6. Introduction to the Table of Characteristics
- 7. Table of Characteristics
- 8. Explanation on the Table of Characteristics
- 9. Literature
- 10. Technical Questionnaire



3. GUIDANCE ON DRAFTING TEST GUIDELINES

b) Method of observation (MS, MG, VS, VG)



Method of Observation

M: Measurement:

an objective observation against a calibrated, linear scale e.g. using a ruler, weighing scales, colorimeter, dates, counts, etc.);

V: Visual observation:

includes observations where the expert uses reference points (e.g. diagrams, example varieties, side-by-side comparison) or non-linear charts (e.g. color charts).

"Visual" observation refers to the sensory observations of the expert and, therefore, also includes smell, taste and touch.

TGP/9/1 "Examining Distinctness"									
Type of expression of characteristic									
Method of propagation of the variety	QL (QUAL itatative)	PQ (PSEUDO qualitative)	QN (QUANT itative)						
Vegetatively propagated, self-pollinated	Notes (VG)	Notes (VG) Side-by-side (VG)	Notes (VG/MG/MS) Side-by-side (VG) Statistics (MG/MS)						
Cross-pollinated	Notes (VG) Statistics (VS*)	Notes (VG) Side-by-side (VG) Statistics (VS*)	Statistics ([MG]/MS/VS) Side-by-side (VG) Notes (VG/MG/MS)						
Hybrids	Notes (VG) Statistics (VS*)	Notes (VG) Side-by-side (VG) Statistics (VS*)	**						

TGP/9/1 "Examining Distinctness"								
	V= Visual o	observation						
	Туре о	f expression of characte	ristic					
Method of propagatior of the variety	QL (QUAL itatative)	PQ (PSEUDO qualitative)	QN (QUANT itative)					
Vegetatively propagated, Self-pollinated	Notes (VG)	Notes (VG) Side-by-side (VG)	Notes (VG/MG/MS) Side-by-side (VG) Statistics (MG/MS)					
Cross-pollinated	Notes (VG) Statistics (VS*)	Notes (VG) Side-by-side (VG) Statistics (VS*)	Statistics ([MG]/MS/VS) Side-by-side (VG) Notes (VG/MG/MS)					
Hybrids	Notes (VG) Statistics (VS*)	Notes (VG) Side-by-side (VG) Statistics (VS*)	**					


TGP/9/1 "Examining Distinctnote V= Visual observation or M= Measurement						
	Туре	of expression of char	a	cteristic		
Method of propagation of the variety	QL (QUAL itatative)	PQ (PSEUDO qualitative)		Q <mark>N</mark> (QUANT itative)		
Vegetatively propagated, self-pollinated	Notes (VG)	Notes (VG) Side-by-side (VG)		Notes (VG/MG/MS) Side-by-side (VG) Statistics (MG/MS)		
Cross-pollinated	Notes (VG) Statistics (VS*)	Notes (VG) Side-by-side (VG) Statistics (VS*)		Statistics ([MG]/MS/VS) Side-by-side (VG) Notes (VG/MG/MS)		
Hybrids	Notes (VG) Statistics (VS*)	Notes (VG) Side-by-side (VG) Statistics (VS*)		**		


Type of Record (for the purposes of distinctness)


single record for a variety, or a GROUP of plants or parts of plants;

In most cases, "G" provides a single record per variety and it is not possible or necessary to apply statistical methods in a plant-by-plant analysis for the assessment of distinctness.

<u>s</u>: records for a number of SINGLE, individual plants or parts of plants ...







EXERCISE

3. GUIDANCE ON DRAFTING TEST GUIDELINES


c) Types of Expression (QL, PQ, QN), notes and distinctness; TYPE OF EXPRESSION OF CHARACTERISTICS
(QL, QN, PQ)

Types of Expression

QL: QUALITATIVE

QN: QUANTITATIVE


PQ: PSEUDO-QUALITATIVE




QUALITATIVE Characteristics

"Qualitative characteristics" are those that are **expressed in discontinuous states** (e.g. sex of plant: dioecious female (1), dioecious male (2), monoecious unisexual (3), monoecious hermaphrodite (4)).

These states are self-explanatory and independently meaningful. All states are necessary to describe the full range of the characteristic, and every form of expression can be described by a single state. The order of states is not important. As a rule, the **characteristics are not influenced by environment**.





Anthocyanin coloration: QL (=absent / present)? NO! Variety A Variety B Variety C Environment A absent present Environment B absent present Absent present present



QUANTITATIVE Characteristics


"Quantitative characteristics" are those where the expression covers the full range of variation from one extreme to the other. The **expression can be recorded on a one-dimensional, continuous or discrete, linear scale**. The range of expression is divided into a number of states for the purpose of description (e.g. length of stem: very short (1), short (3), medium (5), long (7), very long (9)). The division seeks to provide, as far as is practical, an even distribution across the scale. The Test Guidelines do not specify the difference needed for distinctness. The states of expression should, however, be meaningful for DUS assessment.








PSEUDO-QUALITATIVE Characteristics

In the case of "pseudo-qualitative characteristics," the range of expression is at least partly continuous, but varies in more than one dimension (e.g. shape: ovate (1), elliptic (2), circular (3), obovate (4)) and cannot be adequately described by just defining two ends of a linear range. In a similar way to qualitative (discontinuous) characteristics — hence the term "pseudo-qualitative" — each individual state of expression needs to be identified to adequately describe the range of the characteristic.









EXERCISE


NOTES and DISTINCTNESS according to TYPE OF EXPRESSION (QL, PQ, QN)


Types of Expression

QL: QUALITATIVE

QN: QUANTITATIVE

PQ: PSEUDO-QUALITATIVE





Qualitative Characteristics: **distinctness**

In qualitative characteristics, the difference between two varieties may be considered clear if one or more characteristics have expressions that fall into **two different states in the Test Guidelines**. Varieties should not be considered distinct for a qualitative characteristic if they have the same state of expression.

(e.g. sex of plant: dioecious female (1), dioecious male (2), monoecious unisexual (3), monoecious hermaphrodite (4)).

Types of Expression


OL: OUALITATIVE


ON: OHANTITATIVE

PQ: PSEUDO-QUALITATIVE

PSEUDO-QUALITATIVE Characteristics

In the case of "pseudo-qualitative characteristics," the range of expression is at least partly continuous, but varies in more than one dimension (e.g. shape: ovate (1), elliptic (2), circular (3), obovate (4)) and cannot be adequately described by just defining two ends of a linear range. In a similar way to qualitative (discontinuous) characteristics — hence the term "pseudo-qualitative" — each individual state of expression needs to be identified to adequately describe the range of the characteristic.






PSEUDO-QUALITATIVE Characteristics (typical examples) 24. Flower: color of the Fleur: conleur du centre (+) PQ green vert grûn verde 1 yellow jauue gelb amarilo 2 orange orange orange naranja 3 punk rose rosa 14 red rouge rot rojo 5 purple pourpre purpurn púrpura 6

Pseudo-Qualitative Characteristics: distinctness

A different state in the Test Guidelines may not be sufficient to establish distinctness (see also section 5.5.2.3). However, in certain circumstances, varieties described by the same state of expression may be clearly distinguishable.



Types of Expression

QL: QUALITATIVE

QN: QUANTITATIVE


PQ: PSEUDO-QUALITATIVE


QUANTITATIVE Characteristics

"Quantitative characteristics" are those where the expression covers the full range of variation from one extreme to the other. The **expression can be recorded on a one-dimensional, continuous or discrete, linear scale**. The range of expression is divided into a number of states for the purpose of description (e.g. length of stem: very short (1), short (3), medium (5), long (7), very long (9)). The division seeks to provide, as far as is practical, an even distribution across the scale. The Test Guidelines do not specify the difference needed for distinctness. The states of expression should, however, be meaningful for DUS assessment.


Quantitative Characteristics: distinctness


Quantitative characteristics are considered for distinctness according to the method of observation and the features of propagation of the variety concerned...














NOTES

versus

SIDE-BY-SIDE COMPARISON

(Quantitative characteristics)

TGP/9/1 "Examining Distinctness"

5.2 Approaches for assessing distinctness

5.2.1 Introduction

- 5.2.1.1 Approaches for assessment of distinctness based on the growing trial can be summarized as follows:
 - (a) **Side-by-side visual comparison** in the growing trial (see Section 5.2.2):
 - (b) Assessment by Notes / single variety records ("Notes"): the assessment of distinctness is based on the recorded state of expression of the characteristics of the variety

(see Section 5.2.3);

(c) Statistical analysis of growing trial data:

Quantitative Characteristics: distinctness


The General Introduction explains that, in the case of visually observed quantitative characteristics:

"5.5.2.2.2 A direct comparison between two similar varieties is always recommended, since direct pairwise comparisons are the most reliable. In each comparison, a difference between two varieties is acceptable as soon as it can be assessed visually and could be measured, although such measurement might be impractical or require unreasonable effort."

TGP/9/1 "Examining Distinctness"

5.2.3.1.2 Where the requirements for distinctness assessment by Notes / single variety records are met it would usually also be possible to make a side-by-side visual comparison. However, in the case of assessment by Notes / single variety records, such proximity is not required, which is a particular advantage where the growing trial contains a large number of varieties and where there are limited possibilities for ensuring that all similar varieties are grouped together in the growing trial....

On the other hand, because the varieties are not the subject of a side-by-side visual comparison, the difference required between varieties as a basis for distinctness is, with the exception of qualitative characteristics (see below), somewhat greater.




Quantitative Characteristics: **distinctness**


Quantitative characteristics are considered for distinctness according to the method of observation and the features of propagation of the variety concerned.

<u>Test Guidelines</u> (TGP/7 proposed revised text)

Difference of **two Notes to represent a clear difference if** the **comparison** between two varieties is performed **at the level of Notes**:

WHY?







Quantitative Characteristics: distinctness


Quantitative characteristics are considered for distinctness according to the method of observation and the features of propagation of the variety

Test Guidelines (TGP/7 proposed revised text)

Difference of **two Notes to represent a clear difference if** the **comparison** between two varieties is performed **at the level of Notes**:








3. GUIDANCE ON DRAFTING TEST GUIDELINES


d) Shape and Color Characteristics


TGP/14: Shape


Characteristics related to shape, could use the following:


- •Overall shape: e.g. ovate (1), elliptic (2), circular (3), obovate (4)...
- •Individual components of shape
 - Ratio length/ width (from low to high)
 - Postion of broadest part
 - Shape of base
 - Shape of apex
 - Lateral outline














TGP/14: Color Single color

- A single color has the lowest precision to describe the state of expression.
- Example: Flower: color: white (1); yellow (2); orange (3); red (4)

TGP/14: Color Color range

- (a) In color combinations the second color indicates the predominant color with blending of both colors, resulting in what can look like a single color. For example in 'green red' the predominant color is red and in 'red green' the predominant color is green.
- Example: Flower: color: white (1); yellow white (2); yellow (3); yellow orange (4); orange (5)
- (b) The use of "ish" in color combinations indicates that there is a predominant color (e.g. yellow) together with another minor color. For example,
- yellowish, covers all colors which are predominantly yellow (would include, for example, white yellow; brown yellow; orange yellow; etc.)
- yellowish green covers all colors which are predominantly green with some yellow (would include, for example, white yellow green; brown yellow green; orange yellow green etc.)
- Example: Flower: color: whitish (1); yellowish (2); greenish (3)

TGP/14: Color Intensity

- Depending on the organ described, the intensity can be presented either in relation to a single color or in combination with different colors (example 2).
- Example 1: Leaf: green color of upper side: light (3); medium (5); dark (9)
- Example 2: Flower: color: white (1); light yellow (2); medium yellow (3); dark yellow (4); orange (5)

TGP/14: Color Color Chart

- The "RHS Colour Chart" because of its worldwide availability.

 5 editions of this color chart, dating from 1966, 1986, 1995, 2001 and 2007.

 Reference number of the RHS color, color name and edition of the chart to b mentioned.

 UPOV names for colors in ANNEX.

 Other color charts might also be appropriate.
- "Because daylight varies, color determinations made against a color chart should be made either in a suitable cabinet providing artificial daylight or in the middle of the day in a room without direct sunlight. The spectral distribution of the illuminant for artificial daylight should conform with the CIE Standard of Preferred Daylight D 6500 and should fall within the tolerances set out in the British Standard 950, Part I. These determinations should be made with the plant part placed against a white background".
- Observations should not be made in direct sunlight. The observations should be made on a cloudy day with sufficient light intensity, or in a shaded area.

			·	HS Color in RHS F					
RHS COLORS (RHS COLOUR CHART, EDITIONS 1986, 1995, 2001 AND 2007)									
BY UPOV COLOR GROUPS									
UPOV	No. RHS	English	français	deutsch	español				
roup No.	140. 14110	Liigiiaii	ilaliçais	ubutacii					
11	001A	yellow	jaune	gelb	amarillo				
5	001B	yellow green	vert-jaune	gelbgrün	verde amarillento				
5	001C	yellow green	vert-jaune	gelbgrün	verde amarillento				
5	001D	yellow green	vert-jaune	gelbgrün	verde amarillento				
11	002A	yellow	jaune	gelb	amarillo				
11	002B	yellow	jaune	gelb	amarillo				
5	002C	yellow green	vert-jaune	gelbgrün	verde amarillento				
5	002D	yellow green	vert-jaune	gelbgrün	verde amarillento				
11	003A	yellow	jaune	gelb	amarillo				
11	003B	yellow	jaune	gelb	amarillo				
11	003C	yellow	jaune	gelb	amarillo				
5	003D	yellow green	vert-jaune	gelbgrün	verde amarillento				
11	004A	yellow	jaune	gelb	amarillo				
11	004B	yellow	jaune	gelb	amarillo				
5	004C	yellow green	vert-jaune	gelbgrün	verde amarillento				
10	004D	light yellow	jaune clair	hellgelb	amarillo claro				
11	005A	yellow	jaune	gelb	amarillo				
11	005B	yellow	jaune	gelb	amarillo				
11	005C	yellow	jaune	gelb	amarillo				
10	005D	light yellow	jaune clair	hellgelb	amarillo claro				
11	006A	yellow	jaune	gelb	amarillo				
11	006B	yellow	jaune	gelb	amarillo				
11	006C	yellow	jaune	gelb	amarillo				
10	006D	light yellow	jaune clair	hellgelb	amarillo claro				
11	007A	yellow	jaune	gelb	amarillo				
11	007B	yellow	jaune	gelb	amarillo				
11	007C	yellow	jaune	gelb	amarillo				
11	007D	vellow	iaune	aelb	amarillo				

TGP/14: Color Order of states of expression

- normally presented in the following order: white, green, yellow, orange, pink, red, purple, violet, blue, brown, black
- chronological appearance of the color (e.g. as the fruit ripens)

TGP/14: Color APPROACHES TO DESCRIBE COLORS AND COLOR PATTERNS

- depends on the number of colors...
- the types of color distribution...
- and the number of color patterns possible for the species concerned.

TGP/14: Color


Approach according to the size of the surface area

- (a) only a few colors, a few types of color distribution and a few patterns to be described,
- the colors are described according to the size of the surface area they cover

TGP/14: Color Approach according to tissue layers

- one layer is covering the other:
- (a) Ground color (not always the largest surface area):
 - (i) the first color to appear chronologically.
 - (ii) has a continuous dispersion across the surface.
- (b) Over color (not always occupying the smallest surface area):
 - a second color, such as a flush, spots or blotches developed over time.

		APP	LE –	TG/1	4/9		
35. (*)		Fruit: ground color		37. (*)		Fruit: hue of over color – with bloom removed	
PQ	(f)	not visible	1	PQ	(f)	orange red	1
		whitish yellow	2			pink red	2
		yellow	3			red	3
	whitish green 4					purple red	4
	yellow green		5			brown red	5
		green	6				




TGP/14: Color

Approach according to defined parts of an organ

- (a) If the different parts of a plant organ can have different colors, the color of these different parts can be described separately.
- Example:
- Petal: color of margin Petal: color of middle zone
- Petal: color of base
- (b) When an organ has one color with different intensities, the parts of the organ which are lighter or darker could be described as follows:
- Ray floret: color distribution on upper side:

 lighter towards base (1); even (2); lighter towards apex (3)




Approach according to the RHS Colour Chart number ("Lisbon" approach)


- · All colors of the plant part concerned are assessed using the RHS Colour Charts first.
- The color should first be described, followed by:


 - distribution.
 - Pattern
 - conspicuousness of the color (if necessary).
- · The same sequence should be followed for color two, color three and so on. I








3. GUIDANCE ON DRAFTING TEST GUIDELINES e) Example Varieties












Example Varieties – the need in characteristics used to harmonize descriptions and which are influenced by the environment



3. GUIDANCE ON DRAFTING TEST GUIDELINES

f) The process for developing UPOV Test Guidelines, including: TG Template; Additional Standard Wording; and Guidance Notes;

Genera and Species

- >3,450 genera and species with varieties examined for PBR
- >3,305 genera and species for which UPOV members have practical DUS experience
- 313 Test Guidelines adopted

Note: 313 Test Guidelines estimated to cover 90% of PBR-related varieties in UPOV Plant Variety Database

PRIORITY for UPOV Test Guidelines

PRIORITY for species or crops with high:

- number of authorities receiving PBR applications;
- number of PBR applications;
- number of foreign applications received by UPOV members;
- economic importance;
- level of breeding activity

EXAMPLE (New Test Guidelines)

Test Guidelines: *Plantus magnifica* L.

(Common name: Alpha)

Technical Working Party: TWX

TWX (2013):
TWX (2014):
Alpha (proj.2)
Alpha (proj.3)
Alpha (proj.3)
Alpha (proj.3)
Alpha (proj.4)
Alpha (proj.4)
Alpha (proj.5)
Technical Committee (2016):
Alpha (proj.5)
Tig/500/1

4. AGENDA for the TWP Session

Sunday	Mor	aday	Tue	sday	Weds	iesday	Thu	rsday	Friday
[TECHNICAL WORKSHOP] (optional)	Reports on developmen	sts in PVP			development types and species		Databases, Electronic application systems Exchangeable software		
COFFEE	COF	TEE	COFFEE		COF	TEE	COE	YEE	COFFEE
[TECHNICAL WORKSHOP] (optional)	Reports (Continuation) Molecular techniques		TGP docum developmen		Reom.1 Reom.2 Uniformity met Test Test development Guidelines subgroup Subgroup		Uniformity method development		Recommendations on Test Guidelines
	LUS	СН	LUNCH LUNCH LUNCH		NCH	LUNCH			
PREPARATORY WORKSHOP	Room 1 Test Guidelines subgroup	Room 2 Test Guidelines subgroup	Room 1 Test Guidelines subgroup	Room 2 Test Guidelines subgroup	TECHNICAL VISIT		Room 1 Test Guidelines subgroup	Room 2 Test Guidelines subgroup	Future program Adoption of report
COFFEE	COF	TEE	COF	FEE			COFFEE		*
PREPARATORY WORKSHOP	Room 1 Test Guidelines subgroup	Room 2 Test Guidelines subgroup	Room 1 Test Guidelines subgroup	Room 2 Test Guidelines subgroup			Room 1 Test Guidelines subgroup	Room 2 Test Guidelines subgroup	END OF SESSION
	Continuation		RECEPTION				Conta	nution	

EXCHANGING INFORMATION

	Sunday, July 5	Monday, July 6 Start 9.00	Tuenday, July 7 Start 6.30	Wednesday, July 8 Start 6.30	Thursday, July 9 Start 6:30	Friday, July 18 Start 8.30
6E.56		Determing Adoption of the particle Control from Date and place of next assign Date and place of next assign Purity of the particle of	10H Secureta LOPES TOPY: Development of Test Guidelines Regional Sets of Example Variatios CIDA/46E10 TOPY: The Secure of Example Variatios CIDA/46E10 TOPY: The Secure of Example Variatios CIDA/46E10 TOPY: The Secure of Example Variatios Assessing uniformly by edifyee on CIDA/46E10 TOPY: The Test of Example Commission Topy: The Commission Commission Topy: The Commission Commission The Commissi	IDP documents (IDPCE) The Combined Comment Uniformity Distance (COVIL/CENSESS) Distance (COVIII/CENSESS) Distance (COVIII/CENSES) Distanc	4. Administration and CAMASHED CAMASHED CAMASHED CAMASHED LONG CAMASHED LONG CAMASHED CAMASHE	Information and distributes JUPO's information destinates JUPO's information destinates TX3.0445 United Security of the American JUPO's information destinates JUPO's information JUPO'
10.30		COFFEE	COFFEE	COFFEE	COFFEE	COFFEE
1.00		Dear (reads on a revisioners) in DRI (parts) i	XE Location Report of the Repo		Roam 1 Caten (Eb)	19. Goulance for distinct of Test Goulance (TWA-MAIL) 17. Future recognition 18. Adoption of record 19. Couring of the session
12.38		LUNCH	LUNCH		LUNCH	LUNCH
14.00		Basm.1 Entrole (AR)	Room.1 Field Sean (SR)	Eield Trip Departure from hotel: 12:50 Return to hotel: 10:30	Beam 1 Quince (DK)	Closing tom
15.30		COFFEE	COFFEE	Return to hoter, 16.30	COFFEE	
16.00	PREPARATORY WORKSHOP (14 00 = 17 00)	Basm.1 "Wheat (FR)	ficam.1 Oats (ES)		Sesecue	
17.36		Basecus	Official dioner (informal) 18.50		Season	

AN OPPORTUNITY for TRAINING