



INTERNATIONAL UNION FOR THE PROTECTION OF NEW VARIETIES OF PLANTS
GENEVA

DRAFT

Associated Document
to the
General Introduction to the Examination
of Distinctness, Uniformity and Stability and the
Development of Harmonized Descriptions of New Varieties of Plants (document TG/1/3)

DOCUMENT TGP/5

“EXPERIENCE AND COOPERATION IN DUS TESTING”

Section 7: UPOV Interim Report on Technical Examination

as adopted by the Technical Committee on October 6, 1989
reproduced from TC/XXV/12, Annex, page 5

Document prepared by the Office of the Union

to be considered by the
Technical Working Party for Agricultural Crops at its thirty-sixth session,
to be held in Budapest, Hungary, from May 28 to June 1, 2007

Technical Working Party for Vegetables at its forty-first session,
to be held in Nairobi, Kenya, from June 11 to 15, 2007

Technical Working Party for Ornamental Plants and Forest Trees at its fortieth session,
to be held in Kunming, China, from July 2 to 6, 2007

Technical Working Party for Fruit Crops at its thirty-eighth session,
to be held in Jeju, Republic of Korea, from July 9 to 13, 2007

Technical Working Party on Automation and Computer Programs at its twenty-fifth session,
to be held in Sibiu, Romania, from September 3 to 6, 2007

Administrative and Legal Committee at its fifty-sixth session,
to be held in Geneva on October 22 and 23, 2007

TC/XXV/12

Annex, page 5

UPOV INTERIM REPORT ON TECHNICAL EXAMINATION

1. Reference number of reporting authority
 2. Requesting authority
 3. Reference number of requesting authority
 4. Breeder's reference
 5. Date of application in requesting State member of the Union.....
 6. Applicant (Breeder)¹ (name and address)
 7. Agent (name and address) (if applicable)
-
8. Botanical name of taxon
 9. Common name of taxon
 - [new] UPOV code
 10. Variety denomination
 11. Original² Breeder (name and address)
 12. Testing authority
 13. Testing station(s) and place(s)
 14. Period of testing
 15. Date and place of issue of document
 16. GENERAL INFORMATION
 - (a) No plant material received []
 - (b) Requirements for plant material not met []
 - (c) Test failed, observations: []

(please provide details in separate Annex if necessary)
 17. RESULTS OF THE EXAMINATION
 - (a) No remarks []
 - (b) Remarks []

(please provide details in separate Annex if necessary)
 18. The final examination report will be forwarded by (approximate date).....
 19. Note: The above interim report does not prejudice the final report.
 20. Signature

[End of Section 7]

¹ The “applicant” should be the “breeder” according to the definition of “breeder” in Article 1(iv) of the 1991 Act of the UPOV Convention which is:

“ – the person who bred, or discovered and developed, a variety,
– the person who is the employer of the aforementioned person or who has commissioned the latter’s work, where the laws of the relevant Contracting Party so provide, or
– the successor in title of the first or second aforementioned person, as the case may be”.

² In this document, the term “original breeder” should be understood as the person who bred, or discovered and developed, the variety. The term “person” in Article 1(iv) of the 1991 Act of the UPOV Convention should be understood as embracing both physical and legal persons (e.g. companies).