

UPOV

TG/ROSEMARY (proj.1)

ORIGINAL: English

DATE: 2003-06-18

INTERNATIONAL UNION FOR THE PROTECTION OF NEW VARIETIES OF PLANTS

GENEVA

DRAFT

ROSEMARY

(Rosmarinus officinalis L.)

GUIDELINES

FOR THE CONDUCT OF TESTS

FOR DISTINCTNESS, UNIFORMITY AND STABILITY

*to be considered by the
Technical Working Party for Vegetables at its thirty-seventh session,
to be held in Roelofarendsveen, Netherlands, from June 23 to 27, 2003*

Alternative Names: *

<i>Latin</i>	<i>English</i>	<i>French</i>	<i>German</i>	<i>Spanish</i>
<i>Rosmarinus officinalis L.</i>	Rosemary	Romarin officinal	Rosmarin	Romero, Rosmarino

ASSOCIATED DOCUMENTS

These guidelines should be read in conjunction with document TG/1/3, "General Introduction to the Examination of Distinctness, Uniformity and Stability and the Development of Harmonized Descriptions of New Varieties of Plants" (hereinafter referred to as the "General Introduction") and its associated "TGP" documents.

* These names were correct at the time of the introduction of these Test Guidelines but may be revised or updated. [Readers are advised to consult the UPOV Code, which can be found on the UPOV Website (www.upov.int), for the latest information.]

<u>TABLE OF CONTENTS</u>	<u>PAGE</u>
1. SUBJECT OF THESE TEST GUIDELINES	3
2. MATERIAL REQUIRED	3
3. METHOD OF EXAMINATION	3
3.1 Duration of Tests	3
3.2 Testing Place	3
3.3 Conditions for Conducting the Examination	3
3.4 Test Design	4
3.5 Number of Plants / Parts of Plants to be Examined	4
3.6 Additional Tests	4
4. ASSESSMENT OF DISTINCTNESS, UNIFORMITY AND STABILITY	4
4.1 Distinctness	4
4.2 Uniformity	5
4.3 Stability	5
5. GROUPING OF VARIETIES AND ORGANIZATION OF THE GROWING TRIAL	5
6. INTRODUCTION TO THE TABLE OF CHARACTERISTICS	6
6.1 Categories of Characteristics	6
6.2 States of Expression and Corresponding Notes	6
6.3 Types of Expression	6
6.4 Example Varieties	7
6.5 Legend	7
7. TABLE OF CHARACTERISTICS/TABLEAU DES CARACTÈRES/MERKMALSTABELLE/TABLA DE CARACTERES	8
8. EXPLANATIONS ON THE TABLE OF CHARACTERISTICS	18
9. LITERATURE	19
10. TECHNICAL QUESTIONNAIRE	20

1. Subject of these Test Guidelines

These Test Guidelines apply to all varieties of *Rosmarinus officinalis* L..

2. Material Required

2.1 The competent authorities decide on the quantity and quality of the plant material required for testing the variety and when and where it is to be delivered. Applicants submitting material from a State other than that in which the testing takes place must ensure that all customs formalities and phytosanitary requirements are complied with.

2.2 The material is to be supplied in the form of seed.

2.3 The minimum quantity of plant material, to be supplied by the applicant, should be:

? seeds or ? g.

2.4 The seed should meet the minimum requirements for germination, species and analytical purity, health and moisture content, specified by the competent authority. [In cases where the seed is to be stored, the germination capacity should be as high as possible and should, be stated by the applicant.]

2.5 The plant material supplied should be visibly healthy, not lacking in vigor, nor affected by any important pest or disease.

2.6 The plant material should not have undergone any treatment which would affect the expression of the characteristics of the variety, unless the competent authorities allow or request such treatment. If it has been treated, full details of the treatment must be given.

3. Method of Examination

3.1 *Duration of Tests*

The minimum duration of tests should normally be two independent [similar] growing cycles.

3.2 *Testing Place*

The tests should normally be conducted at one place. If any characteristics of the variety, which are relevant for the examination of DUS, cannot be observed at that place, the variety may be tested at an additional place.

3.3 *Conditions for Conducting the Examination*

The tests should be carried out under conditions ensuring satisfactory growth for the expression of the relevant characteristics of the variety and for the conduct of the examination.

3.3.x Type of observation – visual or measurement

The recommended method of observing the characteristic is indicated by the following key in the second column of the Table of Characteristics:

MG: single measurement of a group of plants or parts of plants

MS: measurement of a number of individual plants or parts of plants

VG: visual assessment by a single observation of a group of plants or parts of plants

VS: visual assessment by observation of individual plants or parts of plants

3.4 *Test Design*

3.4.1 Each test should be designed to result in a total of at least ?? plants, which should be divided between two or more replicates].

3.4.2 The design of the tests should be such that plants or parts of plants may be removed for measurement or counting without prejudice to the observations which must be made up to the end of the growing cycle.

3.5 *Number of Plants / Parts of Plants to be Examined*

Unless otherwise indicated, all observations should be made on ?? plants or parts taken from each of ?? plants.

3.6 *Additional Tests*

Additional tests, for examining relevant characteristics, may be established.

4. Assessment of Distinctness, Uniformity and Stability

4.1 *Distinctness*

4.1.1 General Recommendations

It is of particular importance for users of these Test Guidelines to consult the General Introduction prior to making decisions regarding distinctness. However, the following points are provided for elaboration or emphasis in these Test Guidelines.

4.1.2 Consistent Differences

The minimum duration of tests recommended in section 3.1 reflects, in general, the need to ensure that any differences in a characteristic are sufficiently consistent.

4.1.3 Clear Differences

Determining whether a difference between two varieties is clear depends on many factors, and should consider, in particular, the type of expression of the characteristic being examined, i.e. whether it is expressed in a qualitative, quantitative, or pseudo-qualitative manner. Therefore, it is important that users of these Test Guidelines are familiar with the recommendations contained in the General Introduction prior to making decisions regarding distinctness.

4.2 Uniformity

4.2.1] It is of particular importance for users of these Test Guidelines to consult the General Introduction prior to making decisions regarding uniformity. However, the following points are provided for elaboration or emphasis in these Test Guidelines:

[4.2.x] **ASW 8** [The assessment of uniformity [for cross-pollinated varieties] should be according to the recommendations for cross-pollinated varieties in the General Introduction.]

[4.2.x] [The assessment of uniformity for hybrid varieties depends on the type of hybrid and should be according to the recommendations for hybrid varieties in the General Introduction.]

[4.2.x] [For the assessment of uniformity of seed-propagated varieties, the recommendations in the General Introduction for / [cross-pollinated] / [hybrid] varieties should be followed, as appropriate.]

[4.2.x] [For the assessment of uniformity, a population standard of ?% and an acceptance probability of at least ?? % should be applied. In the case of a sample size of ?? plants, ? off-types are allowed.]

Different standard for inbred lines? How to deal with this category?

4.3 Stability

4.3.1 In practice, it is not usual to perform tests of stability that produce results as certain as those of the testing of distinctness and uniformity. However, experience has demonstrated that, for many types of variety, when a variety has been shown to be uniform, it can also be considered to be stable.

4.3.2 Where appropriate, or in cases of doubt, stability may be tested, either by growing a further generation, or by testing a new **seed** stock to ensure that it exhibits the same characteristics as those shown by the previous material supplied.

5. Grouping of Varieties and Organization of the Growing Trial

5.1 The selection of varieties of common knowledge to be grown in the trial with the candidate varieties and the way in which these varieties are divided into groups to facilitate the assessment of distinctness is aided by the use of grouping characteristics.

5.2 Grouping characteristics are those in which the documented states of expression, even where produced at different locations, can be used, either individually or in combination with other such characteristics: (a) to select varieties of common knowledge that can be excluded from the growing trial used for examination of distinctness; and (b) to organize the growing trial so that similar varieties are grouped together.

5.3 The following have been agreed as useful grouping characteristics:

- (a) (characteristic ??)
- (b) (characteristic ??)
- (c) (characteristic ??)
- (d) (characteristic ??)

5.4 Guidance for the use of grouping characteristics, in the process of examining distinctness, is provided through the General Introduction.

6. Introduction to the Table of Characteristics

6.1 *Categories of Characteristics*

6.1.1 Standard Test Guidelines Characteristics

Standard Test Guidelines characteristics are those which are approved by UPOV for examination of DUS and from which members of the Union can select those suitable for their particular circumstances.

6.1.2 Asterisked Characteristics

Asterisked characteristics (denoted by *) are those included in the Test Guidelines which are important for the international harmonization of variety descriptions and should always be examined for DUS and included in the variety description by all members of the Union, except when the state of expression of a preceding characteristic or regional environmental conditions render this inappropriate.

6.2 *States of Expression and Corresponding Notes*

States of expression are given for each characteristic to define the characteristic and to harmonize descriptions. Each state of expression is allocated a corresponding numerical note for ease of recording of data and for the production and exchange of the description.

6.3 *Types of Expression*

An explanation of the types of expression of characteristics (qualitative, quantitative and pseudo-qualitative) is provided in the General Introduction.

6.4 *Example Varieties*

6.4.1 Where appropriate, example varieties are provided to clarify the states of expression of each characteristic.

6.5 *Legend*

(*) Asterisked characteristic – see Section 6.1.2

(QL) Qualitative characteristic – see Section 6.3

(QN) Quantitative characteristic – see Section 6.3

(PQ) Pseudo-qualitative characteristic – see Section 6.3

(+) See Explanations on the Table of Characteristics in Chapter 8

7. Table of Characteristics/Tableau des caractères/Merkmalstabelle/Tabla de caracteres

	English	français	deutsch	español	Example Varieties/ Exemples/ Beispielssorten/ Variedades ejemplo	Note/ Nota
1.	Plant: growth habit					
	erect					1
	Semi-erect					2
	prostrate					3
2.	Plant: height					
	very low					1
	low					3
	medium					5
	tall					7
	very tall					9
3.	Plant: density of foliage					
	very sparse					1
	sparse					3
	medium					5
	dense					7
	very dense					9
4.	Plant: flower arrangement					
	opposite					1
	whorl					9
5.	Stem: position of long side branches					
	lower third					1
	middle third					2
	along whole stem					3

	English	français	deutsch	español	Example Varieties/ Exemples/ Beispielssorten/ Variedades ejemplo	Note/ Nota
6.	Stem: length of internode					
	very short					1
	short					3
	medium					5
	long					7
	very long					9
7. (* (+)	Stem: number of inflorescences per node					
	very few					1
	few					3
	medium					5
	many					7
	very many					9
8.	Stem: thickness					
	very thin					1
	thin					3
	medium					5
	thick					7
	very thick					9
9.	Stem: anthocyanin coloration of young stem					
	absent					1
	present					9

	English	français	deutsch	español	Example Varieties/ Exemples/ Beispielssorten/ Variedades ejemplo	Note/ Nota
10.	Stem: waxiness					
	absent or very weak					1
	weak					3
	medium					5
	strong					7
	very strong					9
11.	Leaf: length					
	very short					1
	short					3
	medium					5
	long					7
	very long					9
12.	Leaf: width					
	very narrow					1
	narrow					3
	medium					5
	broad					7
	very broad					9
13.	Leaf: variegation					
	absent					1
	present					9
14.	Leaf: green color					
	very light					1
	light					3
	medium					5
	dark					7
	very dark					9

	English	français	deutsch	español	Example Varieties/ Exemples/ Beispielssorten/ Variedades ejemplo	Note/ Nota
15.	Leaf: size of white spot at base					
	very small					1
	small					3
	medium					5
	large					7
	very large					9
16.	Leaf: surface of upper side					
	smooth					1
	rough					2.
17.	Leaf: curvature of longitudinal axis					
	incurved					1
	straight					2
	recurved					3
18.	Leaf: recurving of margin					
	absent or very weak					1
	weak					3
	medium					5
	strong					7
	very strong					9
19.	Flower: size					
	very small					1
	small					3
	medium					5
	large					7
	very large					9

	English	français	deutsch	español	Example Varieties/ Exemples/ Beispielssorten/ Variedades ejemplo	Note/ Nota
20.	Flower: main blue color					
	very light					1
	light					3
	medium					5
	dark					7
	very dark					9
21.	Lower Lip: size of white area (center of middle lobe)					
	very small					1
	small					3
	medium					5
	large					7
	very large					9
22.	Lower Lip: blue color patter					
	striped					1
	striped and spotted					2
23.	Lower Lip: Wedth of blue colored area					
	very narrow					1
	narrow					3
	medium					5
	broad					7
	very broad					9

TG/ROSEMARY (proj.1)
 Rosemary, 2003-06-18
 - 13 -

	English	français	deutsch	español	Example Varieties/ Exemples/ Beispielssorten/ Variedades ejemplo	Note/ Nota
24.	Lower Lip: spots on margin					
	very few					1
	few					3
	medium					5
	many					7
	very many					9
25.	Pedicle: length					
	very short					1
	short					3
	medium					5
	long					7
	very long					9
26.	Calyx: size					
	very small					1
	small					3
	medium					5
	large					7
	very large					9
27.	Calyx: shape					
	funnel-shape					1
	campanulate					2
28.	Calyx: anthocyanin coloration					
	absent					1
	present					9

	English	français	deutsch	español	Example Varieties/ Exemples/ Beispielssorten/ Variedades ejemplo	Note/ Nota
29.	Calyx: pubescence					
	absent or very weak					1
	weak					3
	medium					5
	strong					7
	very strong					9
30.	Calyx: shape of apex of lobe					
	acute					1
	rounded					2
31.	Style: length					
	very short					1
	short					3
	medium					5
	long					7
	very long					9
32.	Style: length in relation to stamen					
	similar					1
	longer					2
33.	Style: blue color					
	very light					1
	light					3
	medium					5
	dark					7
	very dark					9

	English	français	deutsch	español	Example Varieties/ Exemples/ Beispielssorten/ Variedades ejemplo	Note/ Nota
34.	Time of beginning of flowering					
	very early					1
	early					3
	medium					5
	late					7
	very late					9
35.	Etheric oils: general content					
	very low					1
	low					3
	medium					5
	high					7
	very high					9
36.	Etheric oils: a-pine content					
	very low					1
	low					3
	medium					5
	high					7
	very high					9
37.	Etheric camphene content					
	very low					1
	low					3
	medium					5
	high					7
	very high					9

	English	français	deutsch	español	Example Varieties/ Exemples/ Beispielssorten/ Variedades ejemplo	Note/ Nota
38.	Etheric oils: b-pinene content					
	very low					1
	low					3
	medium					5
	high					7
	very high					9
39.	Etheric oils: limonene content					
	very low					1
	low					3
	medium					5
	high					7
	very high					9
40.	Etheric oils: 1,8-cineol content					
	very low					1
	low					3
	medium					5
	high					7
	very high					9
41.	Etheric oils: camphor content					
	very low					1
	low					3
	medium					5
	high					7
	very high					9

	English	français	deutsch	español	Example Varieties/ Exemples/ Beispielssorten/ Variedades ejemplo	Note/ Nota
42.	Etheric oils: borneol content					
	very low					1
	low					3
	medium					5
	high					7
	very high					9
43.	Etheric oils: verbenone content					
	very low					1
	low					3
	medium					5
	high					7
	very high					9

8. Explanations on the Table of Characteristics

[still to be prepared]

9. Literature

10. Technical Questionnaire

TECHNICAL QUESTIONNAIRE	Page {x} of {y}	Reference Number:
		Application date: (not to be filled in by the applicant)
<p>TECHNICAL QUESTIONNAIRE to be completed in connection with an application for plant breeders' rights</p> <p>ASW 13 [In the case of hybrid varieties which are the subject of an application for plant breeders' rights, and where the parent lines are to be submitted as a part of the examination of the hybrid variety, this Technical Questionnaire should be completed for each of the parent lines, in addition to being completed for the hybrid variety.]</p>		
1. Subject of the Technical Questionnaire		
1.1 Latin Name	<input type="text" value="Rosmarinus officinalis L."/>	
1.2 Common Name	<input type="text" value="Rosemary"/>	
2. Applicant		
Name	<input type="text"/>	
Address	<input type="text"/>	
Telephone No.	<input type="text"/>	
Fax No.	<input type="text"/>	
E-mail address	<input type="text"/>	
Breeder (if different from applicant)	<input type="text"/>	
3. Proposed denomination and breeder's reference		
Proposed denomination (if available)	<input type="text"/>	
Breeder's reference	<input type="text"/>	

TECHNICAL QUESTIONNAIRE	Page {x} of {y}	Reference Number:
-------------------------	-----------------	-------------------

4. Information on the breeding scheme and propagation of the variety

4.1 Breeding scheme **ASW 15**

[Variety resulting from:

4.1.1 Crossing

- (a) controlled cross []
(please state parent varieties)
- (b) partially known cross []
(please state known parent variety(ies))
- (c) totally unknown cross []

4.1.2 Mutation []
(please state parent variety)

4.1.3 Discovery []
(please state where, when and how developed)

4.1.4 Other []
(please provide details)]

4.2 Method of propagating the variety

5. Characteristics of the variety to be indicated (the number in brackets refers to the corresponding characteristic in Test Guidelines; please mark the note which best corresponds).

Characteristics	Example Varieties	Note

TECHNICAL QUESTIONNAIRE	Page {x} of {y}	Reference Number:
-------------------------	-----------------	-------------------

6. Similar varieties and differences from these varieties

Please use the table, and space provided for comments, below to provide information on how your candidate variety differs from the variety (or varieties) which, to the best of your knowledge, is (or are) most similar. This information may help the examination authority to conduct its examination of distinctness in a more efficient way.

Denomination(s) of variety(ies) similar to your candidate variety	Characteristic(s) in which your candidate variety differs from the similar variety(ies)	Describe the expression of the characteristic(s) for the similar variety(ies)	Describe the expression of the characteristic(s) for your candidate variety
<i>Example</i>		<i>(example to be inserted)</i>	<i>(example to be inserted)</i>
<p>Comments:</p>			

TECHNICAL QUESTIONNAIRE	Page {x} of {y}	Reference Number:
<p>7. Additional information which may help in the examination of the variety</p> <p>7.1 In addition to the information provided in sections 5 and 6, are there any additional characteristics which may help to distinguish the variety?</p> <p>Yes [] No []</p> <p>(If yes, please provide details)</p> <p>7.2 Special conditions for the examination of the variety</p> <p>7.2.1 Are there any special conditions for growing the variety or conducting the examination?</p> <p>Yes [] No []</p> <p>7.2.2 If yes, please give details:</p> <p>7.3 Other information</p> <p>ASW 16 A representative color photograph of the variety should accompany the Technical Questionnaire.</p>		
<p>8. Authorization for release</p> <p>(a) Does the variety require prior authorization for release under legislation concerning the protection of the environment, human and animal health?</p> <p>Yes [] No []</p> <p>(b) Has such authorization been obtained?</p> <p>Yes [] No []</p> <p>If the answer to (b) is yes, please attach a copy of the authorization.</p>		

TECHNICAL QUESTIONNAIRE	Page {x} of {y}	Reference Number:
-------------------------	-----------------	-------------------

9. Information on plant material to be examined.

9.1 The expression of a characteristic or several characteristics of a variety may be affected by factors, such as pests and disease, chemical treatment (e.g. growth retardants or pesticides), effects of tissue culture, different rootstocks, scions taken from different growth phases of a tree, etc.

9.2 The plant material should not have undergone any treatment which would affect the expression of the characteristics of the variety, unless the competent authorities allow or request such treatment. If the plant material has undergone such treatment, full details of the treatment must be given. In this respect, please indicate below, to the best of your knowledge, if the plant material to be examined has been subjected to:

- | | | |
|---|---------|--------|
| (a) Microorganisms (e.g. virus, bacteria, phytoplasma) | Yes [] | No [] |
| (b) Chemical treatment (e.g. growth retardant or pesticide) | Yes [] | No [] |
| (c) Tissue culture | Yes [] | No [] |
| (d) Other factors | Yes [] | No [] |

Please provide details of where you have indicated “yes”.

.....

10. I hereby declare that, to the best of my knowledge, the information provided in this form is correct:

Applicant's name

Signature

Date

[End of document]