

UPOV

TG/116/4(proj.2)

ORIGINAL: English

DATE: 2009-11-07

INTERNATIONAL UNION FOR THE PROTECTION OF NEW VARIETIES OF PLANTS

GENEVA

DRAFT

BLACK SALSIFY

UPOV Code: SCORZ_HIS

Scorzonera hispanica L.

GUIDELINES

FOR THE CONDUCT OF TESTS

FOR DISTINCTNESS, UNIFORMITY AND STABILITY

*prepared by an expert from the Netherlands**to be considered by the Enlarged Editorial Committee at its meeting
to be held in Geneva, Switzerland, on January 7, 2010*

Alternative Names:*

Botanical name	English	French	German	Spanish
<i>Scorzonera hispanica</i> L.	Black Salsify, Scorzonera	Salsifis noir, Scorsonere	Schwarzwurzel	Salsifí negro, Escorzonera

The purpose of these guidelines ("Test Guidelines") is to elaborate the principles contained in the General Introduction (document TG/1/3), and its associated TGP documents, into detailed practical guidance for the harmonized examination of distinctness, uniformity and stability (DUS) and, in particular, to identify appropriate characteristics for the examination of DUS and production of harmonized variety descriptions.

ASSOCIATED DOCUMENTS

These Test Guidelines should be read in conjunction with the General Introduction and its associated TGP documents.

* These names were correct at the time of the introduction of these Test Guidelines but may be revised or updated. [Readers are advised to consult the UPOV Code, which can be found on the UPOV Website (www.upov.int), for the latest information.]

<u>TABLE OF CONTENTS</u>	<u>PAGE</u>
1. SUBJECT OF THESE TEST GUIDELINES.....	3
2. MATERIAL REQUIRED	3
3. METHOD OF EXAMINATION.....	3
3.1 Number of Growing Cycles	3
3.2 Testing Place	3
3.3 Conditions for Conducting the Examination.....	3
3.4 Test Design	3
3.5 Number of Plants / Parts of Plants to be Examined.....	3
3.6 Additional Tests	3
4. ASSESSMENT OF DISTINCTNESS, UNIFORMITY AND STABILITY	3
4.1 Distinctness	3
4.2 Uniformity.....	3
4.3 Stability	3
5. GROUPING OF VARIETIES AND ORGANIZATION OF THE GROWING TRIAL.....	3
6. INTRODUCTION TO THE TABLE OF CHARACTERISTICS	3
6.1 Categories of Characteristics.....	3
6.2 States of Expression and Corresponding Notes.....	3
6.3 Types of Expression.....	3
6.4 Example Varieties	3
6.5 Legend.....	3
7. TABLE OF CHARACTERISTICS/TABLEAU DES CARACTÈRES/MERKMALSTABELLE/TABLA DE CARACTERES.....	3
8. EXPLANATIONS ON THE TABLE OF CHARACTERISTICS	3
8.1 Explanations covering several characteristics	3
8.2 Explanations for individual characteristics	3
9. LITERATURE	3
10. TECHNICAL QUESTIONNAIRE	3

1. Subject of these Test Guidelines

These Test Guidelines apply to all varieties of *Scorzonera hispanica* L.

2. Material Required

2.1 The competent authorities decide on the quantity and quality of the plant material required for testing the variety and when and where it is to be delivered. Applicants submitting material from a State other than that in which the testing takes place must ensure that all customs formalities and phytosanitary requirements are complied with.

2.2 The material is to be supplied in the form of seed.

2.3 The minimum quantity of plant material, to be supplied by the applicant, should be:

15.000 seeds

The seed should meet the minimum requirements for germination, species and analytical purity, health and moisture content, specified by the competent authority.

2.4 The plant material supplied should be visibly healthy, not lacking in vigor, nor affected by any important pest or disease.

2.5 The plant material should not have undergone any treatment which would affect the expression of the characteristics of the variety, unless the competent authorities allow or request such treatment. If it has been treated, full details of the treatment must be given.

3. Method of Examination

3.1 *Number of Growing Cycles*

The minimum duration of tests should normally be two independent growing cycles.

3.2 *Testing Place*

Tests are normally conducted at one place. In the case of tests conducted at more than one place, guidance is provided in TGP/9 "Examining Distinctness".

3.3 *Conditions for Conducting the Examination*

3.3.1 The tests should be carried out under conditions ensuring satisfactory growth for the expression of the relevant characteristics of the variety and for the conduct of the examination.

3.3.2 Type of observation

The recommended method of observing the characteristic is indicated by the following key in the second column of the Table of Characteristics:

- MG: single measurement of a group of plants or parts of plants
- MS: measurement of a number of individual plants or parts of plants
- VG: visual assessment by a single observation of a group of plants or parts of plants
- VS: visual assessment by observation of individual plants or parts of plants

3.4 *Test Design*

3.4.1 Each test should be designed to result in a total of at least 300 plants, which should be divided between two or more replicates.

3.4.2 The design of the tests should be such that plants or parts of plants may be removed for measurement or counting without prejudice to the observations which must be made up to the end of the growing cycle.

3.5 *Number of Plants / Parts of Plants to be Examined*

Unless otherwise indicated, all observations should be made on 60 plants or parts taken from each of 60 plants.

3.6 *Additional Tests*

Additional tests, for examining relevant characteristics, may be established.

4. Assessment of Distinctness, Uniformity and Stability

4.1 *Distinctness*

4.1.1 General Recommendations

It is of particular importance for users of these Test Guidelines to consult the General Introduction prior to making decisions regarding distinctness. However, the following points are provided for elaboration or emphasis in these Test Guidelines.

4.1.2 Consistent Differences

The differences observed between varieties may be so clear that more than one growing cycle is not necessary. In addition, in some circumstances, the influence of the environment is not such that more than a single growing cycle is required to provide assurance that the differences observed between varieties are sufficiently consistent. One means of ensuring that a difference in a characteristic, observed in a growing trial, is sufficiently consistent is to examine the characteristic in at least two independent growing cycles.

4.1.3 Clear Differences

Determining whether a difference between two varieties is clear depends on many factors, and should consider, in particular, the type of expression of the characteristic being examined, i.e. whether it is expressed in a qualitative, quantitative, or pseudo-qualitative manner. Therefore, it is important that users of these Test Guidelines are familiar with the recommendations contained in the General Introduction prior to making decisions regarding distinctness.

4.2 *Uniformity*

4.2.1 It is of particular importance for users of these Test Guidelines to consult the General Introduction prior to making decisions regarding uniformity. However, the following points are provided for elaboration or emphasis in these Test Guidelines:

4.2.2 For the assessment of uniformity, a population standard of 2% and an acceptance probability of at least 95% should be applied. In the case of a sample of 300 plants, 10 off-types are allowed.

4.3 *Stability*

4.3.1 In practice, it is not usual to perform tests of stability that produce results as certain as those of the testing of distinctness and uniformity. However, experience has demonstrated that, for many types of variety, when a variety has been shown to be uniform, it can also be considered to be stable.

4.3.2 Where appropriate, or in cases of doubt, stability may be tested, either by growing a further generation, or by testing a new seed stock to ensure that it exhibits the same characteristics as those shown by the previous material supplied

5. Grouping of Varieties and Organization of the Growing Trial

5.1 The selection of varieties of common knowledge to be grown in the trial with the candidate varieties and the way in which these varieties are divided into groups to facilitate the assessment of distinctness are aided by the use of grouping characteristics.

5.2 Grouping characteristics are those in which the documented states of expression, even where produced at different locations, can be used, either individually or in combination with other such characteristics: (a) to select varieties of common knowledge that can be excluded from the growing trial used for examination of distinctness; and (b) to organize the growing trial so that similar varieties are grouped together.

5.3 The following have been agreed as useful grouping characteristics:

- (a) Leaf: length (characteristic 5)
- (b) Root: shape (characteristic 10)
- (c) Root: length (characteristic 11)
- (d) Root: color (characteristic 15)

5.4 Guidance for the use of grouping characteristics, in the process of examining distinctness, is provided through the General Introduction.

6. Introduction to the Table of Characteristics

6.1 *Categories of Characteristics*

6.1.1 Standard Test Guidelines Characteristics

Standard Test Guidelines characteristics are those which are approved by UPOV for examination of DUS and from which members of the Union can select those suitable for their particular circumstances.

6.1.2 Asterisked Characteristics

Asterisked characteristics (denoted by *) are those included in the Test Guidelines which are important for the international harmonization of variety descriptions and should always be examined for DUS and included in the variety description by all members of the Union, except when the state of expression of a preceding characteristic or regional environmental conditions render this inappropriate.

6.2 *States of Expression and Corresponding Notes*

States of expression are given for each characteristic to define the characteristic and to harmonize descriptions. Each state of expression is allocated a corresponding numerical note for ease of recording of data and for the production and exchange of the description.

6.3 *Types of Expression*

An explanation of the types of expression of characteristics (qualitative, quantitative and pseudo-qualitative) is provided in the General Introduction.

6.4 *Example Varieties*

Where appropriate, example varieties are provided to clarify the states of expression of each characteristic.

6.5 *Legend*

(*) Asterisked characteristic – see Chapter 6.1.2

QL: Qualitative characteristic – see Chapter 6.3

QN: Quantitative characteristic – see Chapter 6.3

PQ: Pseudo-qualitative characteristic – see Chapter 6.3

MG, MS, VG, VS: see Chapter 3.3.2

(a) See Explanations on the Table of Characteristics in Chapter 8.1

(+) See Explanations on the Table of Characteristics in Chapter 8.2

7. Table of Characteristics/Tableau des caractères/Merkmalstabelle/Tabla de caracteres

	English	français	deutsch	español	Example Varieties/ Exemples/ Beispielssorten/ Variedades ejemplo	Note/ Nota
1. VG/ MG (+)	Plant: height	Plante : hauteur	Pflanze: Höhe	Planta: altura		
QN	short	basse	niedrig	baja	Melina	3
	medium	moyenne	mittel	media	Verbeterde Reuzen Nietschieters, Meres	5
	tall	haute	hoch	alta		7
2. VG (*)	Foliage: intensity of green color	Feuillage : intensité de la couleur verte	Laub: Intensität der Grünfärbung	Follaje: intensidad del color verde		
QN	light	claire	hell	clara	Meres	3
	medium	moyenne	mittel	media	Antonia, Verbeterde Reuzen Nietschieters	5
	dark	foncé	dunkel	oscura	Libochovický	7
3. VG	Leaf: glossiness	Feuille: brillance	Blatt: Glanz	Hoja: brillo		
QN	weak	faible	gering	débil		3
	medium	moyenne	mittel	media	Antonia, Verbeterde Reuzen Nietschieters	5
	strong	forte	stark	fuerte	Libochovický	7
4. VG (*) (+)	Leaf: attitude	Feuille: port	Blatt: Stellung	Hoja: porte		
QN	erect	dressé	aufrecht	erecto	Verbeterde Reuzen Nietschieters, Alpha	1
	semi-erect	demi-dressé	halbaufrecht	semierecto	Meres, Antonia	3
	horizontal	horizontal	waagerecht	horizontal		5
5. VG (*)	Leaf: length	Feuille: longueur	Blatt: Länge	Hoja: longitud		
QN	short	courte	kurz	corta		3
	medium	moyenne	mittel	media	Verbeterde Reuzen Nietschieters, Meres	5
	long	longue	lang	larga	Melina	7

	English	français	deutsch	español	Example Varieties/ Exemples/ Beispielssorten/ Variedades ejemplo	Note/ Nota
6. VG/MS (*)	Leaf: width	Feuille: largeur	Blatt: Breite	Hoja: anchura		
QN	narrow	étroite	schmal	estrecha	Alpha	3
	medium	moyenne	mittel	media	Verbeterde Reuzen Nietschieters, Meres	5
	broad	large	breit	ancha	Melina	7
7. VG	Leaf: undulation of margin	Feuille: ondulation du bord	Blatt: Randwellung	Hoja: ondulación del borde		
QN	absent or very weak	absent ou très faible	fehlend oder sehr gering	ausente o muy débil		1
	weak	faible	gering	débil	Alpha, Meres	3
	medium	moyenne	mittel	medio		5
	strong	forte	stark	fuerte		7
8. VG	Leaf: dentation of margin	Feuille: denture du bord	Blatt: Randzählung	Hoja: dentado del borde		
QN	absent or very weak	absent ou très faible	fehlend oder sehr gering	ausente o muy débil		1
	weak	faible	gering	débil	Alpha, Antonia	3
	medium	moyenne	mittel	medio	Melina	5
	strong	forte	stark	fuerte		7
9. VG (+)	Leaf: reflexing of blade	Feuille: recourbure du limbe	Blatt: Abbiegung der Spreite	Hoja: curvatura del limbo		
QN	absent or very weak	absent ou très faible	fehlend oder sehr gering	ausente o muy débil		1
	weak	faible	gering	débil	Alpha	3
	medium	moyenne	mittel	media	Meres, Verbeterde Reuzen Nietschieters	5
	strong	forte	stark	fuerte	Libochovický	7

	English	français	deutsch	español	Example Varieties/ Exemples/ Beispielsorten/ Variedades ejemplo	Note/ Nota	
10.	VG	Root: shape	Racine : forme	Wurzel: Form	Raíz: forma		
(*)							
(+)							
PQ	(a)	conical	conique	kegelförmig	cónica	Libochovický	1
		cylindrical	cylindrique	zylindrisch	cilíndrica	Alpha, Hoffmanns schwarze Pfahl	2
		obconical	obconique	verkehrt kegelförmig	obcónica	Lange Jan	3
11.	VG/ MS	Root: length	Racine : longueur	Wurzel: Länge	Raíz: longitud		
(*)							
QN	(a)	short	courte	kurz	corta	Libochovický	3
		medium	moyenne	mittel	media		5
		long	longue	lang	larga	Meres, Hoffmanns schwarze Pfahl	7
12.	VG/ MS	Root: diameter at broadest part	Racine : diamètre à la partie la plus large	Wurzel: Durchmesser an der breitesten Stelle	Raíz: diámetro en la parte más ancha		
QN	(a)	small	petit	klein	pequeño	Antonia	3
		medium	moyen	mittel	medio	Meres	5
		large	grand	groß	grande	Melina	7
13.	VG	Root: shape of shoulder	Racine : forme de l'épaulement	Wurzel: Form der Schulter	Raíz: forma del hombro		
(+)							
QN	(a)	flat	aplatie	flach	plana	Hoffmanns schwarze Pfahl, Melina	1
		rounded	arrondie	abgerundet	redondeada	Alpha	2
		obconical	obconique	verkehrt kegelförmig	obcónica	Lange Jan	3
14.	VG	Root: tip	Racine: extrémité	Wurzel: Ende	Raíz: extremo		
(*)							
QN	(a)	blunt	arrondie	stumpf	romo	Verbeterde Reuzen Nietschieters	1
		slightly pointed	légèrement pointue	leicht spitz	ligeramente puntiagudo	Libochovický	2
		strongly pointed	fortement pointue	sehr spitz	muy puntiagudo	Meres	3

English	français	deutsch	español	Example Varieties/ Exemples/ Beispielssorten/ Variedades ejemplo	Note/ Nota
15. VG	Root: color	Racine: couleur	Wurzel: Farbe	Raíz: color	
(*)					
PQ	(a)				
	light brown	brun clair	hellbraun	marrón claro	1
	dark brown	brun foncé	dunkelbraun	marrón oscuro	2
				Verbeterde Reuzen Nietschieters	
	black	noir	schwarz	negro	3
				Antonia	


8. Explanations on the Table of Characteristics

8.1 *Explanations covering several characteristics*

- (a) Characteristics to be observed at harvest maturity when first leaves start to turn yellow.

8.2 *Explanations for individual characteristics*

Ad. 1: Plant: height



Ad. 4: Leaf: attitude



1
erect




3
semi-erect




5
horizontal


Ad. 9: Leaf: reflexing of blade



Ad. 10: Root: shape



Ad. 13: Root: shape of shoulder



9. Literature

10. Technical Questionnaire

TECHNICAL QUESTIONNAIRE	Page {x} of {y}	Reference Number:
		Application date: (not to be filled in by the applicant)
TECHNICAL QUESTIONNAIRE to be completed in connection with an application for plant breeders' rights		
1. Subject of the Technical Questionnaire		
1.1 Botanical name	<input type="text" value="Scorzonera hispanica L."/>	
1.2 Common name	<input type="text" value="Black Salsify, Scorzonera"/>	
2. Applicant		
Name	<input type="text"/>	
Address	<input type="text"/>	
Telephone No.	<input type="text"/>	
Fax No.	<input type="text"/>	
E-mail address	<input type="text"/>	
Breeder (if different from applicant)	<input type="text"/>	
3. Proposed denomination and breeder's reference		
Proposed denomination (if available)	<input type="text"/>	
Breeder's reference	<input type="text"/>	

TECHNICAL QUESTIONNAIRE	Page {x} of {y}	Reference Number:						
<p>#4. Information on the breeding scheme and propagation of the variety</p> <p>4.1 Breeding scheme</p> <p>Variety resulting from:</p> <p>4.1.1 Crossing</p> <table><tr><td>(a) controlled cross (please state parent varieties)</td><td>[]</td></tr><tr><td>(b) partially known cross (please state known parent variety(ies))</td><td>[]</td></tr><tr><td>(c) unknown cross</td><td>[]</td></tr></table> <p>4.1.2 Discovery and development (please state where and when discovered and how developed)</p> <p>[]</p> <p>4.1.3 Other (please provide details)</p> <p>[]</p>			(a) controlled cross (please state parent varieties)	[]	(b) partially known cross (please state known parent variety(ies))	[]	(c) unknown cross	[]
(a) controlled cross (please state parent varieties)	[]							
(b) partially known cross (please state known parent variety(ies))	[]							
(c) unknown cross	[]							
<p>4.2 Method of propagating the variety</p> <p>4.2.1 Seed-propagated varieties</p> <table><tr><td>(a) Self-pollination</td><td>[]</td></tr><tr><td>(b) Hybrid</td><td>[]</td></tr><tr><td>(c) Other (please provide details)</td><td>[]</td></tr></table>			(a) Self-pollination	[]	(b) Hybrid	[]	(c) Other (please provide details)	[]
(a) Self-pollination	[]							
(b) Hybrid	[]							
(c) Other (please provide details)	[]							

Authorities may allow certain of this information to be provided in a confidential section of the Technical Questionnaire.

TECHNICAL QUESTIONNAIRE	Page {x} of {y}	Reference Number:
-------------------------	-----------------	-------------------

5. Characteristics of the variety to be indicated (the number in brackets refers to the corresponding characteristic in Test Guidelines; please mark the note which best corresponds).

Characteristics	Example Varieties	Note
5.1 Leaf: length (5)		
short		3[]
medium	Verbeterde Reuzen Nietschieters, Meres	5[]
long	Melina	7[]
5.2 Root: shape (10)		
conical	Libochovický	1[]
cylindrical	Alpha, Hoffmanns schwarze Pfahl	2[]
obconical	Lange Jan	3[]
5.3 Root: length (11)		
short	Libochovický	3[]
medium		5[]
long	Meres, Hoffmanns schwarze Pfahl	7[]
5.4 Root: color (15)		
light brown		1[]
dark brown	Verbeterde Reuzen Nietschieters	2[]
black	Antonia	3[]

TECHNICAL QUESTIONNAIRE	Page {x} of {y}	Reference Number:
-------------------------	-----------------	-------------------

6. Similar varieties and differences from these varieties

Please use the following table and box for comments to provide information on how your candidate variety differs from the variety (or varieties) which, to the best of your knowledge, is (or are) most similar. This information may help the examination authority to conduct its examination of distinctness in a more efficient way.

Denomination(s) of variety(ies) similar to your candidate variety	Characteristic(s) in which your candidate variety differs from the similar variety(ies)	Describe the expression of the characteristic(s) for the similar variety(ies)	Describe the expression of the characteristic(s) for your candidate variety
<i>Example</i>	<i>Root: length</i>	<i>long</i>	<i>short</i>

Comments:

TECHNICAL QUESTIONNAIRE	Page {x} of {y}	Reference Number:
<p>#7. Additional information which may help in the examination of the variety</p> <p>7.1 In addition to the information provided in sections 5 and 6, are there any additional characteristics which may help to distinguish the variety?</p> <p>Yes [] No []</p> <p>(If yes, please provide details)</p> <p>7.2 Are there any special conditions for growing the variety or conducting the examination?</p> <p>Yes [] No []</p> <p>(If yes, please provide details)</p> <p>7.3 Other information</p>		
<p>8. Authorization for release</p> <p>(a) Does the variety require prior authorization for release under legislation concerning the protection of the environment, human and animal health?</p> <p>Yes [] No []</p> <p>(b) Has such authorization been obtained?</p> <p>Yes [] No []</p> <p>If the answer to (b) is yes, please attach a copy of the authorization.</p>		

Authorities may allow certain of this information to be provided in a confidential section of the Technical Questionnaire.

TECHNICAL QUESTIONNAIRE	Page {x} of {y}	Reference Number:
-------------------------	-----------------	-------------------

9. Information on plant material to be examined or submitted for examination.

9.1 The expression of a characteristic or several characteristics of a variety may be affected by factors, such as pests and disease, chemical treatment (e.g. growth retardants or pesticides), effects of tissue culture, different rootstocks, scions taken from different growth phases of a tree, etc.

9.2 The plant material should not have undergone any treatment which would affect the expression of the characteristics of the variety, unless the competent authorities allow or request such treatment. If the plant material has undergone such treatment, full details of the treatment must be given. In this respect, please indicate below, to the best of your knowledge, if the plant material to be examined has been subjected to:

- | | | |
|---|---------|--------|
| (a) Microorganisms (e.g. virus, bacteria, phytoplasma) | Yes [] | No [] |
| (b) Chemical treatment (e.g. growth retardant, pesticide) | Yes [] | No [] |
| (c) Tissue culture | Yes [] | No [] |
| (d) Other factors | Yes [] | No [] |

Please provide details for where you have indicated "yes".

.....

10. I hereby declare that, to the best of my knowledge, the information provided in this form is correct:

Applicant's name

Signature Date

[End of document]