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# EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The purpose of this document is to present a proposal for revision of document TGP/10 “Examining uniformity” to provide guidance on assessing uniformity by off-types on the basis of more than one growing cycle or on the basis of sub-samples.

The TC is invited to:

(a) consider the draft guidance presented in Annexes I and II of this document for inclusion in a future revision of document TGP/10 “Examining Uniformity,” as proposed by the TC-EDC;

(b) note that the TWC agreed that the different approaches produced different results in some cases and that smaller sample sizes and number of off-types allowed (e.g. vegetable crops) could highlight borderline cases where different results could be produced when using different approaches; and

(c) note that the TWC agreed that it would not be practical to develop tables with the allowed number of off-types for the overall test for assessing uniformity by off-types on the basis of more than one growing cycle and that, in future, software might be needed to calculate such risks.
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ANNEX I: Assessing uniformity by off-types on basis of more than one growing cycle

ANNEX II: Assessing uniformity by off-types on the basis of sub-samples within a single test/trial

The following abbreviations are used in this document:

TC: Technical Committee

TC-EDC: Enlarged Editorial Committee

TWA: Technical Working Party for Agricultural Crops

TWC: Technical Working Party on Automation and Computer Programs

TWF: Technical Working Party for Fruit Crops

TWO: Technical Working Party for Ornamental Plants and Forest Trees

TWPs: Technical Working Parties

TWV: Technical Working Party for Vegetables

# BACKGROUND

The background to this matter is provided in document TC/53/19 “Assessing Uniformity by Off‑Types on the Basis of More than One Sample or Sub-Samples”.

# DEVELOPMENTS in 2017

## Comments by the Technical Working Parties

The TWA, TWV, TWO, TWF and TWC, at their sessions in 2017, considered document TWP/1/17 Rev. “Assessing Uniformity by Off-Types on the Basis of More than One Growing Cycle or on the Basis of Sub-Samples” (see documents TWA/46/10 “Report”, paragraphs 28 to 35; TWV/51/16 “Report”, paragraphs 39 to 47; TWO/50/14 “Report”, paragraphs 19 to 21; TWF/48/13 “Report”, paragraphs 22 to 25; and TWC/35/21 “Report”, paragraphs 32 to 35).

The TWPs considered the draft guidance in document TWP/1/17 Rev. for inclusion in a future revision of document TGP/10 “Examining Uniformity” and provided the following comments:

### Environmental variation

The TWV, TWF and TWC agreed with the TWA to propose that the new sentence introduced in the draft guidance, Annex I, should be amended to read as follows:

“It is important to identify whether differences in number of off‑types between growing cycles were due to ~~biological~~ environmental reasons or sampling variation.”

The TWF and TWV agreed to propose a further clarification to the new sentence introduced in the draft guidance, Annex I, for all approaches to read as follows:

“It is important to identify whether differences in number of off‑types between growing cycles were not due to ~~biological~~ environmental reasons or sampling variation.”

### Criteria for rejecting a variety after a single growing cycle

The TWPs considered whether more general criteria should be used in Annex I for a variety to be rejected after a single growing cycle rather than the specific case of having exceeded the allowed number of off-types in two growing cycles. The current proposed wording reads as follows:

“[…] Furthermore, if a variety exceeds in the first growing cycle the allowed number of off-types in two growing cycles, the variety may be rejected after a single growing cycle.”

The TWA agreed to propose a more general criterion for a variety to be rejected after a single growing cycle for inclusion in the different approaches of the draft guidance to read as follows:

“If in the first growing cycle a variety exceeds a predefined upper limit of off-types the variety may be rejected after a single growing cycle.”

The TWA agreed that the upper limit of off-types could be defined by each authority according to the approaches used for the assessment of uniformity by off-types.

The TWF agreed with the TWV to propose to modify the sentence for Approach 1 as follows:

“Furthermore, if a variety clearly exceeds in the first growing cycle the allowed number of off-types in two growing cycles, the variety may be rejected after a single growing cycle.

The TWC agreed that the predefined upper limit of the allowed number of off‑types in two growing cycles was a useful reference for many crops and agreed to propose the draft guidance in approaches 1 and 2 to read as proposed by the TWV and TWF.

### Information on the criteria for selecting the most suitable approach

The TWA received the following presentations comparing the possible effect on uniformity decisions between Approaches 1 and 3 in document TWP/1/17 Rev., as reproduced in the Annexes to documents TWA/46/4 and TWA/46/4 Add. (in alphabetical order):

* “Effect of different approaches for the assessment of uniformity by off-types – examples for Barley”, prepared by an expert from Germany
* “Assessing Uniformity by Off-types on the basis of more than one Growing Cycle: examples from the Netherlands”, prepared by an expert from the Netherlands
* “Assessing uniformity by off-types on the basis of more than one growing cycle in wheat” prepared by an expert from Poland
* “The United Kingdom’s Experience with Winter Oilseed Rape (WOSR)” prepared by an expert from the United Kingdom

The TWA noted the approaches used for the assessment of uniformity by off-types in Germany and Poland for cereals, in the Netherlands for tomato and in the United Kingdom for oilseed rape.

The TWV and the TWC received the following presentation, as reproduced in the Annex to documents TWV/51/5 and TWC/35/8:

* “Assessing Uniformity by Off-types on the Basis of More than One Growing Cycle: examples from the Netherlands” by an expert from the Netherlands.

The TWV agreed to recall that in the vegetable sector, Approach 1 was the most commonly used.

The TWC agreed that the different approaches used in the assessment of off-types on the basis of more than one growing cycle produced different results in some cases. The TWC agreed that smaller sample sizes and number of off-types allowed (e.g. vegetable crops) could highlight borderline cases where different results could be produced when using different approaches.

The TWC agreed that the different results obtained using the different approaches for the assessment of off-types on the basis of more than one growing cycle were due in part to the different risks of type I and type II errors associated with each approach.

The TWC agreed to invite the experts from Germany, the United Kingdom and other members of the Union to submit papers on the analysis of risks associated with each approach to be considered at its thirty‑sixth session.

# DEVELOPMENTS in 2018

## Enlarged Editorial Committee

The Council, at its thirty-fourth extraordinary session, held in Geneva on April 6, 2017, decided to organize a single set of sessions from 2018, in the period of October/November (see document C(Extr.)/34/6 “Report on the decisions”, paragraphs 12 to 14). From 2018, the meetings of the TC will take place on October/November instead of March/April. The TC-EDC will meet twice a year; once in the period of March/April and once in conjunction with the TC sessions later in the year.

Based on the recommendation of the Consultative Committee, the Council decided to adopt the proposals of the TC, at its fifty‑third session, to use contingency measures in the transitional period until the fifty-fourth session of the TC, to be held in October 2018; for TGP documents, the TC-EDC would consolidate comments made by the TWPs at their sessions in 2017 and, in the absence of consensus between the TWPs, to formulate proposals for further consideration by the TWPs at their sessions in 2018 (see document C(Extr.)/34/6 “Report on the decisions”, paragraphs 12 to 14).

The TC-EDC, at its meeting held in Geneva on March 26 and 27, 2018, considered document TC‑EDC/Mar18/17 “Assessing uniformity by off-types on the basis of more than one growing cycle or on the basis of sub-samples” (see document TC‑EDC/Mar18/11 “Report”, paragraphs 31 to 35).

The TC-EDC noted that the TWC had agreed to invite the experts from Germany, the United Kingdom and other members of the Union to submit papers on the analysis of risks associated with each approach for assessing uniformity by off-types on basis of more than one growing cycle, to be considered at its thirty-sixth session.

The TC-EDC considered the comments made by the TWPs, at their sessions in 2017, and on that basis agreed to recommend the draft guidance for consideration by the TC, at its fifty-fourth session, with the following amendments:

“[…] It is important to identify whether differences in number of off‑types between growing cycles were ~~not~~ due to environmental reasons or sampling variation.

The TC-EDC noted the invitation by the TC for the TWPs, at their sessions in 2017, to consider whether a more general criteria should be used for a variety to be rejected after a single growing cycle rather than the specific case of having exceeded the allowed number of off types in two growing cycles. The TC‑EDC noted the preference expressed by the TWPs, at their sessions in 2017, to retain the criteria for a variety to be rejected after a single growing cycle previously considered by the TC, as follows:

“Furthermore, if in the first growing cycle a variety exceeds a predefined upper limit of off-types the variety may be rejected after a single growing cycle.”

The TC-EDC agreed that the proposal above should be considered by the TC.

## Technical Working Party on Automation and Computer Programs

The TWC considered document [TWC/36/7](http://www.upov.int/meetings/en/doc_details.jsp?meeting_id=47210&doc_id=409249) “Risks associated with assessment of uniformity by off-types on the basis of more than one growing cycle” and received a presentation by experts from Germany and the United Kingdom, a copy of which would be provided as document TWC/36/7 Add. (see document TWC/36/15 “Report”, paragraphs 54 to 56).

The TWC agreed to invite the experts from Germany and the United Kingdom to develop examples demonstrating the risks and consequences for decisions on uniformity to be presented at its next session.

The TWC noted the importance of considering the risks associated with assessment of uniformity by off-types on the basis of more than one growing cycle and agreed that it would not be practical to develop tables with the allowed number of off-types for such cases. The TWC noted that, in future, software might be needed to calculate such risks.

# Summary of approaches

Annexes I and II to this document summarize different situations when different samples are combined for the overall assessment of uniformity of a variety in accordance with the conclusions of the TC at its fifty‑third session and on the basis of the proposals made by the TWPs, at their sessions in 2017, and the TC-EDC, at its meeting in March 2018.

The summary in Annexes I and II only relates to situations where more than one sample, or sub‑sample, concern the examination of the same characteristic. In the case of different samples, or sub‑samples (e.g. special test), to examine a different characteristic there is no requirement to combine the results because a variety is required to be uniform for all relevant characteristics.

The TC is invited to:

(a) consider the draft guidance presented in Annexes I and II of this document for inclusion in a future revision of document TGP/10 “Examining Uniformity,” as proposed by the TC-EDC;

(b) note that the TWC agreed that the different approaches produced different results in some cases and that smaller sample sizes and number of off-types allowed (e.g. vegetable crops) could highlight borderline cases where different results could be produced when using different approaches; and

(c) note that the TWC agreed that it would not be practical to develop tables with the allowed number of off-types for the overall test for assessing uniformity by off-types on the basis of more than one growing cycle and that, in future, software might be needed to calculate such risks.

[Annexes follow]

|  |
| --- |
| ASSESSING UNIFORMITY BY OFF-TYPES ON BASIS OF MORE THAN ONE GROWING CYCLE |
| Two independent growing cycles could take place in a single location in different years, or in different locations in the same year, according to document TGP/8 Part I, Sections 1.2 and 1.3.  The following guidance is not intended to be used for the assessment of uniformity by off-types on the same plants in two growing cycles. Results from growing cycles using different lots of plant material should not be combined.  **Approach 1: Third growing cycle in the case of inconsistent results**  A variety is considered uniform if it is within the uniformity standard in both of the two growing cycles.  A variety is considered non-uniform if it fails to meet the uniformity standard in both of the two growing cycles.  If at the end of the two growing cycles the variety is within the uniformity standard in one growing cycle but is not within the uniformity standard in the other growing cycle, then uniformity is assessed in a third growing cycle. If in the third growing cycle the variety is within the uniformity standard, the variety is considered uniform. If in the third growing cycle the variety fails to meet the uniformity standard, the variety is considered non-uniform.  Care is needed when considering results that were very different in each of the growing cycles, such as when a type of off-type was observed at a high level in one growing cycle and was absent in another growing cycle. It is important to identify whether differences in number of off‑types between growing cycles were due to environmental reasons or sampling variation.  Furthermore, if in the first growing cycle a variety exceeds a predefined upper limit of off-types the variety may be rejected after a single growing cycle.  **Approach 2: Combining the results of two growing cycles in the case of inconsistent results**  A variety is considered uniform if it is within the uniformity standard in both of the two growing cycles.  A variety is considered non-uniform if it fails to meet the uniformity standard in both of the two growing cycles.  If at the end of the two growing cycles the variety is within the uniformity standard in one growing cycle but is not within the uniformity standard in the other growing cycle, a variety is considered uniform if the total number of off‑types at the end of the two growing cycles does not exceed the number of allowed off-types for the sample size of growing cycles 1 and 2 combined.  Care is needed when considering results that were very different in each of the growing cycles, such as when a type of off-type was observed at a high level in one growing cycle and was absent in another growing cycle. A statistical test for consistency should be applied when appropriate. It is important to identify whether differences in number of off‑types between growing cycles were due to environmental reasons or sampling variation.  Furthermore, if in the first growing cycle a variety exceeds a predefined upper limit of off-types the variety may be rejected after a single growing cycle.  **Approach 3: Combining the results of two growing cycles**  A variety is considered uniform if the total number of off-types at the end of the two growing cycles does not exceed the number of allowed off-types for the combined sample.  A variety is considered non-uniform if the total number of off-types at the end of the two growing cycles exceeds the number of allowed off-types for the combined sample.  A variety may be rejected after a single growing cycle, if the number of off-types exceeds the number of allowed off-types for the combined sample (over two cycles).  Care is needed when considering results that are very different in each of the growing cycles, such as when a type of off-type is observed at a high level in one growing cycle and is absent in another growing cycle. A statistical test for consistency should be applied when appropriate. It is important to identify whether differences in number of off‑types between growing cycles were due to environmental reasons or sampling variation.  Example:   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | |  | Population Standard = 1% | | | | | | |  | Acceptance Probability ≥ 95% | | | | | | | Sample Size in each of growing cycles 1 and 2 = 50 | | | | | | | Maximum number of Off-Types = 2 | | | | | | | Sample Size in growing cycles 1 and 2 combined = 100 | | | | | | | Maximum number of Off-Types = 3 | | | | | | |  | |  |  |  | | |  | Growing cycle | | | Decision | | | | |  | First | Second | | Approach 1 | | Approach 2 | Approach 3 | | Number of  Off-Types | 1 | 1 | | uniform | | uniform | uniform | | 2 | 2 | | uniform | | uniform | non-uniform | | 0 | 3\* | | third growing cycle\* | | uniform\* | uniform\* | | 1 | 3\* | | third growing cycle\* | | non-uniform\* | non-uniform\* | | 1 | 4\* | | third growing cycle\* | | non-uniform\* | non-uniform\* | | 4\*\* | 1\* | | third growing cycle\* | | non-uniform\* | non-uniform\* |   \* Care is needed when considering results that were very different in each of the growing cycles, such as when a type of off‑type was observed at a high level in one growing cycle and was absent in another growing cycle. A statistical test for consistency should be applied when appropriate. It is important to identify whether differences in number of off types between growing cycles were due to environmental reasons or sampling variation.  \*\* if in the first growing cycle a variety exceeds a predefined upper limit of off-types the variety may be rejected after a single growing cycle. |

[Annex II follows]

|  |
| --- |
| SITUATION: ASSESSING UNIFORMITY BY OFF-TYPES ON THE BASIS OF SUB-SAMPLES  WITHIN A SINGLE TEST/TRIAL |
| **Approach: Use of sub-sample as a first step of assessment**  A variety is considered uniform if the number of off-types does not exceed a predefined lower limit in the sub‑sample.  A variety is considered non–uniform if the number of off-types exceeds a predefined upper limit in the sub‑sample.  If the number of off-types is between the predefined lower and upper limits, the whole sample is assessed. The lower and upper limits have to be chosen considering comparable type I and type II errors in the sub‑sample and the whole sample.  Example:  In a sample size of 100 plants, the acceptable number of off-types is 3 (based on a population standard of 1% and an acceptance probability of at least 95%).  In a subsample of 20 plants used in the context of the sample size of 100 plants above:  A variety is considered uniform if no off-types are observed in the sub-sample.  A variety is considered non–uniform if the number of off-types in the sub-sample exceeds 3.  If the number of off-types is 1 to 3, the whole sample of 100 plants is assessed.  If the number of off-types in the sample of 100 plants exceeds 3, the variety is considered non-uniform. |

[End of Annex II and of document]