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The purpose of this document is to provide an update on developments concerning: the GENIE database; UPOV Codes; and the PLUTO database, and to present a proposal concerning the “Denomination Search” page of the PLUTO database .
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ANNEX I COMMENTS RECEIVED ON THE CROP TYPE(S) ALLOCATED BY THE OFFICE OF THE UNION TO THE UPOV CODES CURRENTLY USED IN THE PLUTO DATABASE

ANNEX II REPORT ON DATA CONTRIBUTED TO THE PLANT VARIETY DATABASE BY MEMBERS OF THE UNION AND OTHER CONTRIBUTORS AND ASSISTANCE FOR DATA CONTRIBUTION

# GENIE DATABASE

It is recalled that the GENIE database (<http://www.upov.int/genie/en/>) has been developed to provide, for example, online information on the status of protection (see document C/[session]/6), cooperation in examination (see document C/[session]/5), experience in DUS testing (see document TC/[session]/4), and existence of UPOV Test Guidelines (see document TC/[session]/2) for different GENera and specIEs (hence GENIE), and is used to generate the relevant Council and Technical Committee (TC) documents concerning that information. In addition, the GENIE database is the repository of the UPOV codes and also provides information concerning alternative botanical and common names.

## Information on type of crop

The Office of the Union has received requests from experts from members of the Union for information on the type of crop corresponding to each UPOV code in the GENIE database.

At present, the administrative interface of the GENIE database allows each UPOV code to be allocated to a particular Technical Working Party or Technical Working Parties. The purpose of that feature is to allocate the checking of UPOV codes to the appropriate Technical Working Party(ies) (see paragraph 14). However, that information is not made available on the UPOV website version of the GENIE database. Furthermore, whilst all new UPOV codes are allocated to a Technical Working Party(ies), there are a large number of old UPOV codes that have not been allocated to a Technical Working Party(ies).

In addition to the above, the Council, at its forty-seventh ordinary session, held in Geneva on October 24, 2013, noted that the Office of the Union would explore the possibility of providing information on statistics by crop type (e.g. agriculture, fruit, ornamental, vegetable and forest trees) in future versions of document C/[session]/7 “Plant variety protection statistics”.

The TC, at its fiftieth session, held in Geneva, April 7 to 9, 2014, agreed to provide information on the type of crop for each UPOV code in the GENIE database as follows:

The Office of the Union:

(a) allocates TWP(s) to all UPOV codes, with a sub-division for the TWO into (i) ornamental plants and (ii) forest trees;

(b) requests the TWPs to check the TWP allocations by correspondence by the end of 2014;

(c) investigates the feasibility of modifying the GENIE database to allow information on the allocated crop type/Technical Working Party(ies) to be displayed for each UPOV code;

(d) creates, by the end of 2014, a standard report feature on the GENIE webpage (see <http://www.upov.int/genie/en/reports/>) with all TWP allocations.

It was clarified that more than one crop type could be allocated to a single UPOV Code (see document TC/50/6, paragraph 8 and TC/50/36 “Report on the Conclusions”, paragraph 95).

The TC noted that the proposed approach would enable the data in the PLUTO database to be analyzed with regard to applications filed, titles issued and titles having ceased to be in force by type of crop, whilst noting that the multiple crop types for some UPOV codes would result in some limitations in that regard (see document TC/50/36, paragraph 96).

The CAJ, at its seventieth session, held in Geneva, on October 14, 2014, noted the plan to provide information on type of crop for UPOV codes, as set out in paragraph 8 of this document (see document CAJ/70/10 “Report on the Conclusions”, paragraph 35).

On December 15, 2014, the TC and TWP members and observers were invited to comment, by January 30, 2015, on the crop type(s) allocated by the Office of the Union to the 3,412 UPOV codes used in the PLUTO database at that time (see Circular E-14/312). A copy of the UPOV codes and allocated crop type(s) is provided on the TC/51 website for information. The comments received are presented in Annex I to this document (in language received).

On the basis of the comments received, the information on crop type(s) will be introduced in the GENIE database and the GENIE database will be modified to show the crop type(s) for each UPOV Code by the end of March 2015. A standard report for TWP allocations for UPOV codes will also be introduced on the GENIE webpage by the end of March 2015.

As indicated above, crop type(s) have only been allocated to the 3,412 UPOV codes currently used in the PLUTO database. Allocation of crop type(s) for other UPOV codes will occur at the time that the UPOV codes are used in the PLUTO database for the first time. In a similar way to the checking of UPOV code additions and amendments, it is proposed that the Office of the Union prepare tables of allocation of crop type(s) for UPOV codes used in the PLUTO database for the first time, for checking by the relevant authorities, for each of the TWP sessions in 2015.

The TC is invited to:

(a) note the information on allocation of crop type(s) for UPOV codes currently used in the PLUTO database, as set out in paragraphs 12 and 13;

(b) note that information on crop type(s) will be introduced in the GENIE database and the GENIE database will be modified to show the crop type(s) for each UPOV Code by the end of March 2015;

(c) note that a standard report for TWP allocations for UPOV codes will be introduced on the GENIE webpage by the end of March 2015;

(d) note that allocation of crop type(s) for further UPOV codes will occur when UPOV codes are used in the PLUTO database for the first time; and

(e) agree that the Office of the Union prepare tables of allocation of crop type(s) for UPOV codes used in the PLUTO database for the first time for checking by the relevant authorities, for each of the TWP sessions in 2015.

# UPOV CODE SYSTEM

## Guide to the UPOV Code System

The “Guide to the UPOV Code System” is available on the UPOV website (see <http://www.upov.int/genie/en/pdf/upov_code_system.pdf>).

## UPOV code developments

In 2014, 577 new UPOV codes were created and amendments were made to 37 existing UPOV codes. The total number of UPOV codes in the GENIE database at the end of 2014 was 7,808.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | Year | | | | | | | | | |
|  |  | | | | | | | | | |
|  | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 |
| New UPOV codes | n/a | n/a | 300 (approx) | 148 | 114 | 173 | 212 | 209 | 577 |
| Amendments | n/a | n/a | 30 (approx) | 17 | 6 | 12 | 5 | 47\* | 37 |
| Total UPOV Codes (at end of year) | 5,977 | 6,169 | 6,346 | 6,582 | 6,683 | 6,851 | 7,061 | 7,251 | 7,808 |

\* including changes to UPOV codes resulting from the amendment of the “Guide to the UPOV Code System” concerning hybrids (see document TC/49/6).

In accordance with the procedure set out in Section 3.3 of the Guide to the UPOV Code System, the Office of the Union will prepare tables of UPOV code additions and amendments, for checking by the relevant authorities, for each of the TWP sessions in 2015.

The TC is invited to note:

(a) the developments concerning UPOV codes, as set out in paragraph 17; and

(b) that the Office of the Union will prepare tables of UPOV codes additions and amendments, for checking by the relevant authorities, for each of the TWP sessions in 2015, as set out in paragraph 18.

# PLUTO DATABASE

Program for improvements to the PLUTO database (“Program”)

The CAJ, at its sixty-eighth session, held on October 21, 2013, considered document CAJ/68/6 “UPOV information databases” and approved the amendments to the program for improvements to the PLUTO database (“Program”) as set out in document CAJ/68/6, Annex II, subject to certain further amendments agreed at that session (see document CAJ/68/10 “Report on the Conclusions”, paragraphs 23 to 26)

The program reflecting amendments approved at previous sessions is available in document TC/50/6 “UPOV Information Databases”, Annex I.

The following paragraphs provide a summary of developments concerning the Program since the fiftieth session of the TC, held in Geneva, from April 5 to 7, 2014.

### Provision of assistance to contributors (Program: section 2)

Annex II to this document provides a summary of the contributions to the PLUTO database from 2012 to 2014 and the current situation of members of the Union on data contribution.

### Information on the latest date of submission by the contributors (Program: section 2)

The TC, at its forty-ninth session, noted that, for the short-term, information on the latest date of submission by the contributors was provided by the PLUTO database in the form of a pdf document. However, in the longer term, it was planned that the date of submission would be provided for individual data retrieved from the database (see document TC/49/41 “Report on the Conclusions”, paragraph 93).

In that regard, it was planned to create an additional column in the PLUTO search screen showing the date on which the information was provided.

The additional column showing the date on which the information will be introduced in the PLUTO database by the end of March 2015.

## Search tools

Matters concerning the possible development of a similarity search tool for variety denomination purposes are reported under agenda item 9 “Variety Denominations” (see document TC/51/12 “Variety Denominations”, paragraphs 4 to 14).

### Searching for denominations in the item “Breeder’s Ref” on “Denomination Search” page

The PLUTO database provides two search pages; “Term Search” and “Denomination Search”. The Term Search page enables searches on any of the data fields in the PLUTO database and any combination of data fields. The Denomination Search page enables searches only in the variety denomination data field and some search tools (e.g. similarity factor) are different from Term Search page. The field “Breeder’s Ref” cannot be searched in the Denomination Search page, although the field might contain information relevant for variety denominations.

It is proposed to provide the possibility to search both the data field “Denomination” and “Breeder’s Ref” using the denomination search tools on the Denomination Search page, either individually or in combination.

The conclusions of the TC at its fifty-first session, to be held in Geneva from March 23 to 25, 2015, on this matter, will be reported to the CAJ at its seventy-first session, to be held in Geneva, on March 26, 2015.

## PLUTO Database Training Course

On December 9 to 11, 2014, a training course “Contributing data to the PLUTO database”, was held in Geneva. The aim of the course was to provide assistance to members of the Union that did not provide data for the PLUTO database, or did not provide data on a regular basis, in order to enable them to provide data for the PLUTO database on a regular basis. The course was in the form of practical, hands-on training, provided by the PLUTO administrators. At the end of the course, participants were required to present:

(i) action required by participants to be able to provide data for the PLUTO database;

(ii) action required by PLUTO database administrator;

(iii) date by which participants intend to start to provide data to PLUTO on a regular basis (i.e. shortly after it is published by the authority(ies) concerned)

The training course was attended by 11 participants from nine members of the Union: Albania; Belarus; China; Georgia; Jordan; Republic of Moldova; Trinidad and Tobago; Uzbekistan; and Viet Nam.

The dates by which participants intend to start provide data to PLUTO on a regular basis are provided in Annex II to this document.

Three further courses, in English, French and Spanish, are planned to be held in 2015.

The TC is invited to:

(a) note the summary of contributions to the PLUTO database from 2012 to 2014 and the current situation of members of the Union on data contribution, as presented in Annex II to this document;

(b) note that an additional column in the PLUTO search screen, showing the date on which the information was provided, will be introduced by the end of March 2015;

(c) agree that both the fields “Denomination” and “Breeder’s Ref” be searchable, independently or in combination, by denomination search tools on the “Denomination Search” page of the PLUTO database, as set out in paragraphs 28 and 29 and note that the conclusions of the TC on that matter will be reported to the CAJ at its seventy-first session, to be held in Geneva, on March 26, 2015; and

(d) note the information concerning the training course “Contributing data to the PLUTO database”, held in Geneva in December 2014, as set out in paragraphs 31 to 34 and the plans to organize three further courses, in English, French and Spanish, in 2015.

[Annexes follow]

NOT FOR TRANSLATION

COMMENTS RECEIVED ON THE CROP TYPE(S) ALLOCATED BY THE OFFICE OF THE UNION TO THE UPOV CODES CURRENTLY USED IN THE PLUTO DATABASE

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| UPOV codes | Botanical name | Allocation (original) | | | Proposed allocation | | | Proposed allocation | Explanation on original text |
| TWP alloc. | Ornamental plants (O) | Forest trees (T) | TWP alloc. | Ornamental plants (O) | Forest trees (T) |
| ABIES | Abies Mill. | TWO |  | T | TWO |  | T | Add O | There are also ornamental varieties |
| ABIES | Abies Mill. |  |  |  | TWO | O |  |
| ABIES\_BAL | Abies balsamea (L.) Mill. | TWO |  | T | TWO |  | T | Add O | There are also ornamental varieties |
| ABIES\_BAL | Abies balsamea (L.) Mill. |  |  |  | TWO | O |  |
| ABIES\_KOR | Abies koreana E. H. Wilson | TWO |  | T | TWO |  | T | Add O | There are also ornamental varieties |
| ABIES\_KOR | Abies koreana E. H. Wilson |  |  |  | TWO | O |  |
| ALLIU\_PRO | Allium fistulosum × Allium cepa | TWO | O |  | TWO | O |  | add TWV | Se sugiere que Allium fistulosum x Allium cepa, además de ser considerado en TWO, también sea considerado en el TWV, debido a que su mayor explotación en México es como un vegetal. |
| ALLIU\_PRO | Allium fistulosum × Allium cepa | TWV |  |  | TWV |  |  |
| BETUL\_PEN | Betula pendula Roth | TWO | O |  | TWO | O |  | Add T | common forest tree in Poland |
| BETUL\_PEN | Betula pendula Roth |  |  |  | TWO |  | T |
| BRASS\_NAP | Brassica napus L. | TWV |  |  | TWA |  |  | Delete TWV and add TWA | Brasica Napus L. es un cultivo anual, cuya semilla es usada principalmente como semilla oleginosa y para forraje por tal motivo, se recomienda que sea analizada en el TWA. |
| BRASS\_NIG | Sinapis nigra L. | TWV |  |  | TWV |  |  | Add TWA | to be also considered as agricultural plant |
| BRASS\_NIG | Sinapis nigra L. |  |  |  | TWA |  |  |
| CARPI\_BET | Carpinus betulus L. | TWO | O |  | TWO | O |  | Add T | common forest tree in Poland |
| CARPI\_BET | Carpinus betulus L. |  |  |  | TWO |  | T |
| UPOV codes | Botanical name | Allocation (original) | | | Proposed allocation | | | Proposed allocation | Explanation on original text |
| TWP alloc. | Ornamental plants (O) | Forest trees (T) | TWP alloc. | Ornamental plants (O) | Forest trees (T) |
| CICER\_ARI | Cicer arietinum L. | TWV |  |  | TWV |  |  | add TWA | Debido a que Cicer arietinum L. es un cultivo anual que pertenece a la familia de las leguminosas, cuyo fruto constituye un recurso alimenticio, el cual no solo se consume como legumbre (semilla inmadura) sino tambien como semilla madura. Se recomienda que Cicer arietinum sea considerado en el TWV y TWA. |
| CICER\_ARI | Cicer arietinum L. |  |  |  | TWA |  |  |
| CUCUM\_MEL | Cucumis melo L. | TWF |  |  | TWV |  |  | Remove TWF and add TWV | Se sugiere el cambio de Cucumis melo L. por pertenecer a la familia cucurbitaceae. |
| CUCUM\_MEL | Cucumis melo L. | TWF |  |  | TWV |  |  | Delete TWF and add TWV | In Poland it belongs to vegetables, is also discussed on UPOV TWV and CPVO VEM |
| CUCUM\_MEL | Melo sativus Sarg. | TWF |  |  | TWV |  |  |
| CUCUM\_MEL\_MEL | Cucumis melo L. subsp. melo | TWF |  |  | TWV |  |  | Delete TWF and add TWV | In Poland it belongs to vegetables, is also discussed on UPOV TWV and CPVO VEM |
| ECNCE\_PUR | Echinacea purpurea (L.) Moench | TWO | O |  | TWO | O |  | add TWA | it is also medicinal plant |
| ECNCE\_PUR | Echinacea purpurea (L.) Moench |  |  |  | TWA |  |  |
| FAGUS\_SYL | Fagus sylvatica L. | TWO | O |  | TWO | O |  | add T | common forest tree in Poland |
| FAGUS\_SYL | Fagus sylvatica L. |  |  |  | TWO |  | T |
| FRAXI\_EXC | Fraxinus excelsior L. | TWO | O |  | TWO | O |  | add T | common forest tree in Poland |
| FRAXI\_EXC | Fraxinus excelsior L. |  |  |  | TWO |  | T |
| IPOMO\_BAT | Ipomoea batatas (L.) Lam. | TWA |  |  | TWV |  |  | TWV | considered a vegetable |
| IPOMO\_BAT | Ipomoea batatas (L.) Poir. | TWA |  |  | TWV |  |  |
| UPOV codes | Botanical name | Allocation (original) | | | Proposed allocation | | | Proposed allocation | Explanation on original text |
| TWP alloc. | Ornamental plants (O) | Forest trees (T) | TWP alloc. | Ornamental plants (O) | Forest trees (T) |
| JATRO\_CUR | Jatropha curcas L. | TWO | O |  | TWF |  |  | Delete TWO and add TWF | Se sugiere que Jatropha Curcas. sea revisado en el TWF, debido a que su producción es principalmente para la obtención de su fruto, el cual tiene un alto potencial para la producción de biodisel. |
| NEOTY | Neotyphodium | TWV |  |  | TWA |  |  | TWA | Endophytes for pasture plants |
| NEOTY\_ACR | Neotyphodium acremonium | TWV |  |  | TWA |  |  | TWA | Endophytes for pasture plants |
| NEOTY\_ACR | Acremonium | TWV |  |  | TWA |  |  |
| NEOTY\_COE | Neotyphodium coenophialum | TWV |  |  | TWA |  |  | TWA | Endophytes for pasture plants |
| NEOTY\_LOL | Neotyphodium lolii | TWV |  |  | TWA |  |  | TWA | Endophytes for pasture plants |
| NEOTY\_UNC | Neotyphodium uncinatum (W. Gams, Petrini & D. Schmidt) Glenn, C.W. Bacon & Hanlin | TWV |  |  | TWA |  |  | TWA | Endophytes for pasture plants |
| PHASE\_VUL | Phaseolus vulgaris L. | TWV |  |  | TWV |  |  | add TWA | Debido a que el frijol es un cultivo anual que pertenece a la familia de las leguminosas y a que produce una vaina comestible que puede ser consumida como legumbre (ejote) y a que tambien sus semillas secas son consumidas, se recomienda que a Phaseolus vulgaris se le asigne al TWV y TWA. |
| PHASE\_VUL | Phaseolus vulgaris L. |  |  |  | TWA |  |  |
| PICEA\_ABI | Picea abies (L.) H. Karst. | TWO |  | T | TWO |  | T | add O | There are also ornamental varieties |
| PICEA\_ABI | Picea abies (L.) H. Karst. |  |  |  | TWO | O |  |
| PICEA\_GLA | Picea glauca (Moench) Voss | TWO |  | T | TWO |  | T | add O | There are also ornamental varieties |
| PICEA\_GLA | Picea glauca (Moench) Voss |  |  |  | TWO | O |  |
| UPOV codes | Botanical name | Allocation (original) | | | Proposed allocation | | | Proposed allocation | Explanation on original text |
| TWP alloc. | Ornamental plants (O) | Forest trees (T) | TWP alloc. | Ornamental plants (O) | Forest trees (T) |
| PICEA\_OMO | Picea omorika (Pancic) Purk. | TWO |  | T | TWO |  | T | add O | There are also ornamental varieties |
| PICEA\_OMO | Picea omorika (Pancic) Purk. |  |  |  | TWO | O |  |
| PICEA\_PUN | Picea pungens Engelm. | TWO |  | T | TWO |  | T | add O | There are also ornamental varieties |
| PICEA\_PUN | Picea pungens Engelm. |  |  |  | TWO | O |  |
| PSIDI\_GUA | Psidium guajava L. | TWO |  | T | TWF |  |  | Delete TWO and add TWF | Las plantaciones de Psidium guajava L. son destinadas principalmente para la producción de su fruto, el cual es cosumido como fruta fresca y ampliamente destinado a la industria alimentaria. Por tal motivo sugerimos que esta especie sea analizada en el TWF. |
| PSIDI\_GUA | Psidium guajava L. | TWO | O |  |  |  |  |
| PYRUS\_LEC | Pyrus ×lecontei Rehder | TWO |  | T | TWF |  |  | TWF | There are fruit varieties |
| PYRUS\_LEC | Pyrus communis x P. pyrifolia | TWO |  | T | TWF |  |  |
| PYRUS\_USS | Pyrus ussuriensis Maxim. | TWO |  | T | TWF |  |  | TWF | There are fruit varieties. Chinese pears |
| RAPBR | Raphanus x Brassica | TWV |  |  | TWV |  |  | also TWA | also TWA. Forage varieties |
| RAPBR | Raphanus x Brassica |  |  |  | TWA |  |  |
| RAPBR\_SRA | Raphanus sativus x Brassica rapa | TWV |  |  | TWV |  |  | also TWA | also TWA. Forage varieties |
| RAPBR\_SRA | Raphanus sativus x Brassica rapa |  |  |  | TWA |  |  |
| RICIN\_COM | Ricinus communis L. | TWO |  |  | TWA |  |  | Delete TWO and add TWA | Se sugiere que Ricinus communis L. sea revisado en el TWA, debido a que es una oleginosa cuyo uso potencial es la producción de biodisel y no como planta ornamental. |
| ROSMA | Rosmarinus L. | TWV |  |  | TWV |  |  | also TWO | Variegated varieties as Ornamentals |
| ROSMA | Rosmarinus L. |  |  |  | TWO | O |  |
| SALVI\_OFF | Salvia officinalis L. | TWO | O |  | TWO | O |  | add TWA | it is also common medicinal plant |
| SALVI\_OFF | Salvia officinalis L. |  |  |  | TWA |  |  |
| UPOV codes | Botanical name | Allocation (original) | | | Proposed allocation | | | Proposed allocation (if different) | Explanation on original text |
| TWP alloc. | Ornamental plants (O) | Forest trees (T) | TWP alloc. | Ornamental plants (O) | Forest trees (T) |
| SECHI\_EDU | Sechium edule (Jacq.) Sw. | TWA |  |  | TWV |  |  | Delete TWA and TWF, and add TWV | El cambio se sugiere por que Sechium edule (Jacq) Sw pertenece a la familia cucurbitaceae., y su uso es generalmente como hortaliza. |
| SECHI\_EDU | Sechium edule (Jacq.) Sw. | TWF |  |  |  |  |  |
| TRFOL | Trifolium L. | TWV |  |  | TWV |  |  | also TWO | Ornamental clover varieties exist |
| TRFOL | Trifolium L. |  |  |  | TWO | O |  |
| VICIA\_FAB | Vicia faba L. | TWV |  |  | TWV |  |  | add TWA | Al igual que el Phaseolus Vulgaris L., Vicia faba L., es un cultivo anual que pertenece a la familia de las leguminosas y sus semillas inmaduras se consumen como legumbre y las maduras como grano, por tal motivo se recomienda que se le asigne a TWV y TWA. |
| VICIA\_FAB | Vicia faba L. |  |  |  | TWA |  |  |
| VICIA\_FAB\_MAJ | Vicia faba L. var. major Harz | TWA |  |  | TWA |  |  | add TWV | belongs to vegetables, for var. major and var.minor the same guidelines are used |
| VICIA\_FAB\_MAJ | Vicia faba L. var. major Harz |  |  |  | TWV |  |  |
| VICIA\_FAB\_MIN | Vicia faba L. var. minor Harz | TWA |  |  | TWA |  |  | add TWV | belongs to vegetables, for var. major and var.minor the same guidelines are used |
| VICIA\_FAB\_MIN | Vicia faba L. var. minor Harz |  |  |  | TWV |  |  |

REPORT ON DATA CONTRIBUTED TO THE PLANT VARIETY DATABASE BY MEMBERS OF THE UNION AND OTHER CONTRIBUTORS AND ASSISTANCE FOR DATA CONTRIBUTION

|  | Contributor | Number of applications for Plant Breeders’ Rights in 2013 | Number of new data submissions to the Plant Variety Database in 2011[[1]](#footnote-2) | Number of new data submissions to the  Plant Variety Database in 2012[[2]](#footnote-3) | Number of new data submissions to the  Plant Variety Database in 2013 | Number of new data submissions to the  Plant Variety Database in 2014 | Current situation |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | Albania | 44 (2012) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Participated in the PLUTO Database Training Course and plan to submit data at the end of each year or on the first month of the next year. |
|  | Argentina | 267 (2011) | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | Staff who provided data left; waiting for new staff to be trained |
|  | Australia | 330 | 6 | 5 | 6 | 3 | [Contributing data] |
|  | [[3]](#footnote-4)\*Austria | 2 (2011) | 4 | 4 | 4 | 2 |  |
|  | Azerbaijan | 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Awaiting reply to e-mail of 14/05/2014 requesting data |
|  | Belarus | 57 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | Participated in the training course and plan to submit data every March. |
|  | \*Belgium | 0 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 3 |  |
|  | Bolivia | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Awaiting response to the request for data during the meeting on 21/10/2014 |
|  | Brazil | 326 | 2 | 5 | 5 | 4 | [Contributing data] |
|  | \*Bulgaria | 34 | 5 | 6 | 6 | 4 |  |
|  | Canada | 322 | 5 | 6 | 5 | 5 | [Contributing data] |
|  | Chile | 69 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 1 | [Contributing data] |
|  | China | 1,510 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | [Contributing data]  A participant from the Ministry of Agriculture (MOA) attended the training course. The MOA plans to submit a major part of existing data by March 2015 and complete data by the end of 2015. After that, it is planned to submit data 2 months after Gazette publication. |
|  | Colombia | 93 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Awaiting response to the request for data during the meeting on 21/02/2014. Contacted during meetings with example of data. |
|  | Costa Rica | 8 | 0 | (1) | 0 | 2 | [Contributing data] |
|  | \*Croatia | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |  |
|  | \*Czech Republic | 96 | 6 | 4 | 6 | 2 |  |
|  | \*Denmark | 3 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 |  |
|  | Dominican Republic | 0 (2011) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Awaiting reply to e-mail of 21/10/2014 requesting data |
|  | Ecuador | 21 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 1 | [Contributing data] |
|  | \*Estonia | 15 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 2 |  |
|  | \*European Union | 3,296 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 5 |  |
|  | \*Finland | 11 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 2 |  |
|  | \*France | 107 | 6 | 6 | 5 | *5* |  |
|  | Georgia | 36 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | [Contributing data] |
|  | \*Germany | 104 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 7 |  |
|  | \*Hungary | 27 | 5 | 6 | 6 | 5 |  |
|  | \*Iceland | 0(2012) | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
|  | \*Ireland | 2 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 2 |  |
|  | Israel | 46 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | [Contributing data] |
|  | \*Italy | 2 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 3 |  |
|  | Japan | 1,054 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 5 | [Contributing data] |
|  | Jordan | 4 | 0 | (1) | 0 | 0 | Participated in the training course and plan to submit data in March 2015. |
|  | Kenya | 95 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | [Contributing data] |
|  | Kyrgyzstan | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | [Contributing data] |
|  | \*Latvia | 5 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 2 |  |
|  | \*Lithuania | 12 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 2 |  |
|  | Mexico | 173 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | [Contributing data] |
|  | Morocco | 56 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | [Contributing data]  Awaiting reply to email of 08/08/2014 requesting new data |
|  | \*Netherlands | 747 | 5 | 6 | 6 | 2 |  |
|  | New Zealand | 154 | 6 | 5 | 3 | 5 | [Contributing data] |
|  | Nicaragua | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Awaiting reply to e-mail of 21/10/2014 requesting data |
|  | \*Norway | 30 | 5 | 3 | 3 | 1 |  |
|  | Oman | 0 (2009) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Awaiting reply to e-mail of 21/10/2014 requesting data |
|  | Panama | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Awaiting reply to e-mail of 21/10/2014 requesting data |
|  | Paraguay | 34 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Awaiting reply to e-mail of 21/10/2014 requesting data |
|  | Peru | 137 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | [Contributing data] |
|  | \*Poland | 88 | 4 | 6 | 5 | 3 |  |
|  | \*Portugal | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 |  |
|  | Republic of Korea | 599 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 1 | [Contributing data] |
|  | Republic of Moldova | 43 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | [Contributing data]  Participated in the training course and plan to submit data on March 1, 2015. |
|  | \*Romania | 40 | 6 | 4 | 3 | 3 |  |
|  | Russian Federation | 555 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 2 | [Contributing data] |
|  | Serbia | 45 | - | - | 3 | 2 | [Contributing data] |
|  | Singapore | 0(2012) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | [No applications]  Email received 17/10/2013 stating no applications. |
|  | \*Slovakia | 18 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 3 |  |
|  | \*Slovenia | 3 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 4 |  |
|  | South Africa | 309 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | [Contributing data] |
|  | \*Spain | 74 | 6 | 6 | 4 | 4 |  |
|  | \*Sweden | 4 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 5 |  |
|  | \*Switzerland | 77 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 5 |  |
|  | The former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Awaiting reply to e-mail of 21/10/2014 requesting data |
|  | Trinidad and Tobago | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Participated in the training course and plan to submit some data by the third week of January 2015, as a beginning. |
|  | Tunisia | 32(2012) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Awaiting reply to e-mail of 23/07/2014 requesting data |
|  | \*Turkey | 215 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 1 |  |
|  | Ukraine | 1,544 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Awaiting reply to e-mail of 21/10/2014 requesting data |
|  | \*United Kingdom | 37 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 8 |  |
|  | United States of America | 1,889 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 10 | [Contributing data] |
|  | Uruguay | 54 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | [Contributing data] |
|  | Uzbekistan | 21 | (1) | 0 | 0 | 0 | Participated in the training course and plan to submit data in 2015 |
|  | Viet Nam | 92 | (1) | 0 | 0 | 0 | Participated in the training course and plan to submit data in 2015 |
|  | OECD | - | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | [Contributing data] |

[End of Annex and of document]

1. ‘6’ indicates that new data was submitted for all six (6) new versions of the UPOV-ROM issued in 2011. [↑](#footnote-ref-2)
2. ‘3’ indicates that new data was submitted for all three (3) new versions of the UPOV-ROM issued in 2012.

   ( ) Parenthesis indicates that data are currently being processed. [↑](#footnote-ref-3)
3. \* Data provided via the CPVO. [↑](#footnote-ref-4)