



TC/49/25

ORIGINAL: English

DATE: January 29, 2013

INTERNATIONAL UNION FOR THE PROTECTION OF NEW VARIETIES OF PLANTS

Geneva

TECHNICAL COMMITTEE**Forty-Ninth Session
Geneva, March 18 to 20, 2013****REVISION OF DOCUMENT TGP/8: PART II: TECHNIQUES USED IN DUS EXAMINATION,
SECTION 3, SUBSECTION 3.6: ADAPTING COYD TO SPECIAL CIRCUMSTANCES***Document prepared by the Office of the Union*

1. The purpose of this document is to present a proposal for a new Subsection 3.6: "Adapting COYD to Special Circumstances" for inclusion in Section 3, of document TGP/8.

2. The following abbreviations are used in this document:

TC:	Technical Committee
TC-EDC:	Enlarged Editorial Committee
TWA:	Technical Working Party for Agricultural Crops
TWC:	Technical Working Party on Automation and Computer Programs
TWF:	Technical Working Party for Fruit Crops
TWO:	Technical Working Party for Ornamental Plants and Forest Trees
TWPs:	Technical Working Parties
TWV:	Technical Working Party for Vegetables

BACKGROUND

3. The Technical Committee (TC), at its forty-eighth session, held in Geneva from March 26 to 28, 2012, considered the revision of document TGP/8 "Trial Design and Techniques Used in the Examination of Distinctness, Uniformity and Stability" on the basis of document TC/48/19 Rev.. Document TC/48/19 Rev., Annex V reported that the TC had noted that the Technical Working Party on Automation and Computer Programs (TWC) had received a presentation by Mr. Adrian Roberts (United Kingdom) on "An Adjustment to the COYD Method When Varieties are Grouped Within the DUS Trial" (see documents TWC/29/25 and TWC/29/25 Add.) and that it had agreed that the text should be included in TGP/8 Part II Section 3.

4. The TC noted that new drafts of relevant sections would need to be prepared by April 26, 2012, in order that the sections could be included in the draft to be considered by the Technical Working Parties (TWPs) at their sessions in 2012 (see document TC/48/22 "Report on the conclusions" paragraph 49).

COMMENTS BY THE TECHNICAL WORKING PARTIES IN 2012

5. At their sessions in 2012, the TWA, TWV, TWC, TWF and TWO considered documents TWA/41/20, TWV/46/20, TWC/30/20, TWF/43/20, TWO/45/20, respectively, and commented as follows:

General	The TWA supported the inclusion of the proposed text as Subsection 3.6 in Section 3 of TGP/8 Part II (see document TWA/41/34 "Report", paragraphs 27 and 28).	TWA
	The TWV supported the inclusion of the proposed text as Subsection 3.6 in Section 3 of TGP/8 Part II. The TWV agreed that the wording of paragraph 3.6.4.2 should read "groups" instead of "grouping" in the last sentence (see document TWV/46/41 "Report", paragraphs 26 to 28).	TWV
	The TWC considered document TWC/30/20 and agreed that the wording in paragraph 3.6.4.2 should read "groups" instead of "grouping" in the last sentence (see document TWC/30/41 "Report", paragraph 30). The TWC agreed that the text should be included in TGP/8 Part II Section 3 as Subsection 3.6 (see document TWC/30/41 "Report", paragraph 30).	TWC

COMMENTS BY THE ENLARGED EDITORIAL COMMITTEE IN 2013

6. The TC-EDC, at its meeting, held in Geneva on January 9 and 10, 2013, considered document TC-EDC/Jan13/12 "Revision of document TGP/8: Part II Techniques Used in DUS Examination, Section 3, Subsection 3.6: "Adapting COYD to Special Circumstances". The TC-EDC proposed the following amendment:

3.6.4.2	When grouping is possible, such that all the varieties within a group will be distinct from all varieties of any other group, comparisons are only necessary between varieties in the same group. Since varieties within groups tend to be more similar to each other, it is possible to tailor the COYD method by accounting for the groups. If there are <u>is</u> a sufficient <u>number of</u> varieties in each group," [...]	TC-EDC
---------	---	--------

7. The Annex to this document contains a proposed text for Section 3, new Subsection 3.6: "Adapting COYD to Special Circumstances", on the basis of the comments by the TWPs and the TC-EDC, at its meeting on January 9 and 10, 2013. The amendments to the text considered by the TWPs, at their sessions in 2012 and the TC-EDC, at its meeting on January 9 and 10, 2013, are indicated by highlighting and strikethrough for deletions and highlighting and underlining for additions.

8. *The TC is invited to consider the Annex to this document, as the basis for the inclusion of Subsection 3.6 in a future revision of document TGP/8: Part II: Techniques Used in DUS Examination, Section 3.*

[Annex follows]

PROPOSED NEW TEXT FOR: TGP/8/1: PART II: SECTION 3, SUBSECTION 3.6 ADAPTING COYD TO SPECIAL CIRCUMSTANCES

AN ADJUSTMENT TO THE COYD METHOD WHEN VARIETIES ARE GROUPED WITHIN THE TRIAL

3.6.4 Crops with grouping characteristics

3.6.4.1 In some crops, it is possible to use grouping characteristics to define groups of varieties such that all the varieties within a group will be distinct from all the varieties of any other group (“distinct groups”). This grouping may be preserved in trial layouts so that, within a replicate, varieties in the same group are in the same vicinity. (See TG/1/3, section 4.8 “Functional Categorization of Characteristics”).

3.6.4.2 When grouping is possible, such that all the varieties within a group will be distinct from all varieties of any other group, comparisons are only necessary between varieties in the same group. Since varieties within groups tend to be more similar to each other, it is possible to tailor the COYD method by accounting for the groups. If there ~~are~~ is a sufficient number of varieties in each group, COYD can be applied separately for each group. However, in practice some groups will generally have too few varieties. In such cases, the over-years analysis of variance (COYD) can be adjusted to take into account the grouping. This method is known as COYD for grouping groups (COYDG).

3.6.4.3 Whereas the standard COYD analysis of variance has terms for ‘year’ and ‘variety’, COYDG has terms for ‘year’, ‘group’, ‘variety-within-group’ and ‘group-by-year’. The LSD is then calculated for comparisons between pairs of varieties within the same group. It is assumed that the same standard error is applicable within all groups. Note that a larger LSD will apply for comparisons between pairs of varieties from different groups.

3.6.4.4 So the LSD for COYDG is given by $LSD_p = t_p \times SED_G$

where SED_G is the standard error for the difference between two varieties within the same group and calculated as:

$$SED_G = \frac{\sqrt{2 \times \text{varieties} - \text{within} - \text{group} - \text{by} - \text{years mean square}}}{\text{number of test years}}$$

Note that the varieties-within group-by-years mean square is the same as the residual mean square from the COYDG analysis of variance.

3.6.4.5 The COYDG LSD is used in place of the COYD LSD as a distinctness criterion. Usually it should be smaller. However it is sensible to verify whether this is true on historical data sets.

3.6.4.6 The COYDG method can be applied using GTVRP module of the DUST package for the statistical analysis of DUS data, which is available from Dr. Sally Watson (Email: info@afbini.gov.uk) or from <http://www.afbini.gov.uk/dustnt.htm>.

[End of Annex and of document]