



TC/49/14

ORIGINAL: English

DATE: February 4, 2013

INTERNATIONAL UNION FOR THE PROTECTION OF NEW VARIETIES OF PLANTS

Geneva

TECHNICAL COMMITTEE

Forty-Ninth Session Geneva, March 18 to 20, 2013

ASSESSING UNIFORMITY BY OFF-TYPES ON THE BASIS OF MORE THAN ONE SAMPLE OR SUB SAMPLES

Document prepared by the Office of the Union

1. The purpose of this document is to report on a summary of approaches used by members of the Union in assessing uniformity by off-types on the basis of more than one sample or sub-sample.

2. The following abbreviations are used in this document:

TC:	Technical Committee
TC-EDC:	Enlarged Editorial Committee
TWA:	Technical Working Party for Agricultural Crops
TWC:	Technical Working Party on Automation and Computer Programs
TWF:	Technical Working Party for Fruit Crops
TWO:	Technical Working Party for Ornamental Plants and Forest Trees
TWPs:	Technical Working Parties
TWV:	Technical Working Party for Vegetables

3. The structure of this document is as follows:

BACKGROUND	2
DEVELOPMENTS IN 2012	2
TECHNICAL COMMITTEE	2
TECHNICAL WORKING PARTY FOR AGRICULTURAL CROPS	2
TECHNICAL WORKING PARTY FOR VEGETABLES	3
TECHNICAL WORKING PARTY ON AUTOMATION AND COMPUTER PROGRAMS.....	3
TECHNICAL WORKING PARTY ON FRUIT CROPS.....	3
TECHNICAL WORKING PARTY FOR ORNAMENTAL PLANTS AND FOREST TREES.....	4
SUMMARY OF APPROACHES	4
ANNEX I: Situation A: Two Growing Cycles in a single location	
ANNEX II: Situation B: Two Growing Locations in the same year	
ANNEX III: Situation C: More than one test/trial for a characteristics in the same growing cycle	
ANNEX IV: Assessing sub-samples within a single test/trial	

BACKGROUND

4. The Background to this matter is provided in document TC/48/14 "Assessing Uniformity by Off-Types on the Basis of More than One Sample or Sub-Sample".

DEVELOPMENTS IN 2012

Technical Committee

5. The TC, at its forty-eighth session held in Geneva from March 26 to 28, 2012 considered document TC/48/14 "Assessing uniformity by off-types on the basis of more than one sample or sub-sample".

6. The TC, at its forty-eighth session, requested the Office of the Union to prepare a summary of the information in the Annexes to document TC/48/14, corresponding to the assessment of uniformity by off-types on the basis of more than one sample or sub-sample. The summary would categorize the different situations where more than one sample or sub-sample were used and how the results from separate samples/sub-samples were combined for an overall assessment of uniformity of a variety.

7. The TC, at its forty-eighth session, agreed to invite the TWC to consider the information contained in the replies to the questionnaire "Population standards used for assessing uniformity by off types on the basis of more than one sample", as contained in the annexes to document TC/48/14 and in the summary to be produced by the Office of the Union, and to provide guidance on the consequences of different approaches (see document TC/48/22 "Report on the Conclusions", paragraphs 128 to 130).

Technical Working Party for Agricultural Crops

8. The TWA, at its forty-first session, held in Angers, France, from May 21 to 25, 2012 considered document TWA/41/9 "Assessing uniformity by off-types on the basis of more than one sample or sub-sample" (see document TWA/41/34 "Report", paragraph 46).

9. The TWA, at its forty-first session, agreed that clarification should be provided for Situations A and B if the approach combining the results from two growing cycles was considered to correspond to the requirement for "independent" growing cycles (see document TWA/41/34 "Report", paragraph 47).

10. The TWA, at its forty-first session, noted the explanation from the expert from the Czech Republic that the Apple example should be deleted, because the same approach was used as for other crops (see document TWA/41/34 "Report", paragraph 48).

11. On the basis of information provided at the meeting on "Situation B: Two growing locations in the same year, Approach: Third growing cycle in case of inconsistent results", the TWA agreed to revise the text to read as follows:

"[...] If the variety is within the uniformity standard in one growing location but is not within the uniformity standard in the other growing location, then:

Alternative (a) the trial is repeated at both locations for a second year;

Alternative (b) the trial is repeated at the Leading station (location) (European Union)"

(see document TWA/41/34 "Report", paragraph 49).

12. In the case of "Situation D: Assessing sub-samples within a single test/trial, Approach: Sub-sample as first step of assessment", the TWA agreed that the explanation should be generalized (i.e. no reference to 0 off-types in the subsample) and should provide an explanation of the statistical basis for the approach. The TWA also agreed that the statistical experts from France and Germany should be invited to explain the statistical basis for the acceptable number of off-types in the subsample of 20 plants used in the context of a sample size of 100 plants (see document TWA/41/34 "Report", paragraph 50).

13. The TWA, at its forty-first session, noted that the TWC would be invited to provide guidance on the possible consequences of different approaches (see document TWA/41/34 "Report", paragraph 51).

Technical Working Party for Vegetables

14. The TWV, at its forty-sixth session, held near the city of Venlo, Netherlands, from June 11 to 15, 2012, considered document TWV/46/9 "Assessing uniformity by off-types on the basis of more than one sample or sub-sample". The changes in document TWV/46/9 from document TWA/41/9 were made, on the basis of the comments made by the TWA, at its forty-first session, as follows:

- deletion of Approach: "Requirement to meet uniformity standard in both growing cycles" (proposed for the Apple example), in section "Summary of approaches" and in Annex I "Situation A: *Two growing cycles in single location*", as set out in paragraph 10 of this document
- revision of the text for Approach: "Third growing cycle in case of inconsistent results" in Annex II "Situation B: *Two growing locations in the same year*," as set out in paragraph 11 of this document

15. The TWV, at its forty-sixth session, noted the different approaches and the similarity between the approaches used in different UPOV members. It agreed to invite the Technical Working Party on Automation and Computer Programs (TWC) to advise whether to use individual or combined results. The experts from Germany, Italy, France and the Netherlands offered to provide examples and data to the TWC, if needed (see document TWV/46/41 "Report", paragraph 55).

16. The TWV, at its forty-sixth session, agreed that the definition of sample size should be more precise (see document TWV/46/41 "Report", paragraph 56).

Technical Working Party on Automation and Computer Programs

17. The TWC, at its thirtieth session, held in Chisinau, Republic of Moldova, from June 26 to 29, 2012, considered document TWC/30/9 "Assessing uniformity by off-types on the basis of more than one sample or sub-sample", with section "Summary of approaches" and annexes, as set out in this document.

18. The TWC, at its thirtieth session, noted the need for further explanation on the situations described, such as the clarification of whether two growing cycles related to the use of the same sample and were carried out in the same year. The TWC agreed that more detailed information and further analysis were needed in order to give guidance on consequences on the use of the different approaches (see document TWC/30/41, "Report", paragraph 83).

19. The TWC, at its thirtieth session, agreed that France, Germany and the Netherlands would present one or more concrete situations in their countries and the statistical basis of their analysis for its next session (see document TWC/30/41, "Report", paragraph 84).

20. The TWC, at its thirtieth session, agreed that the statistical basis for the acceptable number of off-types in the subsample of 20 plants used in the context of a sample size of 100 plants (situation D) would be assessed by experts from France and Germany (see document TWC/30/41, "Report", paragraph 85).

Technical Working Party on Fruit Crops

21. The TWF, at its forty-third session, held in Beijing, China, from July 30 to August 3, 2012, considered document TWF/43/9 Rev. "Assessing uniformity by off-types on the basis of more than one sample or sub-sample", with section "Summary of approaches" and annexes, as set out in this document.

22. The TWF, at its forty-third session, noted the different approaches and the similarity between the approaches used by different UPOV members. It agreed to propose that the Technical Working Party on Automation and Computer Programs (TWC) be invited to advise whether to use individual or combined results (see document TWF/43/38 "Report", paragraph 51).

23. The TWF, at its forty-third session, requested that the expert from New Zealand would provide a presentation on the testing of uniformity of apple varieties arising from mutations, at the TWF meeting in 2013 (see document TWF/43/38 "Report", paragraph 52).

24. The TWF, at its forty-third session, agreed that the definition of growing cycles should be more precise and that, in the future, a detailed description of the way that the examination was to be conducted should be included under "Matters for future consideration" (see document TWF/43/38 "Report", paragraph 53).

Technical Working Party for Ornamental Plants and Forest Trees

25. The TWO, at its forty-fifth session, held in Jeju, Republic of Korea, from August 6 to 10, 2012, considered document TWO/45/9 "Assessing uniformity by off-types on the basis of more than one sample or sub-sample", with section "Summary of approaches" and annexes, as presented in this document. The TWO noted the different approaches used in different UPOV members see document TWO/45/37 "Report", paragraph 54).

SUMMARY OF APPROACHES

26. Annexes I to IV to this document, as amended on the basis of the comments made by the TWA, as set out in paragraphs 10 and 11 of this document and considered by the TWV, TWC, TWF and TWO, at their sessions in 2012, summarize different situations when different samples are combined for the overall assessment of uniformity of a variety, as follows:

Annex I: Situation A: Two growing cycles in a single location

Approach: Third growing cycle in the case of inconsistent results
Approach: Combining the results of two growing cycles

Annex II: Situation B: Two growing locations in the same year

Approach: Third growing cycle in the case of inconsistent results
Approach: Combining the results of two locations

Annex III: Situation C: More than one test/trial in the same growing cycle

Approach: Additional growing cycle in the case of inconsistent results

Annex IV: Situation D: Assessing sub-samples within a single test/trial

Approach: Sub-sample as a first step of assessment

27. The summary in Annexes I to IV only relates to situations where more than one sample, or sub-sample, concern the examination of the same characteristic. In the case of different samples, or sub-samples (e.g. special test), to examine a different characteristic there is no requirement to combine the results because a variety is required to be uniform for all relevant characteristics.

28. *The TC is invited to:*

(a) *note the summary, as set out in Annexes I to IV to this document;*

(b) *note that the TWC will consider further information on the situations presented in Annex I to IV of this document, as set out in paragraphs 18 to 20 of this document;*

(c) *consider if the approach combining the results from two growing cycles, as set out in Annexes I and II, Situation A and B, correspond to the requirement for "independent" growing cycles; and*

(f) *note that an expert from New Zealand will make a presentation on testing of uniformity of Apple varieties arising from mutation at the TWF session in 2013.*

[Annexes follow]

SITUATION A: TWO GROWING CYCLES IN A SINGLE LOCATION

Approach: Third growing cycle in the case of inconsistent results

(Bulgaria, Chile (Wheat), Czech Republic, European Union (Potato), Germany, Georgia, Italy (Potato), Ireland (Potato) Latvia, New Zealand (Lettuce and Apple mutations)).

A variety is considered uniform if it is within the uniformity standard in both of the two growing cycles.

A variety is considered non-uniform if it fails to meet the uniformity standard in both of the two growing cycles.

If at the end of the two growing cycles the variety is within the uniformity standard in one growing cycle but is not within the uniformity standard in the other growing cycle, then uniformity is assessed in a third growing cycle after consultation with the applicant. If in the third growing cycle the variety is within the uniformity standard, the variety is considered uniform. If in the third growing cycle the variety fails to meet the uniformity standard, the variety is considered non-uniform.

Approach: Combining the results of two growing cycles

Republic of Moldova, Chile (Potato), Spain (Cauliflower)).

A variety is considered uniform if it is within the uniformity standard in both of the two growing cycles.

A variety is considered non-uniform if it fails to meet the uniformity standard in both of the two growing cycles.

A variety is considered uniform if the total number of off-types at the end of the two growing cycles does not exceed the number of allowed off-types for the combined sample.

[Annex II follows]

SITUATION B: TWO GROWING LOCATIONS IN THE SAME YEAR

Approach: Third growing cycle for inconsistent results

A variety is considered uniform if it is within the uniformity standard in both of the growing locations.

A variety is considered non-uniform if it fails to meet the uniformity standard in both of the growing locations.

If the variety is within the uniformity standard in one growing location but is not within the uniformity standard in the other growing location, then

Alternative (a) the trial is repeated at both locations for a second year;

Alternative (b) the trial is repeated at the Leading station (location)
(European Union(Cauliflower))

Approach: Combining the results of two locations

(France (Cauliflower))

A variety is considered uniform if it is within the uniformity standard in both locations.

A variety is considered non-uniform if it fails to meet the uniformity standard in both locations.

A variety is considered within the uniformity standard if the number of off-type plants or parts of plants does not exceed the allowed number of off-types for the combined sample (two locations).

[Annex III follows]

SITUATION C: MORE THAN ONE TEST/TRIAL FOR A CHARACTERISTIC IN THE SAME GROWING CYCLE

Approach: Additional growing cycle in the case of inconsistent results

(Bulgaria, Czech Republic, Germany, Hungary, Italy (Wheat), New Zealand (Wheat) Spain (Wheat)).

A variety is considered to be uniform for a characteristic if it is within the uniformity standard for the characteristic in all tests/trials.

A variety is considered non-uniform if it fails to meet the uniformity standard for the characteristic in all tests/trials.

In the case where a variety is within the uniformity standard for the characteristic in one test/trial (e.g. main trial) and not in another test/trial (e.g. ear-row plot), both tests/trials are repeated in a further growing cycle.

[Annex IV follows]

SITUATION D: ASSESSING SUB-SAMPLES WITHIN A SINGLE TEST/TRIAL

Wheat (Croatia, Czech Republic, Estonia, European Union, Germany, Hungary, Norway, Spain, Sweden)
Barley (Italy)

Approach: Use of sub-sample as a first step of assessment

A variety is considered uniform if no off-types are observed in a sub-sample.

A variety is considered non-uniform if the number of off-types in the sub-sample exceeds the accepted number of off-types for the whole sample.

If the number of off-types is 1 or more, but below the accepted number of off-types for the whole sample, the whole sample is assessed.

[End of Annex IV and of document]