



TGP/5: Section 6/2 Draft 1

ORIGINAL: English

DATE: February 14, 2007

INTERNATIONAL UNION FOR THE PROTECTION OF NEW VARIETIES OF PLANTS  
GENEVA

DRAFT

Associated Document  
to the  
General Introduction to the Examination  
of Distinctness, Uniformity and Stability and the  
Development of Harmonized Descriptions of New Varieties of Plants (document TG/1/3)

DOCUMENT TGP/5

“EXPERIENCE AND COOPERATION IN DUS TESTING”

Section 6:

**UPOV Report on Technical Examination**

~~adopted by the Technical Committee~~  
~~on October 6, 1989~~  
~~reproduced from TC/XXV/12, Annex~~

and

**UPOV Variety Description**

~~adopted by the Technical Committee~~  
~~on October 12, 1990~~  
~~reproduced from TC/26/6, Annex I, pages 1 to 3~~

Document prepared by the Office of the Union

to be considered by the Technical Committee at its forty-third session,  
to be held in Geneva, from March 26 to 28, 2007

TC/XXV/12

ANNEX

UPOV REPORT ON TECHNICAL EXAMINATION

1. Reference number of Reporting Authority .....
2. Requesting Authority .....
3. Reference number of Requesting Authority .....
4. Breeder's reference .....
5. Date of application in requesting State member of the Union .....
6. Applicant (name and address) .....
7. Agent (name and address)(if applicable) .....

- 
8. Botanical name of taxon .....
  9. Common name of taxon .....
  10. Variety denomination .....
  11. Breeder (name and address) .....
  12. ~~Testing~~ Reporting Authority .....
  13. Testing station(s) and place(s) .....
  14. Period of testing .....
  15. Date and place of issue of document .....

16. RESULTS OF THE TECHNICAL EXAMINATION AND CONCLUSION

(a) Report on Distinctness

The variety

- is clearly distinguishable from any other variety [ ]
- is not clearly distinguishable from all varieties [ ]

whose existence is a matter of common knowledge known to us.

(b) Report on Uniformity

The variety

- is sufficiently homogeneous uniform [ ]
- is not sufficiently homogeneous uniform [ ]

~~having regard to the particular features of its sexual reproduction or vegetative propagation.~~

(c) Report on Stability

The variety

- is stable [ ]
- is not stable [ ]

~~in its essential characteristics.~~

In the case of a positive conclusion, a description of the variety is given as provided in an annex to this report.

17. Remarks .....
18. Signature .....

UPOV VARIETY DESCRIPTION

1. Reference number of Reporting Authority .....
  2. Reference number of Requesting Authority  
(bilateral agreements only) .....
  3. Breeder's reference .....
  4. Applicant (name and address) .....
- 
5. Botanical name of taxon .....
  6. Common name of taxon .....
  7. Variety denomination .....
  8. Date and document number of UPOV  
Test Guidelines .....
  9. Date and/or document number of ~~national~~ Reporting Authority's  
test guidelines .....
  10. ~~Testing~~ Reporting Authority .....
  11. Testing station(s) and place(s) .....
  12. Period of testing .....
  13. Date and place of issue of document .....

---

UPOV No.	<u>Reporting Authority</u> <del>National</del> No.	Characteristics	States of Expression	Note	Remarks
-------------	--	-----------------	----------------------	------	---------

---

14. Group: (if characteristics of number 15 are used for grouping, they are marked with a G in that number)
-

TC/26/6  
Annex I, page 2  
Annex, page 2

Reference number of Reporting Authority .....

---

UPOV No.	Reporting Authority National No.	Characteristics	States of Expression	Note	Remarks
-------------	---	-----------------	----------------------	------	---------

---

15. Characteristics Included in the UPOV Test Guidelines or National Reporting Authority's Test Guidelines

---

16. Similar Varieties and Differences from These Varieties

Denomination of similar variety	Characteristic in which the similar variety is different <sup>o)</sup>	State of expression of similar variety	State of expression of candidate variety
---------------------------------	--	--	--

<sup>o)</sup> In the case of identical states of expression of both varieties, please indicate the size of the difference.

---

17. Additional Information

(a) Additional Data

(b) Remarks

---

TC/26/6  
Annex I, page 3  
Annex, page 3

18. Explanatory Notes to the UPOV Variety Description Form

(a) General

The reference number of the Reporting Authority should be repeated on each page of the report.

(b) Ad Number 14

Only information on the group to which the variety belonged should be given or information on groupings other than by characteristics listed in Number 15. Grouping by characteristics mentioned in Number 15 should be indicated simply by marking the respective characteristic in Number 15 with the letter “G” before the number of the characteristic.

(c) Ad Number 15

(i) All characteristics of the UPOV Test Guidelines should be reproduced, including those which are not applicable and those which have not been recorded. Those not applicable should be marked “not applicable,” those not recorded, “not recorded.”

(ii) The asterisks from the UPOV Test Guidelines should be repeated on the form.

(iii) Additional national characteristics from the Reporting Authority’s test guidelines should not be placed after the UPOV Test Guidelines characteristics, but in their sequence according to the UPOV rules principles, as the main purpose of the form is still for national the authority’s use. They do not need to be specially marked as they are sufficiently identified by the national Reporting Authority’s number.

(iv) The list contains only a small column for brief remarks or for a reference to lengthier remarks which should be reproduced in a footnote.

(d) Ad Number 16

Only those characteristics that show sufficient differences to establish distinctness should be given. Information on differences between two varieties should always contain the states of expression with their notes for both varieties; if possible, in columns if more varieties are mentioned.