



Disclaimer: unless otherwise agreed by the Council of UPOV, only documents that have been adopted by the Council of UPOV and that have not been superseded can represent UPOV policies or guidance.

This document has been scanned from a paper copy and may have some discrepancies from the original document.

Avertissement: sauf si le Conseil de l'UPOV en décide autrement, seuls les documents adoptés par le Conseil de l'UPOV n'ayant pas été remplacés peuvent représenter les principes ou les orientations de l'UPOV.

Ce document a été numérisé à partir d'une copie papier et peut contenir des différences avec le document original.

Allgemeiner Haftungsausschluß: Sofern nicht anders vom Rat der UPOV vereinbart, geben nur Dokumente, die vom Rat der UPOV angenommen und nicht ersetzt wurden, Grundsätze oder eine Anleitung der UPOV wieder.

Dieses Dokument wurde von einer Papierkopie gescannt und könnte Abweichungen vom Originaldokument aufweisen.

Descargo de responsabilidad: salvo que el Consejo de la UPOV decida de otro modo, solo se considerarán documentos de políticas u orientaciones de la UPOV los que hayan sido aprobados por el Consejo de la UPOV y no hayan sido reemplazados.

Este documento ha sido escaneado a partir de una copia en papel y puede que existan divergencias en relación con el documento original.

UPOV

CAJ/XII/3 Add. 3

ORIGINAL: French

DATE: September 30, 1983

INTERNATIONAL UNION FOR THE PROTECTION OF NEW VARIETIES OF PLANTS

GENEVA

ADMINISTRATIVE AND LEGAL COMMITTEE

Twelfth Session
Geneva, November 7 and 8, 1983

LEGAL ASPECTS OF THE
PROBLEM OF MINIMUM DISTANCES BETWEEN VARIETIES

- - - - -

OFFER FOR SALE AND MARKETING
IN RELATION WITH THE NOVELTY CONCEPT

Document prepared by the Office of the Union

The Annex hereto contains the replies from the Delegation of Belgium to the questions asked by the Office of the Union for the purpose of preparing a study of the concept of offering for sale and marketing and of the interpretation of that concept in the various member States for the purposes of novelty within the meaning of Article 6(1)(b) of the Convention. (The questions are reproduced in paragraph 2 of document CAJ/XII/3.)

[Annex follows]

ANNEX

REPLIES OF THE DELEGATION OF BELGIUM

Extract from the letter dated June 28, 1983,
from Mr. J. Rigot, Chief Engineer/Director in the Belgian
Ministry of Agriculture, to the Vice Secretary-General

1. According to the Law of May 20, 1975, on the Protection of New Plant Varieties, the notion of "commercialization," mentioned in its Article 4 (on novelty), is translated by the following terms: "offer for sale, place on sale, stock for sale or delivery, exchange, sold, supply gratuitously or for a consideration, import or export" (Article 2).

The notion "commercialization" has been defined by the same terms in the Law of July 11, 1969, concerning pesticides and raw materials for the agriculture, horticulture, forestry and the animal husbandry which in particular forms the basis of the national variety catalogues.

2. The conclusion of a multiplication contract including a transfer of possession, for example having as its subject matter the maintaining of inbred lines with the return of all the seeds so produced to the breeder, cannot, in my view be considered an act of "commercialization."

3. In the specific case of hybrids, for instance of maize, the contract for multiplication and sale of the seed of the hybrid derived from parent lines which are put at the disposal of the multiplier without actually being assigned to him seems to be a case of "commercialization" within the meaning of Article 6(l) (b) of the Convention.

[End of document]