



Disclaimer: unless otherwise agreed by the Council of UPOV, only documents that have been adopted by the Council of UPOV and that have not been superseded can represent UPOV policies or guidance.

This document has been scanned from a paper copy and may have some discrepancies from the original document.

Avertissement: sauf si le Conseil de l'UPOV en décide autrement, seuls les documents adoptés par le Conseil de l'UPOV n'ayant pas été remplacés peuvent représenter les principes ou les orientations de l'UPOV.

Ce document a été numérisé à partir d'une copie papier et peut contenir des différences avec le document original.

Allgemeiner Haftungsausschluß: Sofern nicht anders vom Rat der UPOV vereinbart, geben nur Dokumente, die vom Rat der UPOV angenommen und nicht ersetzt wurden, Grundsätze oder eine Anleitung der UPOV wieder.

Dieses Dokument wurde von einer Papierkopie gescannt und könnte Abweichungen vom Originaldokument aufweisen.

Descargo de responsabilidad: salvo que el Consejo de la UPOV decida de otro modo, solo se considerarán documentos de políticas u orientaciones de la UPOV los que hayan sido aprobados por el Consejo de la UPOV y no hayan sido reemplazados.

Este documento ha sido escaneado a partir de una copia en papel y puede que existan divergencias en relación con el documento original.

UPOV**CAJ/XII/ 2****ORIGINAL: French****DATE: August 17, 1983****INTERNATIONAL UNION FOR THE PROTECTION OF NEW VARIETIES OF PLANTS****GENEVA****ADMINISTRATIVE AND LEGAL COMMITTEE****Twelfth Session****Geneva, November 7 and 8, 1983****LEGAL ASPECTS OF THE
PROBLEM OF MINIMUM DISTANCES BETWEEN VARIETIES**

- - - - -

COMMENTS BY THE DELEGATION OF FRANCEDocument prepared by the Office of the Union

The annex to this document contains a letter, dated July 8, 1983, from Mr. M. Simon, Secretary General of the Committee for the Protection of New Plant Varieties of France, addressed to the Vice Secretary-General. This letter contains a comment relating to item I.3 of the annex to document CAJ/XI/12.

[Annex follows]

ANNEX

LETTER, DATED JULY 8, 1983, FROM Mr. M. SIMON,
SECRETARY GENERAL OF THE COMMITTEE FOR THE PROTECTION
OF NEW PLANT VARIETIES OF FRANCE, TO THE VICE SECRETARY-GENERAL

Subject: Minimum distances between varieties, legal aspects, document
CAJ/XI/12
Conclusions drawn by the Administrative and Legal Committee at its
eleventh session.

The reply to the question "chapter on distinctness, question 3" contains the following sentence: "A breeder's description published or handed to the plant variety protection office or a statement of the formula for a hybrid are not sufficient to make the existence of the variety in question a matter of common knowledge."

This wording assimilates a variety description published by a breeder to a variety description submitted to a plant variety protection office.

This assimilation would seem rather exaggerated.

Indeed, it is conceivable that a national plant variety protection office --holding a variety description sheet or the formula for a hybrid variety, both of which filed officially by a breeder--might therefore deem, particularly if a sample has been supplied, that the variety covered by these filings is a matter of common knowledge.

It would seem to me necessary that the reply to be given to this question be examined once more in order to avoid this pitfall.

[End of document]