

CAJ/46/5

ORIGINAL: English

DATE: September24,2002

INTERNATIONALUNIONFORTHEPROTECTIONOFNEWVARIETIESOFPLANTS GENEVA

ADMINISTRATIVEANDL EGALCOMMITTEE

Forty-SixthSession Geneva,October21and22,2002

VARIETYDENOMINATION S

Documentpr eparedbytheOfficeoftheUnion

- 1. At its forty -fourth session, the Administrative and Legal Committee (the Committee) agreed, on October 23,2001, the terms of reference of an *Adhoc* Working Group on Variety Denominations (the Working Group) to study the means to harmonize decisions on variety denominations among members of the Union (see document CAJ/44/3).
- 2. Several members and observers covering different regions and alphabets participate in the Working Group: Argentina, Belgi um, Canada, Chile, China, Colombia, Croatia, France, Japan, New Zealand, Spain, as members of the Union, the Community Plant Variety Office (CPVO) and three non -governmental organizations, the International Seed Federation (ISF), the International Community of Breeders of Asexually Reproduced Ornamental and Fruit Tree Varieties (CIOPORA), and the International Union of Biological Sciences Commission for the Nomenclature of Cultivated Plants (IUBS Commission), as observers.
- 3. The Working Groupha sheld two meetings, on October 23,2001 and on April 18,2002. In preparation of the second meeting, the Office of the Union senta Question naire intended to identify the key issues that needed to be explored by the Working Group. A discussion paper was prepared based on the replies to the Questionnaire (document WG -VD/02/1, which includes a copy of the Questionnaire, is available at the following electronic address: http://www.upov.int/restrict/document.htm, or can be sent by the Office of the Union, on request, in English only).

- 4. $The Office of the Union received a large number of responses to the Question naire and {\tt Constant} and {\tt$ detailed comments on the issues arising when considering decisions on variety denominations. As a summary, the general aspects which arose as a result of the responses were the need to: update UPOV Recommendations on Variety Denominations (document UPOV/INF/12 Rev.); acknowledge the 1991 Act of the UPOV Convention (hereinafter referredto as "the Convention"); provide a clear link between the recommendations and the relevantArticlesoftheConvention; eliminatein consistencies between the Recommendations and the Convention; provide, as far as possible, explanatory notes for certain key elements in the convention of the cthe Convention, which are not addressed in the current Recommendations; review if the classes of closely related species specified in document UPOV/INF/12 Rev. are still universally acceptable; consider how the effectiveness of the UPOV -ROM might be improved; and to provide a mec hanism for revising Recommendations in response to ongoingdevelopments.
- 5. In parallel to the activities of the UPOV Working Group, the CPVO and the IUBS Commission are also working on matters related to variety denominations. The Working Grouphascoordinateditseffortsofharmonization with these two Organizations.
- 6. TheworkoftheCPVOVarietyDenominationsWorkingGroupisrelatedtothreemain areas: Simplification of the CPVO Administrative Council Guidelines on Variety Denominations, coordination and harmonization of approaches and centralization of data in order to assist authorities during the decision making procedure. A representative of the OfficeoftheUnionhasparticipatedinanobservercapacityinseveralmeeti ngsoftheCPVO WorkingGroup.
- 7. The IUBS Commission is responsible for the International Code of Nomenclature for Cultivated Plants (ICNCP) which aims to provide a stable method of naming taxonomic groupsofcultivated plants, independently of their status as far as protection is concerned. The ICNCP provides for an international system of cultivar associations (70 members) who, via Cultivar Registration Authorities, are mandated by the ICNCP to record all variety denominations recorded by an yUPOV member and to ensure that no variety denominations are in conflict with ICNCP rules. A draft for the next edition of the ICNCP is also under preparation and was submitted to the IUBS Commission at its meeting in August 2002. The WorkingGrouphad theopportunity to comment on the ICNCP draft.
- 8. At its second meeting, the Working Group decided on its work plan (see paragraph 13 of the draft report of the meeting WG -VD/02/2 Prov.) available at the following electronic address: http://www.upov.int/restrict/document.htm, or can be sent by the Office of the Union, on request, in Englishonly). The status of the work plan is summarized below:
- (a) TheOfficehasdrafted an updated version of UPOV/INF/12Rev., in the form of "explanatory no tes" clearly linked to the Convention. This utilizes the existing Recommendations as far as possible, but eliminates any inconsistencies with the Convention and, as appropriate, elaborates and clarifies to take into account responses received from the Questionnaire. In particular, it addresses the matters raised in paragraphs 3 to 13 of document WG-VD/02/01. This draft has been sent to the Working Group for discussion during its third meeting on October 21, 2002. A summary of the discussions concerning this first draft will be reported or ally to the Committee during the currents ession.
- (b) The Office has drafted a questionnaire for all members of the Union and other interestedorganizations, seeking information on how the effectiveness of the Union and other -ROM (or

similarweb -baseddatabase) might be improved. It has also sought advice from members on how important and relevant they consider this mechanism to be for complying with Article 20(6) of the 1991 Act of the Convention. This draft questionnaire was sent to the members of the Working Group for comment. In August 2002, the final version of the questionnaire was sent to all members of the Union in order that the responses can be analyzed by the Working Group at its third meeting and its recommendations eported to the Committee during the currents ession (copy of the Questionnaire concerning the UPOV -ROM is available on request, in English, French, Spanish and German).

- (c) The Office will draft a questionnaire for all members of the Union and other interested organizations, to seek advice on whether there is a need for a review of the classes of closely related species contained in Annex I of document UPOV/INF/12Rev. and, if so, of the aspects which need to be considered. This draft question naire will be sent to the members of the Working Group for comment, with the aim of issuing a question naire for all members of the Union by December 2002.
- 9. On the question of whether to consider establishing a "standing" group for ongoing reviewofmatt ersconcerning variety denominations, it was agreed that these meetings should beheld at the same time as the UPOV sessions; however, it was thought that once there vised draft of the document UPOV/INF/12 Rev., in the form of explanatory notes, was approved, the Working Group would only need to meet on an adhoc basis.

10. The Committee is invited to note and commentthecontentsofthisdocument.

[Endofdocument]