

**Working Group on Biochemical and Molecular Techniques  
and DNA-Profiling in Particular****BMT/17/21****Seventeenth Session  
Montevideo, Uruguay, September 10 to 13, 2018****Original:** English  
**Date:** September 7, 2018

---

**DO RESISTANCE MARKERS FOR TOMATO FULFIL THE REQUIREMENTS OF TGP/15?***Document prepared by an expert from the Netherlands**Disclaimer: this document does not represent UPOV policies or guidance*

The Annex to this document contains a copy of a presentation on “Do resistance markers for tomato fulfil the requirements of TGP/15?”, prepared by an expert from the Netherlands, to be made at the seventeenth session of the Working Group on Biochemical and Molecular Techniques and DNA-Profiling in Particular (BMT).

[Annex follows]

DO RESISTANCE MARKERS FOR TOMATO FULFIL THE REQUIREMENTS OF TGP/15?

Presentation prepared by an expert from the Netherlands



# Do resistance markers for tomato fulfil the requirements of TGP/15?

**BMT/17, Montevideo 2018**  
**Amanda van Dijk**



## Status of the question

- TWV51 (July 2017, Roelofarendsveen) proposal to partially revise
  - Tomato guideline (TG/44/11 Rev.)
  - Tomato rootstocks guideline (TG/294/1 Corr. Rev. 2)
- A presentation was given, titled *The use of DNA markers in the DUS of tomato and tomato rootstocks, proposal to revise the UPOV Test Guidelines*
- Proposal accepted by TWV

## Status of the question

- TC-EDC (March 2018) raises a question:

DNA marker test to be presented to the BMT to check whether method corresponds to TGP/15

- Conclusion of BMT/17 to be shared with TWV/52, September 17 to 21 2018, Beijing.
- Only if TWV/52 accepts, TC/54, October 29 and 30 2018, can adopt the partial revisions.

## UPOV and DNA markers

### TGP/15/1

2.1 Characteristic-Specific Molecular Markers (see Annex 1)

2.1.1 Molecular markers can be used as a method of examining DUS characteristics that satisfy the criteria for characteristics set out in the General Introduction, Chapter 4, section 4.2, on the following basis:

- (a) the test for the marker is conducted on the **same number of individual plants**, with the **same criteria for distinctness, uniformity and stability** as for the examination of the characteristic by a bioassay;
- (b) there is verification of the **reliability of the link between the marker and the characteristic**;
- (c) different markers for the same characteristic are different methods for examining the same characteristic;
- (d) markers linked to different genes conferring expression of the same characteristic are different methods for examining the same characteristic; and
- (e) markers linked to different regulatory elements for the same gene conferring expression of the same characteristic are different methods for examining the same characteristic

*Verification of the reliability is succesful if one can not find the exception among varieties tested in the last 3 years*

?

*1 exception = show stopper (broken link)*

## Fusarium race 0 and 1 (and 2)

Important elements of the proposal (1):

- Test with **marker for gene I2**.
- The I2 marker is positioned **in** the protein coding sequence with a validated key function in disease resistance.
- Further validation by Naktuinbouw in a set of more than 120 varieties:
  - **no** varieties with the marker but without the resistance phenotype
  - some varieties without the marker but with the resistant phenotype (and with other resistance genes)
- Proposed text in the guideline: “Dominant resistance gene I2 is always associated with resistance to both race 0 (ex 1) and race 1 (ex 2). The presence or absence of the resistance allele can be detected by the co-dominant marker as described in this method.”

## Fusarium race 0 en 1

Important elements of the proposal (2):

- 20 plants per variety, as in bio-assay.
- The bio-assay is still possible: *Resistance to race 0 (ex 1) and race 1 (ex 2) to be tested in a bio-assay (method i) or in a DNA marker test (method ii), if appropriate. Resistance to race 2 (ex3) to be tested in a bio-assay (method i).*
- The basis is the claim of susceptibility or of resistance by the breeder in the TQ: *in case the DNA marker test result does not confirm the declaration in the TQ, a bio-assay should be performed to observe whether the variety is resistant e.g. on another mechanism like gene I3.*

## Fusarium race 0 en 1

UPOV characteristics		Genes	I	<u>I2</u>	I3	I7
tomato	tomato rootstock	Races				
48.1 *	24.1 *	<b>0 (ex 1)</b>	R	R	R	R
48.2 *	24.2 *	<b>1 (ex 2)</b>	S	R	R	R
48.3	24.3 *	<b>2 (ex 3)</b>	S	S	R	R

Claim TQ	Susceptible to 0 and 1	Resistant to 0, susceptible to 1	Resistant to 0 and 1	Resistant to 0, 1 and 2
	Several varieties (older/determinate/special fruit types)	Several varieties (older/determinate/special fruit types)	<b>Large majority of varieties</b>	A few varieties known in tomato, common in tomato rootstocks
DNA marker i2i2	<b>Agreed</b> (susceptible to race 0 and 1)	Probably not gene I2, but I: additional bio-assay for 0; for race 1 <b>Agreed</b> (susceptible)	contradiction: bio-assay	Probably gene I3 or I7: additional bio-assays
DNA marker I2i2 or I2I2	contradiction: bio-assay	Contradiction for race 1: bio-assay needed for race 1	<b>Agreed</b> (resistant to race 0 and 1)	Race 0 en 1 <b>Agreed</b> (resistant), for race 2 a bio-assay needed

## Better judgement of uniformity with markers

In 2016/7 approx. 20 candidates with a low number of symptomatic plants

- Plants with symptoms were analyzed with I2 marker
- When in all these plants I2 marker was present, the variety was judged uniform
- This happened in most cases

12. Interpretation of test results in comparison with control varieties		
absent.....	[1]	severe symptoms
present .....	[9]	mild or no symptoms

## Fusarium race 0 en 1: experience

Since 2018 routine DNA marker test for all DUS candidates.

10% also in bio-assay. Good correlation.

Added in national TQ to prevent breeders' to claim resistance only based on an I2 marker test.

Also for resistances the phenotype should be leading for declaration.

## ToMV strain 0, 1 and 2

Important elements of the proposal (1):

- Test with marker for gene **Tm2/2<sup>2</sup>**.
- Marker **Tm2/2<sup>2</sup>** is positioned **in** the protein coding sequence with a validated key function in disease resistance.
- Validated by Naktuinbouw, also in Harmores.
- Resistance to strain 0, 1 and 2 is usually caused by gene **Tm2<sup>2</sup>**. Some varieties have gene **Tm2**, which gives resistance to strain 0 and 1 only.
- The marker is co-dominant, meaning that the susceptible allele **tm2** can be observed.

## ToMV strain 0, 1 and 2

Important elements of the proposal (2):

- 20 plants per variety, as in bio-assay.
- The bio-assay is still possible: *Resistance to strain 0, 1 and 2 to be tested in a bio-assay (method i) or in a DNA marker test (method ii), if appropriate.*
- The basis is the claim of susceptibility or of resistance by the breeder in the TQ : *in case the DNA marker test result does not confirm the declaration in the TQ, a bio-assay should be performed to observe whether the variety is resistant e.g. on another mechanism like gene Tm1.*

## ToMV strains 0, 1 and 2

Test result DNA marker test	tm2/tm2	Tm2/tm2 or Tm2/Tm2	Tm2 <sup>2</sup> /tm2 or Tm2 <sup>2</sup> /Tm2 <sup>2</sup> or Tm2 <sup>2</sup> /Tm2	Reliable, robust marker not yet developed
				Tm1
		(occurs incidentally)		
<b>51.1* Strain 0</b>	[1] susceptible	[9] resistant	[9] resistant	Resistant
<b>51.2 Strain 1</b>	[1] susceptible	[9] resistant	[9] resistant	Susceptible
<b>51.3 Strain 2</b>	[1] susceptible	[1] susceptible	[9] resistant	Resistant

## Resistance markers I2 and Tm2/2<sup>2</sup> fulfil the requirements of TGP/15

- More genes play a role. I2 is well linked to resistance to Fusarium race 0 and 1, but other genes can give the same phenotype. Tm2/2<sup>2</sup> is well linked to resistance to ToMV strain 0, 1 and 2, but Tm1 can give (for strain 0 and 2) the same phenotype.
- This will always be the case. We decide on knowledge of today. Breeding will always be ahead of us.
- TGP/15/1 (d): markers linked to different genes conferring expression of the same characteristic are different methods for examining the same characteristic
- Without information in the TQ a DNA marker test for I2 is decisive on Fol: 0 and 1 when the resistance allele is present.
- Without information in the TQ a DNA marker test for Tm2<sup>2</sup> is decisive on Tm: 0, 1 and 2 when the resistance allele is present.



**Do the resistance markers I2, Tm2/2<sup>2</sup>  
fulfil the requirements of TGP/15?**

**Do we need a new example in TGP/15?**

[End of Annex and of document]