Technical Working Party for Vegetables

TWV/52/8

Fifty-Second Session Beijing, China, September 17 to 21, 2018

Original: English Date: August 15, 2018

MATTERS TO BE RESOLVED CONCERNING TEST GUIDELINES ADOPTED BY THE TECHNICAL COMMITTEE: BROWN MUSTARD (*BRASSICA JUNCEA* (L.) CZERN.)

Document prepared by an expert from Japan

Disclaimer: this document does not represent UPOV policies or guidance

1. The Enlarged Editorial Committee (TC-EDC), at its meeting held in Geneva, from March 26 to 27, 2018, considered document <u>TG/BRASS_JUN(PROJ.6)</u> and agreed that the technical issues raised on the draft Test Guidelines for Brown Mustard should be addressed by the TWV (see document TC-ECD/MAR18/11 "Report", paragraph 56).

2. The following table presents all the comments made by the TC-EDC on the draft Test Guidelines for Brown Mustard (document TG/BRASS_JUN(PROJ.6)), including the technical issues, with the proposed responses by the Leading Expert, Mr. Takayuki Nishikawa (Japan).

2.3	 to read "drilled plots" (see 3.4.2) to clarify whether the two different seed samples are alternatives (add "or"?) 									
		ading Expert: Yes, please add "or" between the rows.								
3.4.2		dicate 200 plants (as in proj.5, to be corrected) finition of types is confusing and should not be used. The drawings clearly show 3 leaf								
5.3	types: table c Leadir TG/BF betwee But I v type", Please figure	entire of chara og Exp ASS_ en thes vould l is sam e delete of Typ	(unlobed acteristic. bert: We JUN(proj. se types a ike to est e as TG/l e the tabl e 3 and T	?) / lot Drawin 2) at and the eem th BRASS e in 5.3	bed / divid ngs can b added the the TWV definition be comme S_JUN(pro 3 and mov as below t	ded (pinnate?). e used in 8.2. e definition of //47 meeting b n of types is use nt of TC-EDC, i oj.2) and it is sin ve the figures to fig1 in this docu	"Leaf: type" sho types as a resu- ecause there is ful for the TQ. because the app nple approach. 8.2 for "Leaf: typ	s clearly show 3 le uld be added to th ult of discussion of s clear distinctne. proach, to add "Lea be" and combine th	ne on ss af:	
Please add "Leaf: type" after Char. 4 as follows:5. (*)PQVG(+)(a)19										
		Leaf: t	уре	Feuille	e : type	Blatt: Lappung	Hoja: tipo			
		entire		entière		ungelappt	entera	Hagarashina, Kigarashina, Terrafit	1	
			lobed			gelappt	lobulada	Akariasu, Flaming Frills, Riasu Karashina, Scarlet Frills	2	
		divideo	1	découp	Dés	eingeschnitten	dividido	Akaoba Takana, Sagami Green, Kekkyu Takana, Miike Takana, Shinkoku Seisai	3	
	I	1		1		1	1			

TWV/52/8 page 2

	Ad 5. "Loof two"						
	Ad. 5: "Leaf: type"						
		2	3				
	entire	lobed	divided				
	 - "Leaf: type" and "Leaf blade: width of midrib" sh Leading Expert: agreed Definition of type 1 to 4 is redundant as it resinecessary in combination with midrib width. Leading Expert: agreed the avoid to use the defined the TQ 5. should be amended accordingly. TQ 7 Leading Expert: agreed to add "Leaf: type" and " 	ults from leaf type inition of types '.3 (b) should be de	and head formation, if				
Char. 6	to delete (a) because leaf attitude is observed on						
Char. 11	 see proposal under 5.3. to read "Only varieties with Leaf: type lobed or divided:" Leading Expert: agreed 						
Char. 12	to add example varieties for state 1 (type entire) Leading Expert: Please add "Akaoba Takana" and "Sagami Green" for state 1.						
Char. 17	 see proposal under 5.3. to read "Only varieties with Leaf: type entire or lobed:" <i>Leading Expert: agreed</i> 						
Char. 18	 - see proposal under 5.3. - to read "Only varieties with Leaf: type entire or lobed:" <i>Leading Expert: agreed</i> 						
Char. 19	 see proposal under 5.3. to read "Only varieties with Leaf: type entire:" Leading Expert: agreed 						
Char. 28 to 32	 to delete "Only varieties with head formation: absent." and move it to a new explanation 8.1 (b) to check growth stage (stage 65-79 is too early, to check if 75-89 is appropriate) Leading Expert: to be confirmed with Interested Experts 						
Char. 28	to check whether "Plant: length" should be replaced by "Plant: height" (explanation of this characteristic indicates to observe the total plant height in Ad. 28) Leading Expert: to read "Plant: height"						
Char. 33	to move "in the year of sowing under long day conditions" to the explanation Leading Expert: agreed						
8.1 (a)	to read "Observations should be made on the largest fully developed leaf." Leading Expert: agreed						
Ad. 3	to replace "measurement" by "observation" Leading Expert: agreed						
Ad. 5	to delete reference to ratio from the grid (in leger Leading Expert: agreed	nd)					
Ad. 11	See proposal under 5.3. " In case of <u>divided leaves</u> Type 2 leaf, the sha To replace Type 1 by lobed and type 2 by divided <i>Leading Expert: agreed</i> - to review wording for sentence: Type 2 leaf, shape of near other lobes) <i>Leading Expert: I propose to delete this senter</i> <i>explain the terminal lobe by the first sentence.</i>	d. the shape of term nce, if we can agre	inal lobes is similar to ee that it is enough to				
	- to read the following sentence "the lateral lobes (No 2,3,4 in following figures) Leading Expert: agreed	are the lobes excl	uding the terminal lobe				

TWV/52/8 page 3

Ad. 16	to check whether to be deleted (Drawing not useful. Reference to type 2 redundant (see proposal under 5.3)). Leading Expert: I would like to replace the illustration by the photos below. It is difficult to observe Char.16 on Type 2 "divided". Please add "Only varieties with Leaf: entire or lobed" to the top of the characteristic name.				
	2 3 4				
Ad. 17	weak medium strong see proposal under 5.3.				
Au. 17	to read "Observations should be made on the distal part of the leaves, excluding type 2." Leading Expert: agreed				
Ad. 18	to delete sentence Leading Expert: agreed				
Ad. 28	to be deleted, if the correct stage of development is indicated (see comment on Char. 28) Leading Expert: agreed				
Ad. 29	to check whether to read "Observations on the silique should be made on the middle third of the inflorescence of the main stem." Leading Expert: agreed				
Ad. 33	to read "The tendency to form inflorescences in the year of sowing should be observed in late summer sown trials. The observation of the growth stage reached should be made in autumn, when the development stagnates (proportion of plants before bud stage, in bud stage, in flowering stage, in stage of silique formation)." - to check whether to delete reference to season ("autumn" and "summer") Leading Expert: I think we need the reference to season to clear the condition for this Char.				
	 to check whether and whether to add "Time of flowering (under long day conditions)" as a new characteristic (observation of flowering date cannot be considered as alternative method. Both characteristics would need different scales) Leading Expert: I think Char. 27 is same as "Time of flowering (under long day conditions)". We need to discuss whether we add the words "(under long day conditions)" to the end of Char. 27's name. 				
8.3	 other names of the example varieties should become 8.4 Principal growth stage 5: to correct spelling of "Opening" 				
9.	Leading Expert: agreed last reference to read "Meier, U" and moved up according to alphabetical order				
J. TQ	See above. The TQ 5. should be amended according to proposed grouping characteristics. TQ 7.3 (b) should be deleted.				

[End of document]