TWV/52/11

Original: English Date: September 4, 2018

MATTERS TO BE RESOLVED CONCERNING TEST GUIDELINES ADOPTED BY THE TECHNICAL COMMITTEE: PARTIAL REVISION OF THE TEST GUIDELINES FOR TOMATO

Document prepared by an expert from the Netherlands

Disclaimer: this document does not represent UPOV policies or guidance

1. The Enlarged Editorial Committee (TC-EDC), at its meeting held in Geneva, from March 26 to 27, 2018, considered a proposal for a partial revision of the Test Guidelines for Tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum* L.) (see document <u>TC-EDC/MAR18/8</u>) and agreed that the technical issues raised on the proposed partial revision of the Test Guidelines for Tomato should be addressed by the TWV (see document TC-ECD/MAR18/11 "Report", paragraph 57).

2. The following table presents all the comments made by the TC-EDC on the proposed partial revision of the Test Guidelines for Tomato (document TC-EDC/MAR18/8), including the technical issues, with the proposed responses by the Leading Expert, Ms. Amanda van Dijk (Netherlands).

General remark	Control varieties in the DNA-test should also be indicated in the bio-test. Why are the control varieties not used as example varieties? Leading Expert: The proposal can be improved by having the same set of varieties in DNA-test, bio-test and as example varieties. See Ad. 48 (ii) 4.2, Ad. 51 (ii) 4.2 and Ad. 58 (ii) 4.2.
Chars. 48, 51, 58	 to be kept as VG (VS not appropriate for DNA marker test, see TGP/9. In case of DNA markers, 20 plants are observed for uniformity. According to chapter 4.1.4 of TG/44/11 Rev., indication of VS is not appropriate.) DNA marker test to be presented to the BMT to check whether method corresponds to TGP/15 Leading Expert: I will participate in the BMT and the item will be discussed. I will report to the TWV accordingly

TWV/52/11 page 2

Ad. 48 Ad. 51 Ad. 58	to check whether to read "Resistance to race 0 (ex 1) and race 1 (ex 2) to be tested in a bio-assay (method i) or in a DNA marker test (method ii), if appropriate. Resistance to race 2 (ex 3) to be tested in a bio-assay (method i)." (to clarify whether it should be bio-essay only OR bio-essay in conjunction with DNA marker test where required. The gene-specific marker model anticipates a presence of a reliable link between presence of the marker and expression of the characteristic.) <i>Leading Expert: Ad. 48</i>
	To read "Resistance to race 0 (ex 1) and race 1 (ex 2) to be tested in a bio-assay (method i) or in a DNA marker test (method ii), if appropriate. Resistance to race 2 (ex 3) to be tested in a bio-assay (method i)." Explanation: • A bio-assay is always accepted.
	 A DNA-marker test is always accepted for race 1 (ex 2). If the DNA marker test result shows presence of the susceptibility allele, no conclusion can be made for race 0 (ex 1). At Ad. 48 (ii) 8. is explained that a DNA marker test must confirm the declaration in the TQ, if not, a bio-assay should be performed.
	TQ, II Hot, a bio-assay should be performed.
	Ad. 51 To read "Resistance to strain 0, 1 and 2 to be tested in a bio-assay (method i) or in a DNA marker test (method ii), if appropriate."
	Explanation: both a bio-assay and a DNA-marker test are always accepted. At Ad. 51 (ii) 8. is explained that a DNA marker test must confirm the declaration in the TQ, if not, a bio-assay should be performed.
	Ad. 58
	To read "Resistance to strain 0 to be tested in a bio-assay (method i) or in a DNA marker test (method ii), if appropriate." Explanation: both a bio-assay and a DNA-marker test are always accepted. At Ad. 58 (ii)
	8. is explained that a DNA marker test must confirm the declaration in the TQ, if not, a bio- assay should be performed.
Ad. 48 (ii) Ad. 51 (ii) Ad. 58 (ii)	- to clarify "often" (does not meet requirements for use of gene-specific marker model) (e.g. in Ad. 48 (ii) to confirm whether under (ii) DNA marker test there are always resistance alleles present in Gene I2 to both race 0 (ex 1) and race 1 (ex 2).) <i>Leading Expert:</i> <i>Ad. 48 (ii)</i>
	To read "Dominant resistance gene l2 is always associated with resistance to both race 0 (ex 1) and race 1 (ex 2). The presence or absence of the resistance allele can be detected by the co-dominant marker as described in this method."
	Ad. 51 (ii) To read "Resistance gene Tm2 gives resistance to ToMV. Gene Tm2 has two dominant resistance alleles: resistance allele Tm2 is always associated with resistance to strain 0 and 1, resistance allele Tm2 ² is always associated with resistance to strain 0, 1 and 2. The presence or absence of both resistance alleles can be detected by the co-dominant markers as described in Arens, P. et al (2010). Specific aspects: "
	Ad. 58 (ii) To read "Dominant resistance gene Sw-5 is always associated with resistance to TSWV strain 0. The presence or absence of the resistance allele can be detected by the co- dominant marker as described in Dianese, E.C. et al (2010). Specific aspects: "
Ad. 48 (i), 4. Footnotes	to indicate e-mail and web address of the institutions instead of personal e-mail addresses Leading Expert: Valerie.grimault@geves.fr to be changed into <u>matref@geves.fr</u> . <u>cardaba@inia.sp</u> : no alternative available yet.
Ad. 48 (ii) 2.	to clarify meaning of "quarantine status" Leading Expert: 48 (ii) 2 is not quarantine status, but 'functional gene'. A gene never has a quarantine status. A DNA-test is not related to quarantine issues.
Ad. 48 (ii) 3.	to read "Susceptible allele <u>Allele for susceptibility</u> Resistant allele <u>Allele for resistance</u> " Leading Expert: agreed

TWV/52/11 page 3

	to shark whather to add control variation on avample variation in the table of
Ad. 48 (ii) 4.2	to check whether to add control varieties as example varieties in the table of characteristics
	Leading Expert:
	Ad. 48 (ii) 4.2
	homozygous allele for susceptibility present: Marmande verte, Marporum, Moneymaker
	homozygous allele for resistance present: Motelle, Tradiro
	48.1 race 0 (ex 1), example varieties
	absent [1] Marmande verte, Moneymaker
	present [9] Marporum, Motelle, Tradiro
	48.2 race 1 (ex 2), example varieties
	absent [1] Marmande verte, Marporum, Moneymaker
	present [9] Motelle, Tradiro
	(Explanation: Anabel, Marsol, Walter are not available anymore)
	In order to be coherent, the same should be done in Ad. 51 (ii) 4.2 and Ad. 58 (ii) 4.2
	Ad. 51 (ii) 4.2
	homozygous allele for susceptibility tm2 present: Mobaci, Monalbo, Moneymaker
	homozygous allele for resistance Tm2 present: Moperou
	homozygous allele for resistance Tm2 ² present: Mocimor, Momor
	51.1 strain 0, example varieties absent [1] Monalbo, Moneymaker
	present [9] Mohaibo, Moheymaker present [9] Mobaci, Mocimor, Momor, Moperou
	51.2 strain 1, example varieties
	absent [1] Monalbo, Moneymaker
	present [9] Mocimor, Momor, Moperou
	51.3 strain 2, example varieties
	absent [1] Monalbo, Moneymaker, Moperou
	present [9] Mobaci, Mocimor, Momor
	Ad. 58 (ii) 4.2
	homozygous allele 1 for susceptibility present: Moneymaker
	homozygous allele 2 for susceptibility present: Mountain Magic
	homozygous allele for resistance present: Montealto
	heterozygous (allele for resistance and allele 1 for susceptibility present): Bodar
	58, example varieties
	absent [1] Montfavet H 63.5, Moneymaker, Mountain Magic
	present [9] Bodar, Montealto
Ad 49 (ii) 9	(Explanation: Lisboa is not available anymore)
Ad. 48 (ii) 8.	48.1 reference to "absent" is missing (see 48.2). Leading Expert: To add:
	absent [1] can not be concluded from the DNA-test, a bio-assay should be performed.
Ad. 48 (ii) 8.	to read "In case the DNA marker test result does not confirm the declaration in the TQ, a
48.1 and 48.2	bio-assay should be performed to observe whether the resistance is absent or present for
	the variety is resistant e.g. (on another mechanism like gene I3)."
	Leading Expert: agreed
Ad. 51 (i), 4.	to indicate e-mail and web address of the institutions instead of personal e-mail addresses
Footnotes	Leading Expert: Valerie.grimault@geves.fr to be changed into matref@geves.fr.
	cardaba@inia.sp: no alternative available yet.
Ad. 51 (ii)	Arens, P. et al (2010) to be added to 9. Literature
	Leading Expert: agreed
Ad. 51 (ii) 2	to clarify that there are 3 alleles: 2 dominant ones for resistance and 1 susceptible
	Leading Expert: Tm2/2 ² (with two resistance alleles Tm2 and Tm2 ² and one susceptibility
	allele tm2)
Ad. 51 (ii) 3.2	to read "Assay 2 to check susceptible or resistance allele for susceptibility or resistance"
	Leading Expert: agreed
Ad. 51 (ii) 4.2	to clarify allelic basis for resistance
	Leading Expert: See above, Ad. 51 (ii), where was asked for the meaning of 'often'. Not
	to repeat at Ad. 51 (ii) 4.2.
Ad. 51 (ii) 8.	to read "In case the DNA marker test result does not confirm the declaration in the TQ, a
	bio-assay should be performed to observe whether the resistance is absent or present for
	the variety <u>is resistant e.g.</u> (on another mechanism <u>like</u> gene Tm1) ."
	Leading Expert: agreed

TWV/52/11 page 4

Ad. 51 (ii)	Table on test results (below 8.): to delete "(occurs incidentally)"
	Leading Expert: agreed
Ad. 58 (ii)	Dianese, E.C. et al (2010) to be added to 9. Literature
	Leading Expert: agreed
Ad. 58 (ii) 3.	to read
	"Susceptible allele Allele for susceptibility
	Resistant allele for resistance"
	Leading Expert: agreed
Ad. 58 (ii) 8.	to read
	"homozygous susceptible susceptibility allele 1 present
	homozygous susceptible susceptibility allele 2 present
	homozygous resistant resistance allele present:"
	Leading Expert: agreed
Ad. 58 (ii) 8.	to read "In case the DNA marker test result does not confirm the declaration in the TQ, a
	bio-assay should be performed to observe whether the resistance is absent or present for
	the variety is resistant e.g. (on another mechanism)."
	Leading Expert: agreed

[End of document]