

**Technical Working Party on Automation and Computer Programs TWC/35/8****Thirty-Fifth Session  
Buenos Aires, Argentina, November 14 to 17, 2017****Original:** English  
**Date:** October 23, 2017

---

**ASSESSING UNIFORMITY BY OFFTYPES ON THE BASIS OF MORE THAN ONE GROWING CYCLE:  
EXAMPLES FROM NL***Document prepared by an expert from the Netherlands**Disclaimer: this document does not represent UPOV policies or guidance*

The Annex to this document contains a copy of a presentation “Assessing Uniformity by Offtypes on the Basis of More than One Growing Cycle: examples from NL” to be made at its thirty-fifth session of the Technical Working Party on Automation and Computer Programs (TWC).

Lysbeth Hof, Researcher / Specialist Agricultural Crops, Variety Research Department, Naktuinbouw, Netherlands

[Annex follows]



*nak*  *tuinbouw*



**Assessing Uniformity by Offtypes on the  
Basis of More than One Growing Cycle:  
examples from NL**

Lysbeth Hof

## Overview approaches

### Summary of the three approaches:

- Approach 1: Third growing cycle in case of inconsistent results
- Approach 2: Combining the results of two growing cycles in the case of inconsistent results
- Approach 3: Combining the results of two growing cycles
  - *A variety may be rejected after a single growing cycle if the number of offtypes exceeds the number of allowed offtypes for the combined sample (over two cycles)*

3

## Case 1: Tomato Variety A

Crop: Tomato Variety A  
Population standard 1%, Acceptance Prob.  $\geq 95\%$   
Sample size per growing cycle = 20  
Maximum number of offtypes per growing cycle = 1  
Maximum number of offtypes growing cycle 1 and 2 combined (n=40) = 2

Number of offtypes per growing cycle			Decision		
First	Second	Third	Approach 1	Approach 2	Approach 3
3	0	0	third cycle: => uniform	non-uniform	non-uniform*

\*With the current wording of document TWP/1/17 (particularly approach 3) the variety could/would have been rejected after 1st growing cycle!

4

## Case 2: Tomato Variety B

Crop: Tomato Variety B

Population standard 1%, Acceptance Prob.  $\geq 95\%$

Sample size per growing cycle = 20

Maximum number of offtypes per growing cycle = 1

Maximum number of offtypes growing cycle 1 and 2 combined (n=40) = 2

Number of offtypes per growing cycle			Decision		
First	Second	Third	Approach 1	Approach 2	Approach 3
3	1	4	third cycle: => non-uniform	non-uniform	non-uniform

5

## Comparing Variety A and Variety B

- Both in Variety A and in Variety B the number of offtypes in the first growing cycle was 3 (non-uniform)
- In Variety A, approach 1 eventually lead to decision 'uniform' after 3 growing cycles, and in Variety B the final decision after 3 growing cycles was non-uniform
- In Variety A, the current wording of the document (TWP/1/17) could/would have resulted in a rejection after the first growing cycle. In retrospect a 'wrong' decision?
- Early decisions, based on small deviations from the allowable number of offtypes, can be premature and are risky

6

## Example from UPOV document TWP/1/17

Population standard 1%, Acceptance Prob.  $\geq 95\%$   
Sample size per growing cycle = 50  
Maximum number of offtypes per growing cycle = 2  
Maximum number of offtypes growing cycle 1 and 2 combined (n=100) = 3

Number of offtypes per growing cycle			Decision		
First	Second	Third	Approach 1	Approach 2	Approach 3
2	2	-	uniform	uniform	non-uniform

This example illustrates the pitfall of approach 3. It considers the variety non-uniform, while it is considered uniform in both separate cycles!

7

## Conclusions

- The number of allowed off-types in a sample is sometimes small. This increases the risk of a 'wrong' decision: one offtype more or less could lead to a different decision
- In approach 3, the allowed number of offtypes of the combined cycles can be smaller than the sum of allowed offtypes of both cycles (see example previous slide). This may lead to a 'questionable' decision
- Decisions on non-uniformity should only be made when cases are clear. In case of doubt: the benefit should be for the applicant.
- Naktuinbouw has decided to use approach 1, as with this approach the chance of a 'wrong' decision seems smallest

8

[End of Annex and of document]