Technical Working Party for Agricultural Crops

Forty-Seventh Session Naivasha, Kenya, May 21 to 25, 2018 TWP/2/11

Original: English Date: May 9, 2018

Technical Working Party on Automation and Computer Programs Thirty-Sixth Session Hanover, Germany, July 2 to 6, 2018

Technical Working Party for Vegetables

Fifty-Second Session Beijing, China, September 17 to 21, 2018

Technical Working Party for Fruit Crops Forty-Ninth Session Santiago de Chile, Chile, November 19 to 23, 2018

Technical Working Party for Ornamental Plants and Forest Trees Fifty-First Session Christchurch, New Zealand, February 18 to 22, 2019

ILLUSTRATIONS FOR SHAPE AND RATIO CHARACTERISTICS

Document prepared by the Office of the Union

Disclaimer: this document does not represent UPOV policies or guidance

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

1. The purpose of this document is to report on developments concerning the revision of guidance available in document TGP/14 on providing illustrations for shape and ratio characteristics.

2. The TWPs are invited to:

(a) note the comments by the TWPs, at their sessions in 2017, and by the TC-EDC, at its meeting in March 2018;

(b) consider the usefulness of grids under particular situations; and

(c) consider the proposals by the group of experts coordinated by New Zealand, as set out in paragraphs 17 to 19 of this document.

3. The TWF is invited to consider the proposals by the group of experts coordinated by New Zealand, as set out in paragraphs 17 to 19 of this document.

4. The following abbreviations are used in this document:

- TC: Technical Committee
- TC-EDC: Enlarged Editorial Committee
- TWA: Technical Working Party for Agricultural Crops
- TWC: Technical Working Party on Automation and Computer Programs
- TWF: Technical Working Party for Fruit Crops
- TWO: Technical Working Party for Ornamental Plants and Forest Trees
- TWV: Technical Working Party for Vegetables
- TWPs: Technical Working Parties

TWP/2/11 page 2

5. 7	The structure of this document is as follows:	
EXECU	TIVE SUMMARY	1
BACKG	ROUND	2
CONSI	DERATION BY THE TECHNICAL WORKING PARTIES	2
CONSI	DERATION BY THE ENLARGED EDITORIAL COMMITTEE	3
POSSIE	BLE NEXT STEPS	3
ANNEX	GUIDANCE ON SHAPE CHARACTERISTICS	

BACKGROUND

6. The TC, at its fifty-third session, held in Geneva from April 3 to 5, 2017, agreed to improve guidance available in document TGP/14 on providing illustrations for shape and ratio characteristics by the inclusion of additional examples. The TC agreed to invite the TWPs, at their sessions in 2017, to consider the issue and report to the TC at its session in 2018 (see document TC/53/31 "Report", paragraph 253).

CONSIDERATION BY THE TECHNICAL WORKING PARTIES

7. The TWA, TWV, TWO, TWF and TWC, at their sessions in 2017, considered document TWP/1/18 "Illustrations for shape and ratio characteristics", which invited the TWPs to consider whether additional examples could be provided to improve guidance in document TGP/14 (see documents TWA/46/10 "Report", paragraphs 53 and 54; TWV/51/16, paragraphs 48 and 49; TWO/50/14 "Report", paragraphs 40 to 42; TWF/48/13 "Report", paragraphs 49 to 53; and TWC/35/21 "Report", paragraphs 43 to 44).

8. The TWA, TWV, TWF and TWC agreed that no additional examples were available at this time for improving the guidance on providing illustrations for shape and ratio characteristics in document TGP/14. The TWO noted the examples of illustrations for shape and ratio characteristics provided in document TGP/14 and agreed that no further examples were necessary to improve the guidance.

9. The TWF agreed with the TWO that guidance on providing illustrations for shape and ratio characteristics in document TGP/14 should be amended to clarify that the base of a structure was at the point of attachment. The TWF further agreed that the example 6 of Shape-Related Characteristics in document TGP/14: "Variation between range of shapes indicated by the illustrations", reproduced in document TWP/1/18, may be put upside-down in order to make clear that the base on shape illustrations should preferably be represented the same way, and as follows:



10. The TWO noted that characteristics with very few states of expression could be displayed in a single row as in the first two examples in document TWP/1/18, provided that the basis for the different states of expression was clear to readers. The examples are reproduced below:

"Example 1: variation in ratio length/width only. [...]

	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	
Alternative 1:	ratio length/width: low	ratio length/width: medium	ratio length/width: high
Alternative 2:	1 Shape: broad obovate	2 Shape: medium obovate	3 Shape: narrow obovate

TWP/2/11 page 3

"Example 2: variation in position of the broadest part only." [...]



11. The TWF agreed that clarification might be needed on the reasons to produce a grid when illustrating shape. The TWF invited the experts of New Zealand and Germany to check whether to develop a wording to explain when it is appropriate to use a grid in Test Guidelines, and to circulate a proposal by correspondence by end of December 2017 to the TWF for its approval. The proposal would be then presented to the TC-EDC, at its session in March 2018, for consideration by the TC at its session in October 2018.

CONSIDERATION BY THE ENLARGED EDITORIAL COMMITTEE

12. The TC-EDC considered document TC-EDC/Mar18/18 "Illustrations for shape and ratio characteristics" (see document TC-EDC/Mar18/11 "Report", paragraphs 36 to 40).

13. The TC-EDC noted the comments by the TWPs, at their sessions in 2017.

14. The TC-EDC noted that some leading experts of Test Guidelines had difficulty to provide explanations on shape characteristics using grids. The TC-EDC also noted that grids provided useful information for DUS examiners with less experience on a particular crop.

15. The TC-EDC agreed that explanations for shape characteristics should facilitate establishing distinctness on the basis of notes. The TC-EDC agreed that there should be flexibility for presenting explanations on shape characteristics using grids.

16. The TC-EDC agreed to invite the TWPs to consider the usefulness of grids under particular situations.

POSSIBLE NEXT STEPS

17. On April 30, 2018, the UPOV Office received a proposal by the expert from New Zealand to consider establishing a sub-group as well as to continue discussions in the TWPs and the TC recalling the original objective of the TWF to clarify that "a grid should not be compulsory and could be used when the sub-group discussing the Test Guidelines considered it useful". The sub-group could meet prior to the TC session in October 2018.

18. The expert from New Zealand also reported that other issues had been identified and were proposed for further discussion:

- The usefulness of grids and when to apply them; and if applied what would be the best harmonized approach;
- How to clarify distinctness levels between the different states of expression in PQ characteristics.

19. The expert from New Zealand also reported that the following improvement to the definition of "base" was considered:

"A fruit, when looking along its longitudinal axis, consists of a proximal end, where the fruit was earlier attached to the plant, and a distal end, the most distant point from the attachment. Drawings in Technical Guidelines for fruit shapes may not always be presented with their distal end upwards and their proximal end downwards. There may be good reasons to orientate the diagram in a different way. Irrespective of the orientation used for a fruit shape diagram, the base is the point of attachment to the plant, the proximal point."

TWP/2/11 page 4

20. The TWPs are invited to:

(a) note the comments by the TWPs, at their sessions in 2017, and by the TC-EDC, at its meeting in March 2018;

(b) consider the usefulness of grids under particular situations; and

(c) consider the possible next steps, as set out in paragraphs 17 to 19 of this document.

21. The TWF is invited to consider the proposals by the group of experts coordinated by New Zealand, as set out in paragraphs 17 to 19 of this document.

[Annex follows]

TWP/2/11

ANNEX

GUIDANCE ON SHAPE CHARACTERISTICS

Pseudo-qualitative characteristics

1. Document TG/1/3 "General Introduction to the Examination of Distinctness, Uniformity and Stability and the Development of Harmonized Descriptions of New Varieties of Plants" (General Introduction) explains that shape can be considered in terms of a pseudo-qualitative characteristic when each individual state of expression can be identified to adequately describe the range of the characteristic.

2. Document TGP/9 "Examining Distinctness" explains that the use of pseudo-qualitative characteristics has limitations due to the difficulty to define a general rule on the difference in Notes to establish distinctness within a characteristic.

Components of shape

3. Document TGP/14 explains that it can be useful to develop quantitative or qualitative characteristics related to shape, rather than considering shape as a single pseudo qualitative characteristic. In that respect, document TGP/14 defines a plane shape using the following components:

- (a) Ratio length/width (or ratio width/length, thickness/length, diameter/length, thickness/width);
- (b) Position of broadest part;
- (c) Shape of base;
- (d) Shape of apex;
- (e) Lateral outline.

Illustrating shape characteristics

4. To ensure that the ratio length/width is clearly understood, document TGP/14 recommends to present the characteristic as a shape with states such as "very compressed" to "very elongated", or to present the characteristic as "ratio length/width" with states such as "very low" to "very high" and to provide an illustration. Both alternative characteristics are placed as headers in the following "Chart for simple symmetric plane shapes" (partially reproduced). The position of broadest part is presented in different rows:

shape	very compressed	moderately compressed	slightly compressed	medium	slightly elongated	moderately elongated	very elongated	
ratio length/width	very low	low	low to medium	medium	medium to high	high	very high	
Parallel set								
oblong	ф 12	Ф.		\square	\square	(\square)	$\left(\right)$	(
Rounded set								
ovate	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	()	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	Ŷ	Q
elliptic				\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	((
obovate	\Diamond	Φ	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	$\left \begin{array}{c} \\ \end{array} \right $	\square	0

TWP/2/11 Annex, page 2

5. Document TGP/14 proposes the development of charts for other types of plane shapes by describing the ranges for ratio length/width and position of broadest, in a similar way to that shown in the Chart for Simple Symmetric Plane Shapes. The following are shapes used as example in document TGP/14:



Developing Shape-Related Characteristics

6. Document TGP/14 explains that "in general, it can be most useful to consider the variation in shape between varieties in the variety collection using the following steps":

- Step 1: Ratio length/width;
- Step 2: Position of broadest part;
- Step 3: Shape of base;
- Step 4: Shape of apex;
- Step 5: Lateral outline.

Examples of Shape-Related Characteristics

7. Document TGP/14 provide 6 examples of variation in full plane shape components (ratio length/width, position of broadest part and lateral outline) for the development of characteristics, either as characteristics for the individual components or as a single overall shape characteristic:

Example 1: variation in ratio length/width only.

Alternative 1

Plant [part]: ratio length/width (low to high) (QN)

Alternative 2

Plant [part]: shape (broad obovate (1); medium obovate (2); narrow obovate (3)) (QN)

with the following illustration:



Example 2: variation in position of the broadest part only.

Alternative 1

Plant [part]: position of broadest part (towards base to towards apex) (QN)

Alternative 2

Plant [part]: shape (ovate (1); elliptic (2); obovate (3)) (QN)

with the following illustration:

\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	
broadest part towards base	broadest part at middle	broadest part towards apex	
1 ovate	2 elliptic	3 obovate	

TWP/2/11 Annex, page 3

Example 3: variation in ratio length/width, shape of base and lateral outline

Alternative 1

Plant [part]: ratio length/width (low to high) (QN) Plant [part]: shape of base (acute, obtuse, rounded) (PQ) Plant [part]: lateral outline (clearly rounded to clearly triangular) (QN)

Alternative 2

Plant [part]: shape (broad ovate (1); medium ovate (2); medium trullate (3); narrow ovate (4); narrow trullate (5)) (PQ)

with the following illustration:



Example 4: variation in ratio height/diameter, position of broadest part and lateral outline in apical half

Alternative 1

- (a) ratio height/diameter (QN): very low (1); low (3); medium (5); high (7); very high (9);
- (b) position of broadest part (QN): at middle (1); moderately towards base (2); strongly towards base (3);
- (c) lateral outline in apical half (PQ): rounded (1); parallel (2); flat taper (3); concave (4)

Alternative 2

(a) ratio height/diameter (QN): very low (1); low (3); medium (5); high (7); very high (9);

(b) general shape (PQ): cylindrical waisted (1); conic (2); ovate (3); cylindric (4); elliptic (5)

with the following illustration:



TWP/2/11 Annex, page 4

Example 5: variation between the range of shapes indicated by the illustrations:



Alternative 1

- (a) position of broadest part (QN): strongly towards base (1); moderately towards base (3); at middle (5); moderately towards apex (7); strongly towards apex (9)
- (b) ratio length/width (QN): very low (1); low (3); medium (5); high (7); very high (9);

Alternative 2

General shape (PQ): triangular (1); ovate (2); circular (3); elliptic (4); oblong (5); linear (6); obovate (7); oblanceolate (8); spatulate (9); obtriangular (10)

with the following illustration:



Example 6: variation between range of shapes indicated by the illustrations:



Alternative 1

- (a) lateral outline (QL): e.g. reniform (1); rhombic (2); elliptic (3)
- (b) ratio length/width (QN): e.g. low (1); medium (2); high (3);

Alternative 2

General shape (PQ): reniform (1); rhombic (2); oblate (3); circular (4); elliptic (5)

with the following illustration:



[End of Annex and of document]